

SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org

SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_29633
Title of the Manuscript:	Use of Electronic Information Resources by Undergraduate Students in the Faculty of Management and Administration at Africa University, Mutare, Zimbabwe
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any) Authors' response to final evaluator's comments Abstract is still repetitive; findings noted in abstract should precede recommendations. Proofread again more thoroughly for punctuation (including closing quotation marks), citation pages (e.g., line 146), reference punctuation, and grammar. You need to state the purpose of your paper within the first paragraph. Research design 3.1 is repetitive and some info is not needed (e.g., defining what is a methodology). 4.1 needs content - or else eliminate it. 4.2 is repetitive Fig 1 just shows the % of respondent who access a DB, NOT the frequency with which they accessed it. NOT do you state the question associated with this figure (e.g., do you access? How often?) "The use of the database would have been high had the lecturers recommended their use." How do you know this?? What is your evidence? It seems as if you didn't ask students if they might use eresources for personal information needs - which skews the Seamans' finding. Can students access DBS ONLY from the library? That is not clear. Is there no other way to learn how to use DBs, such as reference sheets or online tutorial/screenccasts?? You need to state ways that students can learn how to use these tools. Your findings about students' perceptions about DB training is contradictory. Conclusions are inadequate and incomplete, and don't align with findings. Rees: There IS training, but maybe it needs refinement and alternative delivery	FARIZ.	
Abstract is still repetitive; findings noted in abstract should precede recommendations. Proofread again more thoroughly for punctuation (including closing quotation marks), citation pages (e.g., line 146), reference punctuation, and grammar. You need to state the purpose of your paper within the first paragraph. Research design 3.1 is repetitive and some info is not needed (e.g., defining what is a methodology). 4.1 needs content – or else eliminate it. 4.2 is repetitive Fig 1 just shows the % of respondent who access a DB, NOT the frequency with which they accessed it. NOT do you state the question associated with this figure (e.g., do you access? How often?) "The use of the database would have been high had the lecturers recommended their use." How do you know this?? What is your evidence? It seems as if you didn't ask students if they might use eresources for personal information needs – which skews the Seamans' finding. Can students access DBs ONLY from the library? That is not clear. Is there no other way to learn how to use DBs, such as reference sheets or online tutorials/screencasts?? You need to state ways that students can learn how to use these tools. Your findings about students' perceptions about DB training is contradictory. Conclusions are inadequate and incomplete, and don't align with findings.	FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
recommendations. Proofread again more thoroughly for punctuation (including closing quotation marks), citation pages (e.g., line 146), reference punctuation, and grammar. You need to state the purpose of your paper within the first paragraph. Research design 3.1 is repetitive and some info is not needed (e.g., defining what is a methodology). 4.1 needs content – or else eliminate it. 4.2 is repetitive Fig 1 just shows the % of respondent who access a DB, NOT the frequency with which they accessed it. NOT do you state the question associated with this figure (e.g., do you access? How often?) "The use of the database would have been high had the lecturers recommended their use." How do you know this?? What is your evidence? It seems as if you didn't ask students if they might use eresources for personal information needs – which skews the Seamans' finding. Can students access DBS ONLY from the library? That is not clear. Is there no other way to learn how to use DBs, such as reference sheets or online tutorials/screencasts?? You need to state ways that students can learn how to use these tools. Your findings about students' perceptions about DB training is contradictory. Conclusions are inadequate and incomplete, and don't align with findings.		
Proofread again more thoroughly for punctuation (including closing quotation marks), citation pages (e.g., line 146), reference punctuation, and grammar. You need to state the purpose of your paper within the first paragraph. Research design 3.1 is repetitive and some info is not needed (e.g., defining what is a methodology). 4.1 needs content – or else eliminate it. 4.2 is repetitive Fig 1 just shows the % of respondent who access a DB, NOT the frequency with which they accessed it. NOT do you state the question associated with this figure (e.g., do you access? How often?) "The use of the database would have been high had the lecturers recommended their use." How do you know this?? What is your evidence? It seems as if you din't ask students if they might use eresources for personal information needs – which skews the Seamans' finding. Can students access DBs ONLY from the library? That is not clear. Is there no other way to learn how to use DBs, such as reference sheets or online tutorials/screencasts?? You need to state ways that students can learn how to use these tools. Your finding about students' perceptions about DB training is contradictory. Conclusions are inadequate and incomplete, and don't align with findings.		ract should precede
marks), citation pages (e.g., line 146), reference punctuation, and grammar. You need to state the purpose of your paper within the first paragraph. Research design 3.1 is repetitive and some info is not needed (e.g., defining what is a methodology). 4.1 needs content – or else eliminate it. 4.2 is repetitive Fig 1 just shows the % of respondent who access a DB, NOT the frequency with which they accessed it. NOT do you state the question associated with this figure (e.g., do you access? How often?) "The use of the database would have been high had the lecturers recommended their use." How do you know this?? What is your evidence? It seems as if you didn't ask students if they might use eresources for personal information needs – which skews the Seamans' finding. Can students access DBs ONLY from the library? That is not clear. Is there no other way to learn how to use DBs, such as reference sheets or online tutorials/screencasts?? You need to state ways that students can learn how to use these tools. Your findings about students' perceptions about DB training is contradictory. Conclusions are inadequate and incomplete, and don't align with findings.		
You need to state the purpose of your paper within the first paragraph. Research design 3.1 is repetitive and some info is not needed (e.g., defining what is a methodology). 4.1 needs content – or else eliminate it. 4.2 is repetitive Fig 1 just shows the % of respondent who access a DB, NOT the frequency with which they accessed it. NOT do you state the question associated with this figure (e.g., do you access? How often?) "The use of the database would have been high had the lecturers recommended their use." How do you know this?? What is your evidence? It seems as if you didn't ask students if they might use eresources for personal information needs – which skews the Seamans' finding. Can students access DBs ONLY from the library? That is not clear. Is there no other way to learn how to use DBs, such as reference sheets or online tutorials/screencasts?? You need to state ways that students can learn how to use these tools. Your findings about students' perceptions about DB training is contradictory. Conclusions are inadequate and incomplete, and don't align with findings.		
Research design 3.1 is repetitive and some info is not needed (e.g., defining what is a methodology). 4.1 needs content – or else eliminate it. 4.2 is repetitive Fig 1 just shows the % of respondent who access a DB, NOT the frequency with which they accessed it. NOT do you state the question associated with this figure (e.g., do you access? How often?) "The use of the database would have been high had the lecturers recommended their use." How do you know this?? What is your evidence? It seems as if you didn't ask students if they might use eresources for personal information needs – which skews the Seamans' finding. Can students access DBs ONLY from the library? That is not clear. Is there no other way to learn how to use DBs, such as reference sheets or online tutorials/screencasts?? You need to state ways that students can learn how to use these tools. Your findings about students' perceptions about DB training is contradictory. Conclusions are inadequate and incomplete, and don't align with findings.		
 what is a methodology). 4.1 needs content – or else eliminate it. 4.2 is repetitive Fig 1 just shows the % of respondent who access a DB, NOT the frequency with which they accessed it. NOT do you state the question associated with this figure (e.g., do you access? How often?) "The use of the database would have been high had the lecturers recommended their use." How do you know this?? What is your evidence? It seems as if you didn't ask students if they might use eresources for personal information needs – which skews the Seamans' finding. Can students access DBS ONLY from the library? That is not clear. Is there no other way to learn how to use DBs, such as reference sheets or online tutorials/screencasts?? You need to state ways that students can learn how to use these tools. Your findings about students' perceptions about DB training is contradictory. Conclusions are inadequate and incomplete, and don't align with findings. 		
 4.1 needs content – or else eliminate it. 4.2 is repetitive Fig 1 just shows the % of respondent who access a DB, NOT the frequency with which they accessed it. NOT do you state the question associated with this figure (e.g., do you access? How often?) "The use of the database would have been high had the lecturers recommended their use." How do you know this?? What is your evidence? It seems as if you didn't ask students if they might use eresources for personal information needs – which skews the Seamans' finding. Can students access DBs ONLY from the library? That is not clear. Is there no other way to learn how to use DBs, such as reference sheets or online tutorials/screencasts?? You need to state ways that students can learn how to use these tools. Your findings about students' perceptions about DB training is contradictory. Conclusions are inadequate and incomplete, and don't align with findings. 		is not needed (e.g., defining
 4.2 is repetitive Fig 1 just shows the % of respondent who access a DB, NOT the frequency with which they accessed it. NOT do you state the question associated with this figure (e.g., do you access? How often?) "The use of the database would have been high had the lecturers recommended their use." How do you know this?? What is your evidence? It seems as if you didn't ask students if they might use eresources for personal information needs – which skews the Seamans' finding. Can students access DBs ONLY from the library? That is not clear. Is there no other way to learn how to use DBs, such as reference sheets or online tutorials/screencasts?? You need to state ways that students can learn how to use these tools. Your findings about students' perceptions about DB training is contradictory. Conclusions are inadequate and incomplete, and don't align with findings. 		
Fig 1 just shows the % of respondent who access a DB, NOT the frequency with which they accessed it. NOT do you state the question associated with this figure (e.g., do you access? How often?) "The use of the database would have been high had the lecturers recommended their use." How do you know this?? What is your evidence? It seems as if you didn't ask students if they might use eresources for personal information needs – which skews the Seamans' finding. Can students access DBs ONLY from the library? That is not clear. Is there no other way to learn how to use DBs, such as reference sheets or online tutorials/screencasts?? You need to state ways that students can learn how to use these tools. Your findings about students' perceptions about DB training is contradictory. Conclusions are inadequate and incomplete, and don't align with findings.		
 with which they accessed it. NOT do you state the question associated with this figure (e.g., do you access? How often?) "The use of the database would have been high had the lecturers recommended their use." How do you know this?? What is your evidence? It seems as if you didn't ask students if they might use eresources for personal information needs – which skews the Seamans' finding. Can students access DBs ONLY from the library? That is not clear. Is there no other way to learn how to use DBs, such as reference sheets or online tutorials/screencasts?? You need to state ways that students can learn how to use these tools. Your findings about students' perceptions about DB training is contradictory. Conclusions are inadequate and incomplete, and don't align with findings. 		s a DB. NOT the frequency
this figure (e.g., do you access? How often?) "The use of the database would have been high had the lecturers recommended their use." How do you know this?? What is your evidence? It seems as if you didn't ask students if they might use eresources for personal information needs – which skews the Seamans' finding. Can students access DBs ONLY from the library? That is not clear. Is there no other way to learn how to use DBs, such as reference sheets or online tutorials/screencasts?? You need to state ways that students can learn how to use these tools. Your findings about students' perceptions about DB training is contradictory. Conclusions are inadequate and incomplete, and don't align with findings.	• •	
"The use of the database would have been high had the lecturers recommended their use." How do you know this?? What is your evidence? It seems as if you didn't ask students if they might use eresources for personal information needs – which skews the Seamans' finding. Can students access DBs ONLY from the library? That is not clear. Is there no other way to learn how to use DBs, such as reference sheets or online tutorials/screencasts?? You need to state ways that students can learn how to use these tools. Your findings about students' perceptions about DB training is contradictory. Conclusions are inadequate and incomplete, and don't align with findings.		
their use." How do you know this?? What is your evidence? It seems as if you didn't ask students if they might use eresources for personal information needs – which skews the Seamans' finding. Can students access DBs ONLY from the library? That is not clear. Is there no other way to learn how to use DBs, such as reference sheets or online tutorials/screencasts?? You need to state ways that students can learn how to use these tools. Your findings about students' perceptions about DB training is contradictory. Conclusions are inadequate and incomplete, and don't align with findings.		d the lecturers recommended
information needs – which skews the Seamans' finding. Can students access DBs ONLY from the library? That is not clear. Is there no other way to learn how to use DBs, such as reference sheets or online tutorials/screencasts?? You need to state ways that students can learn how to use these tools. Your findings about students' perceptions about DB training is contradictory. Conclusions are inadequate and incomplete, and don't align with findings.	•	
Can students access DBs ONLY from the library? That is not clear. Is there no other way to learn how to use DBs, such as reference sheets or online tutorials/screencasts?? You need to state ways that students can learn how to use these tools. Your findings about students' perceptions about DB training is contradictory. Conclusions are inadequate and incomplete, and don't align with findings.	It seems as if you didn't ask students if they might use	se eresources for personal
Is there no other way to learn how to use DBs, such as reference sheets or online tutorials/screencasts?? You need to state ways that students can learn how to use these tools. Your findings about students' perceptions about DB training is contradictory. Conclusions are inadequate and incomplete, and don't align with findings.	information needs - which skews the Seamans' finding	ing.
tutorials/screencasts?? You need to state ways that students can learn how to use these tools. Your findings about students' perceptions about DB training is contradictory. Conclusions are inadequate and incomplete, and don't align with findings.		
these tools. Your findings about students' perceptions about DB training is contradictory. Conclusions are inadequate and incomplete, and don't align with findings.		
Your findings about students' perceptions about DB training is contradictory. Conclusions are inadequate and incomplete, and don't align with findings.	,	students can learn how to use
Conclusions are inadequate and incomplete, and don't align with findings.		
Recs. There is training, but maybe it needs reinnement and alternative delivery		
modes.		ent and alternative delivery
"This calls for increased procurement of such facilities" WRONG		
conclusion/recommendation (not supported by the facts).		
There's a deeper problem about DB relevance that you're not addressing (e.g.,		
students don't choose relevant DBs).		
WRONG rec about academics – it sounds as if they don't know about the DBs so		don't know about the DBs so
training is the most important recommendation for them.		
Where are limitations of the study and further research directions?	a .	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lesley Farmer
Department, University & Country	ASEC Dept., California State University, Long Beach, USA