



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_42878
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARISON OF DIFFERENT INFILTRATION MODELS USING FIELD MEASURED INFILTRATION RATES OF A SANDY SOIL IN ZING LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA OF TARABA STATE.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In Equation (i), the constant "a" should appear as a power Use consistent denotations Z or z, f0 or f ₀ etc to avoid confusion Edit the text to avoid many missing blanks e g line 101 expressed as In Table 2, where relevant give units Please correct the reference list which has a strange numbering Please follow guidelines http://www.sciencedomain.org/page/general-guideline-for-authors e g with regard to referencing including providing web links or DOI	
Minor REVISION comments	It would be interesting to know how representative the findings are for varying conditions e g as discussed in https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/98WR01659	
Optional/General comments		

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gunnar Bengtsson
Department, University & Country	Sweden