



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_42912
Title of the Manuscript:	Soil Fertility, Tuber Yield and Nutrient Uptake of Manihot esculenta Crantz. Influenced by Organic Fertilizers
New title of the Manuscript:	Study on the Effectiveness of Natural Organic Fertilizers on Cassava (<i>Manihot esculenta</i> Crantz.) Cultivation
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
Abstract: Put keywords alphabetically In table 1: - ~~P₂O₅~~ P₂O₅ - ~~K₂O~~ K₂O In table 2: Put the space between the number and the unit. - Ca (meq/100g) Ca (meq/100 g) - K (meq/100g) K (meq/100 g) - Total Nitrogen Content (%) Total Nitrogen Content (%) - Potassium Content (mg/100g) Potassium Content (mg/100 g) In table 3: Put the space between the number and the unit. - Ca (meq/100g) Ca (meq/100 g) - K (meq/100g) K (meq/100 g) - Total K (mg/100g) Total K (mg/100 g) I have a problem with the presentation of the results. To say that a treatment is significantly different from the other, it must be confirmed by a statistical test. I only see the means and the standard deviation as a result. This work was not discussed. The discussion should provide detailed interpretation of data. This should interpret the significance of the findings of the work. Citations should be given in support of the findings. ie for example, if the vedagro increases the yield relative to the control, the authors must explain why. They must also compare their results with those of similar works in the literature. Conclusion: This work has no prospects / perspectives This article is fairly well written.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Temegne Nono Carine
Department, University & Country	University of Yaoundé I, Cameroon