

Original Research Article

EFFECT OF YOUTH RURAL-URBAN MIGRATION ON CHILD LABOUR USE IN RICE PRODUCTION IN AFIKPO SOUTH LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA OF EBONYI STATE Nigeria.

Abstract

Effect of youth “Rural-Urban Migration” on child labour use in rice production in Afikpo South Local Government Area of Ebonyi State was undertaken in this study. A total of one hundred and twenty (120) respondents were selected using multi-stage random sampling technique across the local government area. Data which comprised of information on the socioeconomic characteristics and the other relevant variables of interest were collected using a well structured questionnaire and personal interviews. The socioeconomic characteristics of the respondents, **causes of Rural and Urban migration** and major rice agronomic practices on which children are used to perform. Probit model was used to determine the relationship between rural – urban migration and child labour use. The major causes of youth migration were persecutions (23.2%), boredom of farming (20.8%), social amenities (16.6%), economic reasons (16.6%) and peer pressure (12.5%). The determinant factors to child labour use in farming were age of the child, educational level, household head’s health and cost of hired labour. The results of production activities in which child labour were used included bird scaring (25%), fertilizer application (16.6%), clearing (12.5%), and planting (12.5%). The recommendations proffered were provision of essential social amenities, industries in the rural areas, the need for modernization of agriculture to reduce youth urban drift, compulsory free education for all children and as well as government enacting laws to prohibit child labour in the society.

Keywords; Youth, Rural-Urban, Migration, Child Labour Use. Rice Production

Introduction

In 19th and early 20th century, the developed world had experienced diverse pattern of migration and chiefly was rural-urban migration. This migration pattern was fundamentally due to industrialization, economic development and urbanization fueled by population increases (5). Nowadays, Rural-urban migration is a livelihood and survival strategy for many poor rural resident(s) across the developing world for a specific period of time and come back to their

origin (Temporary migrants) or decides to reside in the destination area in permanent basis (permanent migrants) for different reasons; political, socio-economic and demographic (9).

Rural-urban migration literature as observed by (37; 7; 12) is mostly young people,s affairs irrespective of gender especially with increasing urbanization. These migrants have different levels of skills of which low-skilled individuals usually move to the cities to find manual jobs, while educated workers usually move to cities for white collar jobs. The motives behind migration differ among individuals. The economic opportunities in urban areas and low agricultural productivity are often cited among literatures to be the primary drive behind rural out-migration to urban and industrial sectors (6). Nevertheless, many a times women often migrate for certain reasons, including marriage arrangements, divorce or family integration (7; 11).

The effect of rural-urban migration on the agricultural sector remained a controversial issue since this phenomenon has both positive and depressing implications. The dismal aspect of migration as opined by (11) is the drain of production factors such as labor and capital (due to migration costs) from the rural sector and whilst the upbeat is the inflow of remittances from migrants for production investments and consumption (10). The depleting of the labour force from rural areas the heart bit of agriculture especially in Sub Saharan Africa constitutes a great burden to attainment of food sufficiency as aged farmers are enthroned with herculean task of feeding the non agricultural population without a significant improvement in the tool used and in the method of farming (4). Nevertheless, these aged farmers are often resource poor to hire labour and as well physically weak to handle drudgeries associated with manual farming, consequently low productivity ensue. This scenario translates into a remarkable increase in rural child labour in agriculture (6).

Child labour according to International Labour Organization (ILO) refers to engagement of children below 18 years in work or employed on regular basis with the aim of earning a livelihood for themselves and family (30). The effect of child labour is enormous and according to International Labour Organization (19), child labour dispossesses children of their childhood's potentials, dignity and detrimental to their physical and mental developments. Nevertheless, in spite of the hazards associated with child labour, yet this labour class is sustained and reinforced in many agrarian society as appropriate measure for training of the child in order to grow up as hardworking and productive member of the society (6).

Evidences are bound of the magnitude and spread of child labour in agriculture among developing countries as workers in their parent's farm, work on local farm, part-time to earn extra income and work out of economic necessity. For instance Association of farm Workers Opportunity Program (1) reported that sixty percent of all child labourers worldwide between the ages of 5 and 7 work in agriculture. Similarly, a recent survey on use of child labour in Nigeria, indicated that about 56% children workers particularly in rural areas are engage in agricultural sector (34). Although, children when working with parents/ guardians, they are under close supervision but when engaged for economic necessity, they are exposed to varied occupational hazards, long hours of work and with very meagre pay (24). Studies show that the children are exposed to many occupational hazards including chronic bronchitis and tuberculosis from cigarette, tetanus and skin diseases from picking rag, unwanted pregnancies, brutality and molestation snake bites and vehicular accidents (3; 1) In the study area, rice production is the most prominent of all agricultural crop production in terms of area of land cultivated, income and employment generation as the area is blessed with rich and diverse rice ecologies, as result there abound rice milling and marketing businesses. In those rice ventures, several studies disclosed

79 that the roles of children as labourers in executing certain functions are very much acknowledged
80 (18).

81 Traditionally, migration studies were devoted on investigating frequency, patterns and
82 flows, distance and typologies of people's mobility and their assimilations in host societies.
83 Recent explorations however have begun to venture in studying the effect of migration as relates
84 to agricultural labour supply (30). It is based on these premises that this work was designed in
85 order to investigate and answer the following research questions;

86 What are the socioeconomic characteristics of the children?

87 What are the relationships between rural-urban migration and child labour characteristics?

88 What are the causes of rural- urban migration?

89 What are the rice agronomic practices in which children are used to perform?

90
91 Specifically, the objectives are to:

92 (i) describe the socioeconomic and characteristics of the children.

93 (ii) determine the relationship between rural – urban migration and child labour use in the
94 study area.

95 (iii) identify the causes of youth rural-urban migration

96 (iv) identify the major rice agronomic practices of which children are used to perform.

97 **Materials and Methods**

98 The study was conducted in Afikpo South Local Government Area of Ebonyi State.
99 Afikpo South Local Government Area comprises of communities and villages. Afikpo South
100 Local Government Area is located between latitude $06^{\circ}32'$ and $05^{\circ}27'$ North of equator and
101 longitude $07^{\circ}33'$ and $06^{\circ}29'$ East of Greenwich meridian. The temperature ranges from 23°C -
102 32°C with average annual rainfall ranges of 1750mm - 2250mm. Afikpo South Local

Government Area has a population of about 157,072 people (22) and 378 km². It is bounded in the east by Ereyi Local Government Area of Cross River State, in the west by Ivo Local Government Area of Ebonyi State, in the south by Ohafia Local Government Area of Abia State, and bounded in the North by Afikpo North. Afikpo south Local Government Area inhabitants are agrarians and engage in other economic activities including; hunting; petty trading, and civil service.

Multi-stage random sampling technique was used to select communities and respondents. In the first stage, five communities were selected out of eight communities that made up the local government. Secondly, two villages were selected from each of the sampled communities, bringing to a total of ten villages. In stage III, twelve children from rice farming house hold were selected from each of ten villages. This brought to a total of 120 respondents for detailed study.

Structured questionnaire and personal interview were administered to the children labourers in order to illicit information on their socio-economic characteristics and farm activities in which children are being used for. Secondary information was collected from textbooks journals periodicals published and unpublished thesis. The objectives I, III and V were captured using inferential statistics such as percentages and frequency distribution table. Objective III was captured using probit model analysis.

3.5 Model Specification

The probit model is specified thus:

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \times I_i + \beta_2 \times Z_i + \beta \times K_i + V_i$$

And that

$$Y_i = 1 \text{ if } y > 0$$

$$Y_i = 0 \text{ otherwise}$$

Where X_1, X_2, X_3 = respondents vector of random variables β represents a vector of unknown parameters, and V represents a random disturbance term. According to Shukla, and Ali, (2006), probit model constrains the estimate probabilities to be between 0 and 1 and relaxes the constraints that the effect of the independent variable is constant values of the dependent variables this is normally experienced with the linear.

Probability model (L P M) the probit model assumes that while we only observe the values of 0 and 1 for the variable y , there is a latent unobserved continuous variables that determines the value of y . the other advantage of the probit model include believable error term distribution as well as realistic probabilities (Nagler, 1994).

The implicit form of the model is

$$Y = f(X_1 X_2 X_3 X_4 X_5 + e_i) \dots \dots \dots (1)$$

$$Y = \text{Tendency to use Child labour or not}$$

$$X_1 = \text{Level of education (years)}$$

$$X_2 = \text{Occupation of the parents (dummy)}$$

$$X_3 = \text{Relationship between child and household head (dummy)}$$

$$X_4 = \text{Age of the child (years)}$$

$$X_6 = \text{Poverty level of parents (living below \$ 1 daily)}$$

$$X_7 = \text{Household health condition (dummy)}$$

$$e_i = \text{Error term}$$

Results

Table 2 shows that 33.3% of the respondents were within the age bracket of 15-18 years, while the least (16.6%) were of age bracket of <6 years. Most (87.5%) of the respondents were educated, while only 12.5% of the respondents had no formal education. High cost of hired labour accounted about 75% of the total respondents, while low cost, 25% as presented in table 2. Most (66.6%) of the respondents were members of the organization, while only 33.4% were non members. Most (58.3%) of the respondents complained of ill health, while only 41.6% did not. Table 2 showcased that among the respondents, 16.6% were living below poverty level,

while 83.3% lived above poverty level. The table 1 indicated that male servant (29%) were more engaged in certain rice production activities, followed by maid (25%), while least was biological daughters who accounted about 8.5% of the total respondents.

The causes of youth urban – rural migration (in descending order) as contain in Table 3 were social interaction, persecution, boredom, economic reasons and peer pressure

The coefficients of the household size, household health and age of house hold as contain in Table 4 were positive and significant at 1% alpha level respectively. The coefficients of house hold poverty level and costs of hired labour had direct relationship with use of child labour and significant as 5% risk level, while the coefficient of level of education of the house hold was significant at 10% probability level

The items under consideration as indicated in Table 5, bird scaring (25%) was the highest, followed by fertilizer application (16.6%%), while the least (2.5%) was land preparation. The others included clearing (12.5%), planting (12.5%), nursery making (8.3%), weeding (3.3%), threshing (3.3%), parboiling (3.3%), transportation (2.5%) and land preparation (2.5%).

Discussion of Result

Table 1 Description of the variables

Age of the Child	It is the age of the child measured in years. It concerned with how old the child had been from the birth to the time of interview. The older children are expected to work in farm especially among poor resource house hold. It is expected that the use of child as labourer would be positively correlated with the age of the child
Level of Education	This is the number of years an individual child actually spent in formal education. For instance a child attended primary school and completed secondary school, the number of the years takenfor the two levels will be summed up. Child labour is negatively related to the level of education al attainment.
Occupation	The source of livelihood of parents of the child affects the chances

of the parents	of engaging the child into labour. Hence, the career of the parents is positively correlated to child labour use
Household heads' health Condition	Health is a state of complete physical, mental and inemotional of an individual, not merely absence of diseases or infinity. Therefore, the poor state of the house hold head's health is positively correlated to use of child labour.
Poverty Level of Parents	Poverty is when somebody lives below \$1 per day. Poverty is usually associated with low per capital income and saving rate. Therefore, poverty of household head has positive association with child labour use
Relationship between child household need	The relationship between household head and child affects the use of the child for agricultural production. Household head that has blood relationship with the child, often do not use them for labour, vice-versa.

173

174

175 Adolescent children dominated the study as shown in Table 2 and it is expected that this

176 age class has fairly physical and mental abilities required to cope with manual nature of our

177 traditional farming, hence may engage into economic job related activities in order to augment

178 the meagre resources of the parents , particularly poor resource ones (23).The level of

179 Educational attainments by the respondents were high in line with *a priori* expectation and this

180 can be linked to the recent free education from primary one (1) to junior secondary by the

181 government of Ebonyi State of Nigeria. Education according to enhances the innovation and

182 decision making ability of individuals. The high cost of hired labour as reported in Table 2 is

183 occasioned by urban drift of able bodied youths, hence leaving farming activities for old men,

184 women and children (35). A large number of the respondents were members of one type of

185 organization or the order. (13) observed that cooperative members exchange labours in order to

curtail minimally child labour used by members in their farms. Furthermore, through cooperative educational programme on child labour and consequent exposure of the ills, members could jettison the idea.

A substantial number of the respondents complained of ill health of ill-health or the other which could impair his/her capacity to accomplish certain farm tasks. (29) observed that ill health reduces the quality of labour input as well as resource underutilization. Poverty according to (23) is inability of someone to earn one dollar (N340) per day. Studies show that poorer household heads often use their children for most agricultural activities in situation of high cost of hired labour (34).

Furthermore, impoverished households often use their children as source of hired labour in order to generate income to argument the family meager resources (32). Generally, in traditional Africa agricultural setting, household heads use children in order to acculturate into them farming skills for future use (18; 8).

Table 2: Distribution of Respondents According to Socio-economic Characteristics

Variable	Frequency	Percentage %
Age		
< 6	20	16.6
7-10	25	20.8
11-14	35	29.1
15-18	40	33.3
Education		
No formal education	15	12.5%
Incomplete Primary Education	25	20.8%
Primary education	35	29.1%
Secondary Education	45	37.5%

Cost of Hired Labour

High Cost	90	75
Low Cost	30	25

Membership of organization

Yes	80	66.6%
None	40	33.4

Household Health

Yes	50	41.6%
No	70	58.3%

Income

Less than ₦240	20	16.6%
₦240 and above	100	83.3%

Relationship

Biological son	15	12.5
Biological Daughter	10	8.5
Step son	15	12.5
Maid	30	25
Servant	35	29
Others (Cousin/Nephew)	15	12.5

Source: Field Survey, 2015.

Table 3 showed that the presence of essential amenities in the urban areas attracted some people respondents to the cities. Social amenities according to literatures could afford not only necessary comfort to people but business opportunities to improve on their livelihood (11). These amenities include electricity, pipe borne water, better schools, hospitals and other recreational activities.

Furthermore, boredom in farming including farming is full of risks and uncertainties, problem of climate change, lots of farm drudgery, high capital outlay, and problem of land acquisition as discouraging and in effect compelled lots of people particularly youths to jettison

the profession and migrate to urban areas for better livelihood (31). In addition, economic reason was reported by the sampled population as a reason for urban migration as showed in the table above. The urban areas are sites of government agencies and industries hence, have more job opportunities couple with higher wages for better living. Also, reasonable populations of the respondents were lured into cities by influence of peer pressure. The remittances that families of the peer groups enjoy in rural areas propelled many rural youths into urban migration to achieve the same feat (17)

More so, persecutions was among the reasons tabled by the respondents for migrating to the urban areas to seek refuge for their precious. Persecutions could be detrimental to ones wellbeing and economic security and could be political, religious and traditional rites (12). Finally, social interactions and exposure with people that could connect them to greater class, has forced many respondents into moving to cities were they are assorted.

Table 3: Causes of Rural-Urban Migration

Course of Attraction	Frequency	Percentage
Social Amenities	20	16.6%
Economic reasons	20	16.6%
Boredom of farming	25	20.8
Peer Pressure	15	12.5
Social Reason	12	10.0
Persecutions	28	23.2
Total	120	100

Source: Field Survey, 2015.

The dependence and usefulness of larger household size irrespective of their age structure especially by destitute households in the farm as work force according to (38) may have accounted for the coefficient of the household size direct relationship with child labour use as

contain in Table 4. Furthermore, statistical test of household educational level coefficient had direct relationship with the dependent variable and concurred with the finding of (36) on child labour in rice production in Anambra Agricultural Zone of Anambara State, Nigeria. They opined that educated people are always cautious on the health hazards and as well as legal implication of indulging children into work.

Moreso, cost of hired labour as shown in Table 4 was positively signed in line with a priori expectation and connotes that the higher the cost of labour, the more likelihood economic disadvantaged families coercion their children into farm work in order to curtail minimally cost of production (7). In addition, the coefficient of level of poverty of household head had direct relationship with child labour use. This implies that monetary deprived household coercion their children into economic labour in order to augment their meager resources for family upkeep (18). In attestation to the above statement, (7) in their study on relationship between poverty and child labour in Ghana concluded that children from poorer households are almost four times likely to be engaged in harmful (Human capacity inflicting child labour) than those children from wealthy households.

Also, age of the household head coefficient was found to be positive and which implied that as the household head advances in age, the tendency for his/her children being engaged in farm work increases. The aforementioned statement is more allied to poorer household. Nevertheless, old age is often associated with reduced energy and optimism necessary in farming hence, household heads that falls into this category, often supplement his/her labour with children labour for meaningful production to be realised in the farm (21). Finally, household head's health status was positive and concurred with (33), who opined that ill health undermines

252 agricultural productivity and income generation efforts of individuals, through reduced healthy
 253 and quality of labour inputs, reduced economic output and resource under-utilization.

254

255 **Table 4: Youth rural – urban migration and child labour use in the study area.**

Variables	Parameters
Intercept	-4.568 (-4.271)***
Edu level	0.411 (3.005)*
Occupation	0.023 (0.435)
Poverty level	0.066 (2.538)**
Age	0.052 (4.727)***
Household size	0.432(3.054)***
Poverty	-0.248 (-1.085)
Household health	0.567 (2.239)***
Cost of hired labour	0.011 (2.006)**
Membership	-0.040 (-976)
Number of Obs	= 120
LR - Chi (19)	= 79.29
$\hat{P}$ Prob> Chi	= 0.3489

Pseudo R² = 0.0052

Log likelihood = -59.901248

*,** and *** imp|1PS significance at 10%, 5% and 1% respectively

In bird scaring, hired labour is seldom used but children labour as indicated in Table 5. This is because bird scaring is less tedious, long working hour of at least 12 hours and with low wage compare to other rice production activities. This finding is synonymous with (35) on child labour in Anambra Zone of Anambra State. Fertilizer application in rice production is the second most production activities in which child labour is being used. This exercise is less tedious as broadcasting method of fertilizer application is often used. Land preparation was the least production activities in which children was being used for, since the practice is very tedious and requires the services of able bodied youths, of which children are seldom used for this exercise.

Table 5: Distribution of Rice Production Activities in Relationship to Child Labour Use.

Item	Frequency	Percentage
Cleaning	15	12.25
Bird scaring	30	25
Nursery Making	10	8.3
Planting	15	12.5
Fertilizer Application	20	16.6
Weeding	4	3.3
Rice Threshing	4	3.3
Transportation	3	2.5
Parboiling	4	3.3
Land preparation	3	2.5
Harvesting	6	5
Total	120	100

Source: Field Survey, 2015.

Conclusion and Recommendations

Based on the results, the following major conclusions were deduced

270 Most of the respondents were young and moderately educated.

271 The major determinant factors to child labour use by the household heads were: household size,
272 household health, and cost of hired labour. The major causes of youth migration were;
273 persecutions, boredom of farming, social amenities, economic reasons. The rice production
274 activities of which children were being use are: bird scaring, fertilizer application and paddy
275 clearing.

276 Based on the results the following recommendations are proffered:

- 277 (1) Compulsory free education for every child to reduce the chances of being used for labour.
- 278 (2) Social mobilization through campaigns to provide information, raise awareness and
279 change attitude of people towards child labour through exposing them to occupational
280 hazards involved
- 281 (3) Advocacy for the right of the child and enacting laws and policies aimed at eliminating
282 all forms of child labour. The advocacy should monitor the progress of implementation
283 and enforcement of the laws.
- 284 (4) Government should provide social amenities in the rural areas so as to reduce the
285 migration rate of the youths.
- 286 (5) Government should create employment for youths living in the rural areas.
- 287 (6) Transformation of traditional agriculture to modern agriculture: This will enable the
288 youth to engage in agriculture as the system will make farming interesting.
- 289 (7) Establishment of industries in the rural area that will absorb the youth working
290 population.
- 291 (8) Government and non-governmental bodies should make efforts to educate the masses on
292 the detrimental effect of rural-urban migration.

- (9) Loans should be made available to the rural farmers and terms of agreement made in simply language to them, this will help them purchase more land for cultivation and as well as for labour payment.

References

- AFWOP. Fields of Perils Child Labour in US agriculture Available online at http://www.org/report/2007/05/5/field_perils. 2007.
- Agesa, R. U., and Agea, T. A. Migration and the Urban to Rural Earnings, difference; A sample selection approach. *Journal of Economic Development and Cultural Change*. Vol. 49.847-865. 1999.
- Archana, M. “ Elimination of Child Labour- A Study of the Role of Law and Non-Governmental Organizations from a Perspective of the Rights of the Child”, Bangalore: National Law School of India University, 2000, p.2.; also see *Encyclopaedia of Social-Sciences* (1959) p.413. 2000.
- Ashasire, J. K. Child Labour and Africa’s Development.“An Overview”.*Nigeria Journal of Social and Development Issues* 4(1):43-57 1997.
- Baland, Jean-Marie and James, A. R. 'Is child labour inefficient?' *Journal of Political Economy* 108, 663–679. 2000.
- Basu, K and Homa, Z. 'Is product boycott a good idea for controlling child labour? A theoretical investigation'.*Journal of Development Economics* 2009; 88, 217–220.
- Beverly, G. *The World of Child Labour*. M.E. Sharpe. pp. 173–177. ISBN 978-0-7656-1707-1.P.341. 2009.

- 320 Bhukuth, A.. "Defining child labour: a controversial debate".*Development in Practice*, 18, 385–
321 394. 2008.
- 322 Brette, H. Child Labour and School Attendance. Evidence from MICS and DHS Surveys.
323 Seminar on Child Labour, Migration and Youth Empowerment Madrid Sept pp 14-24.
324 2000.
- 325 Dixon, M. The Development of labour force in sub-Saharan African Economic Development and
326 Cultural change.Macmillan Publisher. PP. 5-7. 2005.
- 327 Eboh, E. C. Frameworks for study of Rural-Rural Migration in South Eastern Nigeria.*Research*
328 *Mimeograph*.University of Nigeria, Nsukka.Pp 38-41C
- 329 Emerson, P. M., and André, P. S. "Is Child Labour Harmful? The Impact of Working Earlier in
330 Life on Adult Earnings."*Economic Development and Cultural Change* 59:345–385. 2002.
- 331 Eze, C.T and Akpa, C.E: Analysis of technical efficiency of National Fadama II facility on
332 arable crop farmers in Imo State, Nigeria. *Nigeria Agricultural Journal*, 2010; 41(1): 109
333 -115.
- 334 FAO. Nigeria Rice Production Increase and import Duty raised. www.Fasudda.fov. Accessed
335 30/12/2007. 2002.
- 336 FAO. Statistical database, FAOSTAT (2003).Food and Agriculture Organization. Rome. 2006.
337
- 338 FAO. FAOSTAT: Food and agriculture Database result. 2006.
- 339 FAO. FAOSTAT Statistics Division of the Food and Agriculture Organization. Rome. 2008.
- 340 Humbert, F. The Challenge of Child Labour in International Law.P.314. 2009.
- 341 ILO. International Labour Organization Programme on the Elimination of Child Labour.
342 What is Child Labour2006.Available online at [http://www.ILO/pec/fact/lang-](http://www.ILO/pec/fact/lang-en/index.htm)
343 [en/index.htm](http://www.ILO/pec/fact/lang-en/index.htm). 2006.

- 344 ILO: The world of work. Global Labour Agreement: A framework for rights No. 45: December,
345 2006. ILO Magazine.Pp 36-40. 2006.
- 346 ILO. Investing in every child: An economic Study of the Costs and Benefits of Eliminating Child
347 Labour.P.314. 2001.
- 348 Karren, W. "Invisible Hands: Child Labour and the State in Colonial Zimbabwe by Beverly
349 Grier (a review)". The Journal of the History of Childhood and Youth 1 (3): 481–483.
350 2008.
- 351 National Population Commission (NPC): The population census of the Federal Republic of
352 Nigeria Analytical Report at the National Population Commission Abuja. 2006.
- 353 Nwaru,J.C.andEkumankama,O.O: Economic of Resources Use by Women Arable Crop from
354 Abia State.Research report submitted to the senate grant committee Michael
355 OkparaUniverity of Agriculture,Umudike,December,Pp.40. 2002.
- 356 Okorie, J. U. Investment project Report. Department of Agricultural Economics, Extension and
357 Rural Development, Imo State University, Owerri. Pp. 6-19. 2006.
- 358 Onyenweaku,C.E.,Okoye,B.C. and Okorie,K.C: Determinants of fertilizer adoption by rice
359 farmers in Bende Local Government Area of AbiaState,Nigeria.Nigeria Agricultural
360 Journal,41(2):1-6. 2010.
- 361 Padhi, P.K. "Child Labour: Yesterday, Today and Tomorrow", Journal Section Lab. I.C., 2004,
362 p.177 cited in Kulashresta J.C: "Child Labour in India", 1978, p.1. 3 2004.
- 363 Rigg, Y. K. Rural Development and Migration in the Developing Countries. Ibadan, University
364 Press. pp. 18-23. 2003.
- 365 Sayad, A. The suffering of immigrants.Policy press, New York.P.4. 2004.
- 366 Thomas, P. "Child Labour-Prohibition V. Abolition: untangling the Constitutional Tangle". New
367 Delhi: Journal of Indian Law Institute. Vol. 50, pp.270-300. 2008.

- 368 Shukla, C.K. and Ali, S. Child Labour; Socio-Economic Dimensions, New Delhi: Swaroop and
 369 Sons, 2006, p.178; see also Institute of Public Opinion, Monthly Commentary on Indian
 370 Economic Conditions, December. Pp.211-217. 2006.
- 371 Ukeji, K. T. "African Rural Urban Migration: The movement of Nigeria's" Towns. Australia
 372 National Press. Pp 120-124. 2003.
- 373 Ume, S.I and Okoye, F.U: Child labour in rice production. A case study of Anambra
 374 Agricultural zone of Anambra state, Nigeria Journal of Art and Social Science
 375 Education, 1(1): 233-239. 2006.
- 376 Ume, S.I; Onuh N.C; Ndukauba J; Nnadozie Ako: Factors determining the adoption of
 377 recommended cocoyam production technologies in Owerri west Local Government Area,
 378 Imo State proceedings of the 47th Annual conference of the Agricultural society of
 379 Nigeria. Page 638-641. 2013.
- 380 USAID, "Urban profile; Nigeria: Making cities work. Available Online @:
 381 www.makingcitieswork.org. 2002.
- 382 Yacouba, D. F. and Hagemann, A.E., Yonca, G. and Farhad, M. "Global child labour
 383 developments: Measuring trends from 2004 to 2008". ILO. ISBN 978-92-2-123522-4.
 384 Pp.412-415. 2010.

385
 386
 387
 388
 389
 390
 391
 392
 393
 394

APPENDIX

QUESTIONNAIRE “Effect Of Youth Rural-Urban Migration on Child Labour Use in Rice (*Oryza sativa*) Production In Afikpo South Local Government Area Of Ebonyi State, Nigeria.

SECTION A

SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF FARMERS

1. SEX - (A) Male ☐ (B) Female ☐
2. Age of child ☐
3. Level of Education (A) No formal ☐ (B) Primary ☐ (C) Secondary ☐
4. (D) Tertiary ☐
5. Household Head's health healthy ☐ sick ☐
6. Poverty Level of household head (a) living above \$1 (378)/ day (b) Living below \$1 (378)/ day
7. Membership of any organization (a) Yes ☐ (b) No ☐
8. Relationship between household and child (a) biological son ☐ b) maid ☐ (c) servant ☐
(d) uncle/ cousin ☐
9. Occupation of the parents (A) Farming ☐ (b) civil servant ☐ (c) business ☐
(d) artisan ☐

MAJOR RICE AGRONOMIC PRACTICES IN WHICH CHILDREN ARE USED TO PERFORM ITEMS

	GENDER	
	MALE	FEMALE
1. Clearing	☐	☐
2. Bird Scaring	☐	☐
3. Nursery Making	☐	☐
4. Planting	☐	☐
5. Fertilizer Application	☐	☐
6. Weeding	☐	☐
7. Rice Threshing	☐	☐
8. Transportation	☐	☐
9. Parboiling	☐	☐
10. Land Preparation	☐	☐
11. Harvesting	☐	☐

REASONS FOR YOUTH RURAL-URBAN MIGRATION

428

429

430

1. To take care of my need

Yes

☐

No

☐

431

2. Economic Gains

Yes

☐

No

☐

432

3. For personal interest

Yes

☐

No

☐

433

4. As a means of livelihood

Yes

☐

No

☐

434

5. Alternative for schooling

Yes

☐

No

☐

435

6. For leisure

Yes

☐

No

☐

436

7. To supplement parent's income

Yes

☐

No

☐

437

438

439