



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_40339
Title of the Manuscript:	RESPONSE OF CASSAVA AND SESAME TO DIFFERENT LEVELS OF FERTILIZER (NPK 20:10:10) APPLICATION IN CASSAVA/SESAME INTERCROP IN MAKURDI, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Better to show the individual mean separation signs to identify the clear difference between treatments. 0 kg/ha mean there is no fertilizer application although they are showing the competitive results in your data sheets?. 0 and 400 mean there is a huge gap in fertilizer application. But there is less variants.	noted
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Check the scientific names.	