



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_31217
Title of the Manuscript:	Community based Sustainable tourism development A case study in Padang Panjang Regency West Sumatra, Indonesia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>- This paper have to rewrite correctly before publication. There are a lot of syntax problems along this article like for example :</p> <p>l. 26 "rosources owned ": resources owned</p> <p>l. 27 : "As the region located in the heart of western Sumatra, Padang Panjang Regency X (without verb) very potential to be a tourist destination"</p> <p>l. 35 : "The main problem faced by local government is how to develop WHAT ?</p> <p>l. 37 and l. 113 : "riel condition" : real conditions</p> <p>l. 42 : "region can lso"</p> <p>l. 44 : "to day" : today, nowadays</p> <p>l. 84: "Minangese is a special X from Padang Panjang Regency, played by the public by bringing a plate, this dance became the main show every official event ».</p> <p>l. 86 : "Sustainable tourisme » : tourism</p> <p>l. 112 : « turism » : tourism</p> <p>l. 126 : « touris sector »</p> <p>l. 132-133 : "it mean that that despite Padang Panjang Regency has a natural beauty and unique culture, but mostly people do not know it because lack of promotion, so no tourist come" The author should rewrite this sentence in a clearly woy and without mistake.</p> <p>l. 158 : "ERM analysis showe"</p> <p>l. 177 : "rich pictur » »</p> <p>- The author suggests to "select the most suitable strategy in developing community" but we haven't any information about the methodological elements for these results. The third part Methodology must be developed.</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The idea developed in the 134rd line according the Marketing Mix (Porter, 2000), is very interesting but it should be developed. The author explains that the product, the place and the price are “nice”: How ? I would have more details. What are the competitive regions in sustainable tourism in a national and (why not) international scales? <p>Please clarify the Plagiarism: A similar article : http://www.journalrepository.org/media/journals/JSRR_22/2015/Dec/Khoiii972015JSRR22285.pdf</p> <p>This article should be very interesting but the methodological approach must be explained. What is new compared to the article published in the Journalrepository.org ?</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The author should define what is the « Minangese culture”. He does that in the 145th line. It's too late for the lector. - Some references in the text are not mentioned in the bibliography (for example, Porter, 2000...) (l. 226) 	
Optional/General comments	The geographical situation and the context elements of the region of Padang Panjang are precisely described in introduction.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Chaouni Naoil</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University of Toulouse, France</i>



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)