



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_40577
Title of the Manuscript:	Irrigation Waters Suitability Characterization and Classification of Cheffa Valley water Resources at Oromiya Special Zone, Ethiopia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Line 10 – 13 is too long a statement and is confusing 2. Make line 58 more lucid 3. Let your description be a vector quantity, 350 km east? In line 82 4. Remove topographically in line 85 5. I do not understand what makes raining between Feb – June (5months) short and raining between July – Early September (2.5months) main in line 96. You can look into this 6. Why did you put your table at the end of the paper? Tables and figures are often placed where they are discussed 7. Generally check your grammars e.g. lines 196, 197, 213, 214,215 8. I suggest you recast the title, does this capture your mind "Irrigation Suitability of Cheffa Valley water Resources at Oromiya Special Zone in Ethiopia"	Noted.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It is a well-conceived and well-structured paper	Ok.