



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_38426
Title of the Manuscript:	Characterization and Classification of Soils along Toposequence of Gobeya Sub-Watershed
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract: You only dig 4 profile and other auger points but you left profile 3 from undisturbed sampling. Please give sufficient reasoning in materials and methodology section and do not indicate this thing in abstract.</p> <p>Methodology: Only 4 pits are not enough to study toposequence. However, you took many auger points (please mention the depth)</p> <p>Your methodology lacks the geo statistics of soil mapping (which model do you use to generalize the spatial extent of the defined soil class, please show detail model statistics)</p> <p>In result and discussion, Please focus on figures and tables rather describing in text.</p> <p>You fail to explain the area coverage of the defined soil class and their characteristics.</p> <p>Please draw a single conclusion instead of conclusions</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Roshan Babu Ojha
Department, University & Country	Nepal