



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_36095
Title of the Manuscript:	PUBLIC DEBT AND ECONOMIC GROWTH IN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The abstract should clearly state the methodology used instead of 'integration' which is a general term. Theoretical Literature review should be up dated with current literature. Stale literature should be updated. Table 3 should use delta to indicate that the series were differenced	The author had state the methodology used in the abstract and coloured it. Theories are not usually updated as they are classical postulations by the earliest economists and philosophers; meanwhile the empirical literatures are up to date like, Igberi, Odo, Anoke and Nwachukwu (2016); Lucy, Collins and Ernest (2016) Lucy, Collins and Ernest (2016) Mohammed, Mahfuzul and Igwike (2015) etc are recent literature in the work D(delta) representation has been added to the differenced variables
Optional/General comments		