



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_42631
Title of the Manuscript:	The effect of potassium from different sources applied as foliar spray and soil drenching on the nutrients' status of the saline calcareous soil, yield, and quality of carrot (Daucus carota L.)
Type of the Article	Original research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The subject is very interesting. Introductions well documented, with recent bibliographic references. The chapter of the material and method has been presented correctly and in detail and the results were adequately presented in relation to the clearly defined objectives.	Thank you very much for the reviewer for his/her time and effort revising our manuscript. All valuable comments will be taken into consideration and the manuscript will be modified as recommended.
Minor REVISION comments	It is recommended to use the equation editor in some cases: Point 1: Point 2: Point 3: Point 4: Point 5: Point 6: In equation 1 there is P_{nf} and in the explanation P_{nf} ; requires uniformity Point 7: In equation 1 there is P_{n0} and in the explanation P_{n0} ; requires uniformity Point 8: Depending on the correction at point 6 and point 7, the correction to point 8 is recommended Point 9: Point 10: Point 11: Point 12: Point 13: Point 14: Point 15: Point 16: Point 17: Point 18: Point 19: Point 20: Point 21: Point 22: Point 23:	Equations were already written using the eqn. editor but in an external blank word file then copied into the manuscript. It seemed like a picture. This is because the " <i>Insert</i> → <i>Equation</i> " option in the paper template was inactive and couldn't be inserted directly into the manuscript. Point 1: Ionic form corrected. Points 2-5: Ionic forms modified. Points 6, 7 and 8: Done, all equations' terms were unified. (eqn. 1, 2 and 3) Points 9, 10 and 11: Ionic forms and the confusing sentence were deleted. Points 12, 13: Ionic forms were deleted. A clearer Scheme 1 was included (page 6). Points 14 - 24: Ionic forms deleted and replaced by their names.
Optional/General comments		