



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_38378
Title of the Manuscript:	Different nitrogen fertilization in Jabuticaba seedlings
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Authors should remove aim, methodology, results and conclusion in the abstract section. Write concise abstract. Experiment design: random blocks. What is the meaning? Is it Randomised complete block design? If the experiment was performed in the close environment or greenhouse. The big question is what are you blocking? 25 liters of soil???? Is the soil weight measured in volume? There are so many grammatical and typographical errors. I would suggest that a native English speaker should assist authors to proofread this manuscript. This manuscript should be returned back to the authors with possibility of publication, if all these aforementioned points could be corrected.	The blocking reduced the ambient effect in the experiment and according to a statistic it can be used inside a greenhouse. The pots were of 25 liters filled until the top, it wasn't measured.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		