# SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



# **SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1**

### PART 1:

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences	
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_31217	
Title of the Manuscript:		
	Padang Panjang Regency West Sumatra, Indonesia	
Type of the Article	Original Research Article	

# PART 2:

	NAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if	Authors' response to final
any	Although this study shows that the title and most of the content and the literature review has been enhanced, the methodology has been revised. But still did not meet the requirements of academic research objectivity.	evaluator's comments
•	Since it is a case study, it should describe the state of the case in detail, clearly define the problem, and then confirm the research question, but due to did not elaborate, leading to doubt the correctness of the research method.	
•	The study referred to use of deductive and inductive processes.	
	Deductive methods mention that the collection of data through expert discussions, including practitioners, academics, decision makers, business and local community leaders. But due to not clear description of the data collection process and directly show the results in Table 1, how about reliability and validity?	
	In the induction process, the relationship between the theoretical basis of the variables and the variables is not deep discussed in the literature to directly show the results of the analysis.	
•	The explanations of the conclusions are not deep enough to mention only four important aspects, but not show what they implication of research, practice or academia.	
•	The study also does not description research limitations and recommendations for future research, and this study is not in line with the norms of academic research.	

# **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Wei Lung Chang
Department, University & Country	Chungyu Institute of Technology, Taiwan