



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_31217
Title of the Manuscript:	Community based Sustainable tourism development A case study in Padang Panjang Regency West Sumatra, Indonesia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:
(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The conclusion should be significantly improved. May I suggest that the title should be changed to Final Remarks/ Final considerations and contain a critical view of the results achieved with this research making clear the eventual limitations and suggestions for further research.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Francisco Diniz
Department, University & Country	Centro de Estudos Transdisciplinares para o Desenvolvimento, Portugal