#### SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



#### **SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_31631
Title of the Manuscript:	THE UPSURGING INTERNATIONAL POLITICS OF FOOD SECURITY AND NIGERIA'S OIL MONOMANIA: METHODOLOGY FOR CHANGE
Type of the Article	Review paper

#### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

### SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org




## **SDI Review Form 1.6**

## **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	At first This paper is claimed as a review and In it's title, the attention is driven to the sentence "Methodology for change". It leads our expectations to find some deeper methodological approach to reach the objectives of ensuring food security. I just recommend to the authors reconsider using the statement "Methodology" on the title. The paper brings some valuable considerations, and a framework with possibilities for changing Oil Monomania in Nigeria. But in my opinion, that does not make a robust and detailed methodology for achieving the aims of the authors in this paper.	
Minor REVISION comments	1: The text suffers from some grammatical errors. Please, revise carefully before resubmitting; 2: In line 73 I missed the conceptualization of Temporary food insecurity; 3: It wold be interesting to provide a conceptualization of monomania and to enrich it with negative and also with positive points of this economic regime; 4: It's also very important to deeper explore in this review the powers and weaknesses of Nigeria framework (social, economic, political and ecological) that should affect the possibility to change regime.	
Optional/General comments	The paper brings a concerning approach that probably wouldn't be the single case for Nigeria, but for many other countries with similar struggles. So, I wold suggest to the authors to make a little improvement on the tittle of the paper, in order to attract more readers. My suggestion is to make shorter titles reflecting the core content of the paper. I should suggest you the following ones, but you are free to proceed with the	

### SCIENCEDOMAIN international





## **SDI Review Form 1.6**

Title that best:  1: "International Politics of Oil Monomania and Food Security: The Nigerian case."  2: "Food Insecurity Led by oil Monomania in Nigeria"	

# **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	José Gilmar Cavalcante de Oliveira Júnior
Department, University & Country	Institute of Biological Sciences and Health, Federal University of Alagoas, Brazil

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (07-06-2013)