



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_36861
Title of the Manuscript:	Fruit quality and osmotic adjustment of four tomato cultivars under drought stress
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The research is of great importance to improve the productivity of the tomato crop.</p> <p>Objective is clear and precise. The materials and methods are very detailed and complete In results, there were no differences in glucose content between cultivars BR2 and BR4 or between BR4, BR5 and BR1, according to the statistical analysis, so the ranking presented is not valid</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	It would be important to review the statistical results as some of them differ from those expressed in the results.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Very good paper, the development of the same is clear and precise as well as the presentation of the results and conclusions included in the discussion	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Martin Maria Silva Rossi</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Argentina</i>