> 3 4

Original Research Article

Iron Overload in the Root Environment of Rice (*Oryza sativa- L*) with a Miserable Nutrients Specification.

5 Abstract:

In waterlogged soils under low pH, Fe²⁺ availability increases and may reach toxic levels. 6 The conditions of iron toxicity are quite well established over the World. The physiological 7 effects of Fe²⁺ within plant with subsequent plants' nutrients status are well documented in 8 many literatures. Despite our current knowledge of the processes and mechanisms involved, 9 10 iron toxicity, a function of growth conditions and the cultivar types remains as an important 11 constraint to rice production, together with nutrients deficiency in the regional levels. To 12 screen Fe tolerant cultivars and thus to evaluate the mechanisms involved in response to excess Fe, experiment was carried out with rice cultivars – Raniit, Siyal Sali and Mahsuri, 13 14 grown by developing artificial Fe toxic conditions in the soils of experimental pots applying different Fe²⁺ concentrations (control- normal soil iron from rice field, +100, +200 and +300 15 ppm respectively). The study of plants' biochemical parameters confirmed the resistance of 16 17 Mahsuri plants to Fe excess. With steady recovery of neutral pH and better chlorophyll 18 contents, the root and shoot nutrients of Mahsuri were found to be higher compared to the plants of other two varieties when exposed to excess Fe. Except Fe and N in roots and shoots, 19 the excess of Fe caused a negative impact on other nutrients in these vulnerable cultivars. 20 21 Plants of Ranjit and Siyal Sali seem to be affected directly by Fe toxicity and also by the pseudo Fe toxicity, whereas Mahsuri seems to make use of the exclusion /and or avoidance 22 23 mechanism to Fe overload.

24

25 Key Words: toxicity, nutrients, Oryza sativa- L, investigation, vulnerable

2627 Introduction:

Iron is essential for plant growth and development¹. In anaerobic acid soils, however, 28 high concentrations of ferrous (Fe^{2+}) ions may lead to Fe toxicity due to excessive Fe uptake², 29 which can result in yield reductions from 12 to 100 percent³. Excess Fe can be extremely 30 toxic, as it reacts with oxygen and catalyses the production of free radical species. In 31 32 waterlogged soil iron toxicity may disrupts or over expresses a number of metabolic routes can bring about nutrient disorder in rice cultivars. The expression of iron-toxicity symptom 33 requires the excessive uptake of Fe^{2+} by roots and its acropetal translocation via xylem flow 34 35 into the leaves.

In North East India, a major portion of the rice is grown under lowland conditions⁴, and Assam is the highest rice producing state, where all rice is grown in waterlogged soils. Use of tolerant rice cultivars retaining better nutrients level is the best alternative and inexpensive technologies for rice production on Fe toxic soils of this area^{4,5}.

Although several research work have been conducted worldwide to identify adaptive 40 responses of different rice genotypes still rate of nutrients absorption (ionic competition for 41 absorption) and their availability (in favourable oxidation states) under higher iron 42 43 concentrations is a matter of debates. Under anaerobic conditions O₂ release from rice roots, oxidise Fe²⁺ to polymeric oxy-hydroxide which coats on roots surface preventing the uptake 44 of Fe²⁺, Mn²⁺ and also acts as P reservoir⁶. Silveira et al (2007)⁶ had also cited that except for 45 Mn, no other nutrients seemed to have impaired uptake due to Fe toxicity in the vulnerable 46 47 cultivar (I409 plants) and not in the resistant one (E108 plants).

The Fe^{2+} concentrations in the soil solution that reportedly affect lowland-rice yields can range from 10 to >2000 mg per liter⁷. Iron-induced yield reduction is frequently associated with a poor nutrient status of the soil⁸. Hence, many workers suggest that excess Fe^{2+} may result in lower uptake of other essential nutrients due to chemical interactions in soil (ZnFe₂O₃, K-Fe complex). Sahrawat (2004, 2010)^{3, 9} has reported the possibility of "pseudo Fe toxicity" (Fe toxicity symptoms induced by nutrients deficiency) and "true Fe toxicity" (caused by excessive Fe²⁺ uptake) in rice grown at higher iron concentration.

Plant's tolerance to excess Fe might be the effect of Fe avoidance and/or tolerance to 55 high internal Fe concentration. Such avoidance and/or tolerance capacity to Fe overload is a 56 genotypic function^{6,10}. To sum-up, the conditions of iron toxicity are quite well established all 57 over the World. The physiological effects of Fe^{2+} within the plant with subsequent plants 58 59 nutrients status are well documented in many literatures. In spite of our current knowledge of the processes and mechanisms involved, iron toxicity remains an important constraint to rice 60 61 production in regional level where selection of cultivars having the ability to maintain high 62 levels of essential micro and macro nutrients under Fe toxic condition is a successful approach for lowland rice cultivation in acid soil. To screen Fe tolerant cultivars and thus to 63 evaluate the mechanisms involved in response to excess Fe, experiment was carried out with 64 rice cultivars – Ranjit, Siyal Sali and Mahsuri, grown by developing artificial Fe toxic 65 conditions in the soils of experimental pots applying different Fe^{2+} concentrations. In this 66 work we studied the differential responses of three rice cultivars to iron excess by evaluating 67 the influence of Fe nutrition on other nutrients uptake, their elemental concentrations in rice 68 roots and shoots, to help the investigation of mechanisms involved in resistance to Fe 69 70 toxicity.

71 Materials and methods:

An artificial Fe toxic conditions in the experimental pots were developed with soils 72 collected from a rice field located at Titabor of state Assam, India (soil type-sandy clay loam, 73 total soil iron 345ppm, pH 5.4, available phosphorus 18.1kg.ha⁻¹, nitrogen 460kg.ha⁻¹, potash 74 127kg ha⁻¹ and organic carbon 1.2%). The experiments was conducted with three rice (Oryza 75 sativa-L) varieties viz. Mahsuri, Ranjit (high yielding varieties) and Siyal Sali (traditional tall 76 variety) and four different levels of Fe²⁺ like control (normal soil without adding external 77 Fe^{2+}), +100 ppm, +200 ppm and +300 ppm in the form of FeSO₄.7H₂O were applied to the 78 79 experimental pots. Treatments were replicated four times in a randomized block design (Fisher and Yates, 1957). Thirty days old seedlings of uniform vigour were transplanted at 80 the rate of three seedlings per pot. 100 ml of Fe^{2+} solutions of the said concentrations were 81 added to the pots once a week after transplanting at an interval of seven days till panicle 82 83 initiation stage. A uniform waterlogged environment was maintained with distilled water in the pots throughout the experimental period. 84

pH record: pH of soil solutions were recorded (from each pot) *in-situ* at an interval of seven
days from days after transplanting (DAT) (n=5 for each pot) with the help of a digital pH
meter.

88 Total Leaf chlorophyll: Extraction and estimation was done by spectrophotometric
 89 method¹².

90 Nutrients analysis: The K content was determined flame photo-metrically from mineral 91 solution obtained after tri-acid digestion¹³. P was estimated from mineral solution converting 92 phosphate to phosphomolybdic acid and finally reducing with hydroquinone. The blue colour 93 developed was measured in a spectrophotometer (Systronics UV-VIS Spectrophotometer 94 118) at 660nm¹³. The total nitrogen in roots and shoots were determined by Micro-Kjeldahl's 95 method¹⁴ with 0.5 g powdered sample after digesting with concentrated H₂SO₄ and catalyst 96 mixture. N content was determined by titrating the distillate with 0.1N HCl.

97 Mineral solution was prepared by digesting 1g dry samples in tri-acid mixture and 98 extracted with concentrated nitric acid. Fe, Mn, Zn & Cu were determined by Atomic 99 Absorption Spectrophotometer (Chemito, AA 203D) from mineral solution using separate 100 primary standard for each micro-nutrient¹⁴.

101 Statistical analyses of experimental data were carried out by using SPSS software. 102 Analysis of variance was carried out to test the significance of treatment effect. F-test, 103 coefficient of variance and critical difference were calculated by standard method¹⁵.

104 **Results and Discussions:**

The pH of the growth medium has significant impact on the properties of soils and 105 106 consequently on the nutrient uptake by crop plants. The pH of soil solution is thought to be 107 best for plant growth when kept between 5.5 and 6.5. Plant growth in acid soils may be limited by pH-induced Fe²⁺ toxicity as acidity increases the solubility of Mn and Fe in acid 108 soils⁷. In such adverse pH condition plants suffer from ionic imbalance through a competition 109 110 between the similarly charged ions for binding and carrier sites. Although acidic injury is negligible in a medium at a pH above 4, lower pH in acid soils is one of the factors 111 responsible for growth retardation, empires mineral nutrients in plants¹⁶. In our investigation 112 we detected an interesting relation between soil pH vs varieties and also these variables with 113 114 Treatments (Figure 1). The initial pH rested in between 5 to 5.5 irrespective of treatments. Here Mahsuri considered being efficient variety which showed recovery of pH after sharp 115 drop in the initial period. Similar improvement of pH was not observed in varieties Ranjit and 116 117 Siyal Sali. The varieties Ranjit and Siyal Sali could not recovered the initial pH (pH=5.2) up to 70 days after transplanting (DAT), rather a decreasing trend was detected for Ranjit at 300 118 ppm Fe^{2+} in the medium (Figure 1D). Of course Mahsuri showed a differential behaviour in 119 the change of pH and showed better recovery at different growth stages. At maximum 120 tillering stage (MTS) and panicle initiation stage (PIS) we observed a sharp increase in soil 121 pH (pH =6) and a quick revival after 70 DAT (as straight line) at 300 ppm Fe^{2+} (Figure 1D). 122 A sound recovery in the pH in the efficient plants might lower the reduction of Fe^{3+} to Fe^{2+} 123 on root surface through the release of other reductants and the plants sustain better 124 physiological, biochemical activities. At nearly neutral pH solubility of Fe in the rooting 125 126 medium is reduced by the fast oxidation of ferrous-Fe, favours the formation of iron plaque 127 and hence iron immobilization occurs in the roots. Although we conducted the experiment with similar soil environment, different pH curves were documented by the three varieties 128 129 which signify that pH variation in water saturated soil is also a varietal function.

A marked reduction in chlorophyll contents were observed at 300 ppm and 200 ppm Fe²⁺ 130 131 in the growth medium (Figure 2). Maximum chlorophyll content was recorded in the plants 132 grown in control soil iron. Total leaf chlorophyll content was found to be reduced in the 133 varieties Ranjit and Siyal Sali grown at higher level of iron (Figure 2). Interactions between levels of Fe²⁺ and varieties were also found to be significant on the total chlorophyll content. 134 135 Mahsuri recorded relatively higher chlorophyll content irrespective of the treatments compared to Ranjit and Siyal Sali. Mahsuri might have been able to maintain higher 136 chlorophyll content through chloroplast development⁴. Ranjit recorded lower chlorophyll at 137 138 200ppm and 300ppm Fe followed by Siyal Sali. Our findings also revealed the variation of 139 total chlorophyll in different growth stages. Mahsuri sustained stable leaf chlorophyll content at different growth stages, quite reverse to the other two varieties (Figure 3). Perhaps, severe 140 damage in cell structural components in early growing stages due to Fe²⁺ mediated ROS, 141 142 might be the reason of rapid reduction of chlorophyll content in these varieties.

The detrimental effect of Fe^{2+} became more pronounced when its concentrations increase in waterlogged soil. In waterlogged soil, excess uptake of Fe^{2+} by the roots and its acropetal translocation into the leaves must have catalyzed the generation of active O₂ species or free radicals which could render the peroxidation of chloroplast membranes, damage cell structural components and impair the plants' physiological processes and subsequently lead to a decrease in chlorophyll content in the sensitive varieties. As expected, plants grown in higher soil Fe^{2+} had higher Fe concentrations than those grown under control Fe levels, both in roots and shoots (Figure 4). Of course higher Fe concentrations were found in roots than in shoots. At 200 & 300 ppm Fe^{2+} , higher concentrations of total Fe were detected in the plants of Ranjit and Siyal Sali, more susceptible to Fe toxicity, both in roots and shoot; with shoot concentrations nearly 2 times higher than in Mahsuri plants.

Expression of some plant ferritin isoforms can be induced by Fe overload¹⁷ and iron 155 storage inside ferritin could be related to Fe overload tolerance in some rice cultivars⁶. 156 Surprisingly, lower shoot Fe in the present investigation could not define the tolerance 157 capacity of the cultivars to ferritin expression. Audebert and Sahrawat (2000)¹⁸ reported that 158 Fe tolerant cultivar absorbed less Fe or translocated less Fe from root to shoot, a mechanism 159 160 involved in cultivar differences in Fe toxicity tolerance. Here we suggest that Mahsuri plants 161 are more resistant to excess Fe due to the possible induction of avoidance and / or exclusion 162 mechanisms, allowing the plant to keep lower Fe amounts in its tissues and reducing Fe translocation to shoots. Moreover a large concentration of root Fe compared to shoot Fe 163 164 concentrations might also be attributed to the formation of root plaque in the form of *Compound B* (goethite and lepidocrocite) as stated by Silvaira et al. $(2007)^{\circ}$. 165

The root Zn concentrations for the three cultivars were found higher up to 1.5 times than shoot (Figure 5 A and D). Marked treatment effects were predicted in root and shoot Zn concentrations. Here Zn concentration decreases both in roots and shoots of the tree cultivars with the increment of Fe^{2+} treatments. An apparent difference observed in Zn concentration under Fe excess was a higher Zn concentration in shoots of Mahsuri plants than in Ranjit and Siyal Sali plants. Shoot Zn concentration in Mahsuri was about 6 times higher than Ranjit and also above 2 times compared to Siyal Sali when the plants exposed to 300 ppm Fe^{2+} .

Iron (III)-oxides are known to have a strong zinc-binding tendency. In waterlogged soil 173 environment, Zn becomes available in the process of iron oxide reduction¹⁹. At the same time 174 175 the plaque formation resulting from Fe re-oxidation around the rice root can reduce the concentration of soluble Zn in the rhizosphere by forming sparingly soluble ZnFe₂O₄ 176 complex²⁰. Moreover reduced Fe can also exert a direct antagonistic effect on Zn uptake²⁰. 177 Sometimes it might also happened that the Fe plaque can lead to higher or lower Zn 178 concentrations in shoots, depending on the size of plaque layer²¹. In the present work, the 179 lower shoot Zn content compared to root in excess Fe^{2+} may be referred to the root Fe plaque 180 formation that seem to be acting either as a Zn reservoir or preventing Zn uptake. On the 181 182 other hand a better shoot Zn content in Mahsuri indicates its tolerant capacity to higher Fe^{2+} levels than the other two cultivars which may attributes to up regulation of some ZIP genes in 183 Mahsuri plants^{22, 23}. 184

Mn concentration in shoots were higher than roots in all the three cultivars, but a considerable reductions were observed in both roots and shoots subjected to higher Fe levels, with Ranjit reaching lowest levels of Mn concentration in roots and shoots (Figure 5 B and E).Of course, shoot Mn content in Mahsuri was significantly higher than other two cultivars at 300 ppm Fe²⁺. Precipitation of Mn in the Fe plaque may have resulted in its lower absorption by the cultivars where highest Fe concentrations were found. Such negative interactions between Fe and Mn have also been reported in plants²⁴.

Except Mahsuri, lower Cu concentrations were recorded in roots and shoots of Ranjit and Siyal Sali when submitted to Fe excess. It has been suggested that the Fe plaque could act as a Cu reservoir in plants, increasing Cu absorption²⁵. But in our experiment, reduction of Cu content in roots and shoots of Ranjit and Siyal Sali (Figure 5 C and F) might be due to formation of Fe plaque, being able to act as a barrier to Cu absorption⁶ or preferential uptake of Fe²⁺ on Fe overload, supported by highest shoot Fe concentrations. Mahsuri, on the other hand only the variety that could sustain better Cu concentrations by active absorption through roots and dynamic translocation to shoots even 300 ppm Fe supplementation. The varietal
 differences in shoots Cu and Zn concentrations may also be attributed to higher Cu/Zn SOD
 activities in tolerant plants in Fe overload⁵.

The nitrogen concentrations for the plants grown in higher Fe were high than grown 202 203 under control Fe levels, both in roots and shoots (Figure 6 C and F). Of course rate of 204 increment in shoots were higher than roots. The percentage increase of shoots nitrogen 205 concentration in Mahsuri plants was higher than the other two varieties. The variations 206 pattern of nitrogen concentrations were similar to that of root and shoot Fe concentrations in the cultivars. Since in water saturated acidic soil Fe³⁺ and NO₃⁻ act as electron acceptors, a 207 strong ionic competition of Fe²⁺ and NO₂ might developed around roots' periphery and 208 accelerated the uptake of nitrogen adduct along with Fe. Earlier studies have also 209 demonstrated a direct relation in the uptake of Fe and N in wheat plant or seed and external 210 supply of N at different phonological stages^{26,27,28}. 211

Moreover, the uptake and transport of metals in plant is regulated by some special N loaded transporter proteins situated in different tissues of root, stem, leaf and reproductive parts. Many of them, like the proteins of *NRAMPs* and *ZIP* family are specific in transporting iron^{29,30}. Thus the rice plants grown in higher soil Fe²⁺, the superior uptake rate of Fe from soil and their translocation to leaf and to grain is facilitated by transporter proteins, which might be considered as the possible mechanism of higher N supplement to plants.

218 Phosphorus concentration decreased considerably in roots and shoots of Ranjit and Siyal 219 Sali plants submitted to excess Fe but not in roots of Mahsuri plants (Figure 6 A and D). A 220 decreasing trend of phosphorus concentration also observed in the shoots of Mahsuri plants 221 when exposed 200 & 300 ppm Fe²⁺, was suggesting the limited P translocation to the shoots 222 of all the cultivars.

The concentration of phosphorus in the soil solution depends mainly on soil pH, and a decrease in pH can reduce P concentration by causing precipitation of amorphous Fephosphate polynuclear complexes with high surface area. In the present investigation we proposed that at low soil pH, higher amounts of Fe(III) oxides may be accumulated in the roots that can absorb anions such as phosphate and control the uptake of apoplast P into the simplast^{31,6}. Our shoot data seems to agree with Howeler (1973)³², who states that, the root's apoplastic precipitation results in lower P absorption by the plant.

- 230 Potassium is a common macronutrient in plants that activates many enzymes involved in photosynthesis, respiration and plays important roles such as starch and protein synthesis, cell 231 expansion, and stress alleviation^{33,34}. However, higher Fe concentration in the medium plays 232 an antagonistic role in plants' K uptake. Mehraban et al, (2008)³⁴ reported lower root and 233 shoot K concentration under high Fe nutrition. In the present experiment K concentration 234 decreased in the roots and shoots of Ranijt and Siyal Sali under excess Fe^{2+} in comparison to 235 236 the control treatment (Figure 6 B and E) which may be considered as the consequence higher 237 Fe nutrition and formation of Fe—K complex in soil solution. In contrast the plants of 238 Mahsuri sustained stable K concentrations in roots and shoots, where it would be expected 239 due to its higher sustainability to excess Fe toxicity.
- 240 CONCLUSION:

The variability observed in the results of soil pH, leaf chlorophyll contents and all the 241 major nutrients in roots and shoots under excess Fe²⁺ indicate the differential tolerance 242 243 capacity among the cultivars. Although, root and shoot Fe and N concentrations showed positive correlation among the cultivars, the remarkable shoot Fe concentrations with 244 simultaneous reduction in leaf chlorophyll contents explains the oxidative damage in the 245 plants of Ranjit and Siyal Sali due to Fe²⁺ induced reactive oxygen species, OH⁻ radicals 246 through Fentons' reactions. On the other hand, variety Mahsuri probably with its tolerable 247 248 shoots Fe concentration and radical pH recovery, sustained ionic balance around root surface

249 and thereby showed a positive respond to Fe overload. This variety recorded superior 250 nutrients status even at 300ppm and may be conspired as Fe tolerant cultivars. With deferred 251 pH recovery, low leaf chlorophyll and reduced root and shoot nutrients level, the plants of Ranjit and Siyal Sali exhibited Fe susceptible nature when grown in Fe²⁺ excess medium. 252 253 Moreover, except Fe and N, all other nutrients seemed to have impaired uptake due to Fe 254 toxicity in these susceptible cultivars compared to Mahsuri. Thus the plants of these cultivars 255 appear to be affected by direct Fe toxicity as well as by pseudo Fe toxicity"-- Fe toxicity 256 symptoms induced by nutrients deficiency. The Mahsuri cultivar seems to keep up mostly on 257 avoidance and/or exclusion of Fe uptake into the plant and decreased translocation to shoots, 258 being able to maintain higher nutrients levels in roots and shoots.

- 259 **References**:
- 260 1. Curie C, Briat J F (2003) Iron transport and signaling in plants. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.
 261 54:183-206
- 262 2. Bienfait H F, Van den Briel W, Mesland-Mul NT (1985) Free space iron pools in roots 263 generation and mobilization. Plant Physiol. 78:596-600
- 3. Sahrawat KL (2004) Iron toxicity in wetland rice and the role of other nutrients. J. Plant
 Nutr. 27:1471-1504
- 4. Baruah, K.K., Das, S., Das, K. (2007). Physiological disorder of rice associated with high
 levels of iron in growth medium. *J. Plant Nutr.* 30, 1871-1883
- 5. Saikia T. and Baruah K.K (2012) Iron toxicity tolerance in rice (Oryza sativa) and its association with anti-oxidative enzyme activity. *J. of Crop Sci.* 3, (3), 90-94.
- 270 6. Silveira, V. C.; Oliveira, A. P.; Sperotto, R. A.; Espindola, L. S.; Amaral, L.; Dias, J. F.;
- Cunha, J. B.; Fett, J. P. (2007) Influence of iron on mineral status of two rice (Oryza sativa L)
 cultivars. *Braz. J. Plant Physol.* 19,127-139
- 273 7. Backer, M. Asch, F.(2005), Iron toxicity in rice- conditions and management concepts. J.
- 274 *Plant Nutr. Soil Sci.* 168, 558—573
- 8. Benckiser, G., Ottow, J. C. G., Santiago, S., Watanabe, I. (1983): Iron toxicity Effect of phosphorus, potassium, calcium and magnesium fertilization on rhizoflora, redox potential
- and iron uptake by different rice varieties (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Landw. Forschung* 36, 285–299.
- 9. Sahrawat, K.L. (2010): Reducing iron toxicity in lowland rice with tolerant genotypes and
 plant nutrition Plant Stress. Global Science Books
- 10. Wu L. B., Shhadi M. Y., Gregorio G., Matthus E., Becker M. and Frei M. (2014), Genetic
 and physiological analysis of tolerance to acute iron toxicity in rice. *Rice*, 7:8
- 11. Fisher R. A., and Yates F. (1957), Statistical Tables for Biological, Agricultural and
 Medical Research. Fifth Edition, Published by Oliver and Boyd, London,
- 12. Anderson, J.M. and Boardman, N.K (1964). Studies on the greening of dark grown bean
 plants. II.Development of photochemical activity. *Aust, 1.Biol. Sci.*, 17: 93-101.
- 13. Jackson, M.L. (1973) Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi.
- 287 14. A.O.A.C. (1970) Official Method of Analysis. Association of Official Analytical
- 288 Chemists. 11th Ed. Washington D.C. USA.
- 289 15. Cochran, W.G.; Cox, G.M. *Experimental Design*. John Wiley and Sons Inc., New York.
 290 1963.
- 16. Arnon D.I., Fratzke W.E., Johnson C.M. (1942) Hydrogen ion concentration in relation to
 absorption of inorganic nutrient by higher plants. Plant Physiol 17:515.
- 293 17. Petit J-M, Briat JF, Lobreaux S (2001) Structure and differential expression of the four
- 294 members of the Arabidopsis thaliana ferritin gene family. Biochem. J. 359:575-582.
- 18. Audebert A, Sahrawat KL (2000) Mechanisms for iron toxicity tolerance in lowland rice.
- 296 J Plant Nutr 23(11–12):1877–1885

- 19. Mandal B. Chatterjee J Harza G C and Mandal L N. (1992) Effect of preflooding on
 transformation of applied zinc and its uptake by rice in lateritic soils. Soil Science 153: 250257
- 20. Sajwan, K. S., Lindsay, W. L. (1988) Effects of redox on zinc deficiency in paddy rice. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 50, 1264–1269.
- 21. Zhang, X., Zhang, F., Mao, D. (1998) Effect of iron plaque outside roots on nutrient
 uptake by rice (*Oryza sativa* L.): II. Zinc uptake by Fe-deficient rice. *Plant Soil* 202, 33–39
- 22. Lee S, An G (2009a) Over-expression of OsIRT1 leads to increased iron and zinc
 accumulations in rice. Plant Cell Environ 32:408–416
- 306 23. Krithika S. and Balachandar D. (2016) Expression of Zinc Transporter Genes in Rice as
- Influenced by Zinc-Solubilizing Enterobacter cloacae Strain ZSB14. Frontiers in Plant
 Science. 7 (446)
- 24. Fageria N. K. and Moreira A., (2011). The Role of Mineral Nutrition on Root Growth of
- Crop Plants. In Donald L. Sparks, editor: Advances in Agronomy, Vol. 110, Burlington:
 Academic Press, pp. 251-331.
- 25. Ye Z H, Cheung K C, Wong M H (2001) Copper uptake in *Typha latifolia* as affected by
 iron and manganese plaque on the root surface. Can. J. Bot. 79:314-320
- 314 26. Kutman U. B., Yildiz B., Ozturk L., Cakmak I. (2010). Biofortification of durum wheat
- 315 with zinc through soil and foliar applications of nitrogen. Cereal Chem. 87, 1– 316 910.1094/CCHEM-87-1-0001
- 27. Shi R., Zhang Y., Chen X., Sun Q., Zhang F., Römheld V., Zou C. (2010). Influence of long-term nitrogen fertilization on micronutrient density in grain of winter wheat (*Triticum*)
- 319 aestivum L.). J. Cereal Sci. 51, 165–17010.1016/j.jcs.2009.11.008
- 28. Cakmak I, Pfeiffer W. and Mcclafferty B. (2010) Bio fortification of durum wheat with
 zinc and iron. Cereal Chem. 87:10-20
- 322 29. Sperotto R A, Vasconcelos M W, Grusak M A and Fett J P. (2012) Effects of different
- Fe supplies on mineral partitioning and remobilization during the reproductive development of rice (Oryza sativa L.). Rice, 5:27
- 30. Masuda H, Aung M S and Nishizawa N K,(2013) Iron bio fortification of rice using
 different transgenic approaches. Rice, 6:40
- 31. Zhang X, Zhang F, Mao D (1999) Effect of iron plaque outside roots on nutrient uptake
 by rice (*Oryza sativa* L.): Phosphorus uptake. Plant Soil 209:187-192
- 329 32. Howeler R H (1973) Iron-induced oranging disease of rice in relation to physico-chemical
 330 changes in a flooded oxisol. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc. 37:898-903
- 33. Marschner H., (1995). Mineral nutrition of higher plants. Academic Press, London, pp:
 313—323.
- 333 34. Mehraban P, Zadeh A A, Sadeghipour H R (2008) Iron toxicity in rice (Oryza sativa L.)
 334 under different potassium nutrition. Asian J Plant Sci 7:251–259.

335 **Figure and Table Captions:**

- Figure 1: Varietal impacts of iron treatments on pH change in the soil solutions at different growth stages.
- Figure 2: Varietal impacts of iron treatments on leaf chlorophyll contents at different growth stages (in mg g^{-1} FW). The vertical bars represent the standard errors.
- Figure 3: Varietal change on leaf chlorophyll contents at different growth stages (in mg g^{-1} FW). The vertical bars represent the standard errors.
- Figure 4: Varietal impacts of iron treatments on roots and shoots Fe. The vertical bars
- 343 represent the standard errors.
- 344
- Figure 5: Varietal impacts of iron treatments on roots and shoots Zn (A, D), Mn (B, E) and $C_{\rm H}$ (C E) (in up g^{-1} DW) The vertical bars represent the standard errors
- 346 Cu (C, F) (in $\mu g g^{-1}$ DW). The vertical bars represent the standard errors.



Figure 6: Varietal impacts of Fe treatments on root and shoot P (A, D), K (B, E), and N (C, F) (in μ g g⁻¹ DW). The vertical bars represent the standard errors.

353 Duration of recording pH
354 Figure 1: Varietal impacts of iron treatments on pH change in the soil solutions at different
355 growth stages.
356



Figure 2: Varietal impacts of iron treatments on leaf chlorophyll contents at different growth stages (in mg g^{-1} FW). The vertical bars represent the standard errors.











366 Different levels of Fe²⁺ in ppm
367 Figure 4: Varietal impacts of iron treatments on roots and shoots Fe. The vertical bars
368 represent the standard errors.







374Fe²⁺ concentrations in ppm375Fe²⁺ concentrations in ppm375Figure 6: Varietal impacts of iron treatments on roots and shoots P (A, D), K (B, E), and N376(C, F) (in μ g g⁻¹ DW). The vertical bars represent the standard errors.