SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJSSPN_40577**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Irrigation Waters Suitability Characterization and Classification of Cheffa Valley water Resources at Oromiya Special Zone, Ethiopia**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. **Line 10 – 13 is too long a statement and is confusing** 2. **Make line 58 more lucid** 3. **Let your description be a vector quantity, 350 km east? In line 82** 4. **Remove topographically in line 85** 5. **I do not understand what makes raining between Feb – June (5months) short and raining between July – Early September (2.5months) main in line 96. You can look into this** 6. **Why did you put your table at the end of the paper? Tables and figures are often placed where they are discussed** 7. **Generally check your grammars e.g. lines 196, 197, 213, 214,215** 8. **I suggest you recast the title, does this capture your mind "Irrigation Suitability of Cheffa Valley water Resources at Oromiya Special Zone in Ethiopia"**	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	It is a well-conceived and well-structured paper	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Sunday Olufemi Adesogan***
Department, University & Country	***Civil Engineering Department, University of Ibadan, Nigeria***