

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_36882
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of micronutrients application of soil properties and soil nutrient content of sapota cv. Kalipatti under HDP system
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agre highlight that part in the mai his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Title: Indicate the micronutrient tested in the title Abstract1. line 7, Write the long term of HDP system at first 2. State the objectives of the study, is this a field or pot experiment 3. State the levels of micronutrients tested 4. Include soil analysis results in the abstract and discuss 5.State how the researcher choose the experimental site 6.State the design 	
	Introduction 8. Need improved. 9. The problem is not well revealed. The researcher should clearly show the problem on sapota yield which justifies this experiment. If yield, yield fluctuation must be supported by yield data and literature. 10. Indicate yield data fluctuation at least for average of 3- 5 years 11. State why Zn B and Fe and not others 12. Material and method part is not well written. It should be revised to include every procedure used in this experiment 13. Researcher could speak of the Micronutrients concentration in the study site used, if it is high or low. 14. Researcher could sell in the introduction part, if there are any typical deficient symptoms on the named plant which anticipates Zn, Fe and B deficiency which call for their application. Material and Method 15. Is this a field experiment? 16. Talk a bit on the fertility status of the area 17. What were the size of your treatment 18. RDF long term plz 19.Organic carbon indicate the method used during soil analysis Results and Discussion 20. line 122. pH not properly written 21. Indicate the final figure of your each result, before nutrients and after application for easy comparison. 22. If there is no no significant difference among treatments due to application of micronutrients do you think you had a reason to perform this experiment? 23. indicate how did you analyse your data 24. line 124, how pH affect micronutrients application as you are concluding that it may not influence? 25. line 151. rephrase the caption to show which data are referring to. before	
	treatment application or after 29. Consult more literature to justify your study Conclusion 26. I suggest the conclusion to be re-written 27. Conclude based on your finding . Do not generalize. 28. Read careful the template on how tables should be presented and referencing.	

greed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Minor REVISION comments	
Optional/General comments	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sado Lufega Masunga
Department, University & Country	Department of water resources and Irrigation Engineering, Water institute, Tanzania