



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_28326
Title of the Manuscript:	Religion as The Opium of The Masses: A Study of The Contemporary Relevance of Karl Marx
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:
(<http://sciencedomain.org/journal/45/editorial-policy>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The authors have not described the Nigerian situation good enough. It would help the reader to understand why they come to the conclusions that they have reached. It does not help to just name everything that is going wrong in this country.	To be sincere to ourselves, many Nigerian Christians have failed to demonstrate the virtue of Jesus. That is why we are experiencing what we are experiencing today. With the number of Churches we have in Nigeria today, evil should not be spreading like an hammattan fire if those who are going to Church exhibit the virtues of Jesus
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The language is not good.	That can be attributed to one my co-authors and I did not want to contend with him because the paper was jointly written. However I have adjusted some of them. Thank you, sir.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		