

Editor's Comment:

The mistakes explained in the last review were all examples of typo, punctuation, spacing, formatting and grammatical errors. The author(s) are responsible to follow the comments to publish their work in a high quality manner.

Example of errors:

1-Line 58: antimetabolite OR anti-metabolite

2- Line 76: it was mentioned before that “ Alvarez et al.” should be deleted as the other citations in the text do not follow this format.

3-Line 102: however, its position in the cell determines

4- In case that Figure 1, 2 and 3 were exactly copied from the other sources (as the authors mentioned), it is considered as plagiarism unless the authors do some modifications in the figures and make them different from the original source. Then they can claim as “adopted from.....”

5- Line 117: (FITC-labelled anti-mouse antibody: Abcam ab6785)

6- Figure 3: it is still not aligned horizontally!

7- Line 131: ...both drugs cause.....

8- Line 148 still unclear!. (.....is not localize by both bleomycin and 5-FU used.!!!). Does the author want to say that: that UDG protein in lungs cancer cells is cytoplasmic. UDG protein does not aggregate after treating SW480 cells with bleomycin or 5-FU?

9- Line 148: As a result of... NOT as result of!!!

10- Line 156: undertaken

11- Line 168: pp. 1321-1336

12- Line 174: pp. 640-645

Please notice that the above mentioned errors are examples of the errors. The author(s) have to look through the manuscript carefully. Noted Sir.

Author's Feedback: Sir, thanks for the corrections and suggestions

1. Antimetabolite
2. Corrected
3. Corrected
4. The figures has been removed
5. It has been removed
6. An adjustment was made
7. Corrected
8. That's the point Sir. An adjustment was made
9. Corrected
10. Noted and corrected
11. Noted and corrected
12. Noted and corrected