



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_41181
Title of the Manuscript:	Geostatistical Evaluation of Spatial Variability of Selected Soil Physical Properties under Different Landuse Systems in Ado Ekiti, southwest Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Abstract</b></p> <p>1. The soil properties showed varying degree of spatial variability, with Ks highly variable; Please Justify this statement by giving results.</p> <p>2.line 17. Support the statement by valid data</p> <p>3.Include the specific objective in the abstract</p> <p>4. Indicate the treatment and the design.</p> <p>5.include the results</p> <p>6. and conclusion</p> <p>Key words should be bold</p> <p><b>Introduction</b></p> <p>2. In the introduction, justify the spatial variability of soil properties by soil data of same field.</p> <p>3. in the materials and methods, there is no material written</p>	<p>We would like to thank the reviewer for the thorough reading of this manuscript and for the comments and suggestions which will help improve the quality of this manuscript.</p> <p>Our responses are as follows.</p> <p>1 and 2. The statements have been justified by adding valid data.</p> <p>3. The specific objectives have been mentioned.</p> <p>4. The study focussed on spatial variability study on landscape, so the three crops were not considered as treatments, hence no experimental design.</p> <p>5. The major results have been added.</p> <p>6. The conclusion has been improved.</p> <p>The keywords have been bold.</p> <p><b>Introduction</b></p> <p>a. The introduction has been revised as suggested.</p> <p>b. The Materials and Methods heading has been changed to Methodology</p> <p>We hope our revision has improved the paper to a level of satisfaction.</p>
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		