

**Original Research Article****Rice yield potential of soils under unfavorable ecosystems in Bangladesh**3  
4  
5**Abstract**

7

8 Unfavorable ecosystems in Bangladesh are under intense pressure of crop production and climate

9 change impact; although the relationships of indigenous soil nutrients ratios with crop

10 performance are yet to be fully explored. Experiments were conducted under submergence and

11 cold prone areas (agricultural ecological zone, AEZ-3), drought and cold prone areas (AEZ-26),

12 non-saline tidal flood ecosystem (AEZ-13), char and saline prone ecosystem (AEZ-18) and haor

13 ecosystem (AEZ-21) for evaluating rice grain yield with native nutrient ratios. Synergistic and

14 antagonistic relationships were observed in different AEZ depending on indigenous nutrient

15 ratios. The Ca:P and N:Zn ratios were playing significant negative role with rice yield in wet

16 season. In dry season, P:K ratio was acting antagonistically in AEZ-18, AEZ-3 and AEZ-26 but

17 K:Mg, Ca:Zn S:Zn P:Zn were playing synergistic role in the same localities. The C:K ratio was

18 playing antagonistic role with dry season irrigated rice yield in AEZ-13 and AEZ-21. Dry season

19 irrigated rice grain yield was 13-27% lower in AEZ-26 than others AEZ because of variations in

20 negative ratios of nutrients. Application of fertilizers improved dry season rice yield significantly

21 in all AEZ except AEZ-18 compared to indigenous soil fertility. Soil separates showed variable

22 relationships with indigenous nutrient ratios in different AZE. It is concluded that indigenous soil

23 nutrient ratios play a vital role in improving rice yield under unfavorable ecosystems.

24

25 **Key word:** Agricultural ecological zone, Native nutrient ratio, Rice yield

26

Comment [R1]: Clear up the levels of fertilizers

**27 Introduction**

28

29 Rice plays an important role in food security of Bangladesh and farmers grow this crop in  
30 most of their land throughout the year. It covers about 77% of total cropped area (13.88 million  
31 hectares) in Bangladesh (Quayum and Salam, 2012). Net cultivable area is decreasing, but food  
32 demand is increasing. It will require about 41 million metric tons of rice to feed about 169  
33 million people by 2025 (Bhuiyan et al., 2002). The additional rice requirement needs to be obtained  
34 from favorable and unfavorable ecosystems in Bangladesh by adopting new improved genotypes  
35 together with improved fertilizer and water management.

36 Fertilizer management requires proper understanding of indigenous soil nutrients and its  
37 behavior in soil-plant continuum. Either excess or deficit plant nutrient conditions have been a  
38 topic of intensive research since the beginning of modern agriculture. In spite of the decades of  
39 research in this area, many problems still existed and increased use of fertilizers has not been  
40 alleviated the problems. Interactions of soil mineral elements with plants are either antagonistic  
41 or synergistic depending on their availability and ratios in rhizosphere. The ratios are changing  
42 because of crop culture and soil ecology and thus causing either nutrient deficiency or toxicity.  
43 For example, inadequate supplies of one or more nutrients in the growing medium shift the  
44 existing ratios of nutrients. The interactions between these factors can be extremely complex,  
45 interfering with the absorption and utilization of nutrient elements by the plants and thus leading  
46 to the symptoms of abiotic nutritional damage (Bergman, 1992). Moreover, soil nutrient ratios  
47 are influenced by parent materials, geological locations, intensity of cropping and use of  
48 fertilizers etc. (Chadwick et al., 1999; Cleveland and Liptzin, 2007). Excesses and shortages of  
49 some nutrients affect the uptake of other nutrients. For example, plant Mg levels are reduced  
50 when soil K:Mg ratio is above 1.5:1 or Mg:K ratio is less than 0.67. This effect is severe in

Comment [R2]: Very long paragraph

51 grasses, especially with corn (Anonymous, 2016). Research works are limited on indigenous soil  
 52 nutrient ratios for unfavorable ecosystems, although they are utilizing for crop production in  
 53 many countries including Bangladesh. Over the years, a significant amount of conversation and  
 54 salesmanship has revolved around the concept of the ideal soil Ca:Mg ratio. Most of the claims  
 55 for the ideal ratio range between 5:1 and 8:1 (Anonymous, 2016), although yield or quality of  
 56 crop is not appreciably affected over a wide range of Ca:Mg ratios in the soil. Though stable  
 57 organic matter plays an important role in maintaining C:N:O:P:S (carbon, nitrogen, organic  
 58 phosphorus and sulfur) ratios for determining the availability of N, P and S for humus-C  
 59 sequestration (Kirkby et al., 2011), high (Ca+Mg)/K ratios may contribute to K deficiency in rice  
 60 soils (Dobermann et al., 1996). All these factors have been adequately studied for crop  
 61 production in unfavorable ecosystems. So, the purpose of this study was to determine the effect  
 62 of native soil nutrient concentrations and ratios on rice yield under unfavorable ecosystems in  
 63 Bangladesh for sustainable use of those ecosystems.

64 **Materials and Methods**

65 **Sitedescription**

66 **Char and saline prone ecosystem (AEZ-18)**

67 About 1.0 million hectares (Mha) land of Bangladesh is affected by varying degrees of  
 68 salinity. Crop production in this area is dominated by traditional wet season rice (T. Aman rice)  
 69 and farmers generally harvest 2 t ha<sup>-1</sup> grain yield, which is very low than other parts of the  
 70 country due to soil salinity problem, drought in dry season, lack of adequate salinity tolerant  
 71 varieties as well as lack of appropriate fertilizer management technologies.  
 72  
 73  
 74

**Comment [R3]:** Write numbers to tables in the material

**75 Submergence and cold prone area(AEZ-3)**

76 It covers about 2.6 million hectares. The devastating flood caused considerable loss of  
77 rice crop. The average yield of rice under flood-prone ecosystem is very low ( $2.5 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ) due to  
78 lack of technologies on flood tolerance rice varieties and their appropriate fertilizer management  
79 packages etc.

80

**81 Drought and cold prone area(AEZ-26)**

82 It is situated in north-west part of Bangladesh. Drought is very common in this part of the  
83 country having 1200-1400 mm mean annual rainfall from June to October. Drought affected area  
84 is nearly 2.5 Mha in Kharif and 1.2 Mha in dry season. Rice yield is poor due to lack of  
85 sufficient water and nutrition management. This low yield might be.

**86 Non-saline tidal flood ecosystem(AEZ-13)**

87 This ecosystem covers about 1.9 Mha and the average yield of rice under non-saline tidal  
88 flood ecosystem is not more than  $3.0 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$  due to lack of technologies on appropriate fertilizer  
89 management packages etc.

**90 Haor ecosystem(AEZ-21)**

91 A haor is a wetland ecosystem in the north eastern part of Bangladesh. The total area in  
92 this ecosystem is 80,000 square kilometers. Most of this area remains under water for seven  
93 months of the year. During dry season most of the water drains out, leaving small shallow lakes  
94 or may completely dry out by the end of dry season. This exposes rich alluvial soil, extensively  
95 cultivated for rice.

97

98 **The characteristics of the study areas were as follows:**

99

Location/AEZ	Characteristics
	<b>Cropping Pattern</b> Gongachara, Rangpur (AEZ-3)
	Submergence and cold prone areas Boro-Fallow-
T. Aman Tanore, Rajshahi (AEZ-26)	Drought and cold prone areas Boro-Fallow-T. Aman
Babugonj, Barisal (AEZ-13)	Non-saline tidal flood ecosystem Boro-Fallow-T. Aman
Sonagazi, Feni (AEZ-18)	Char and saline prone ecosystem Boro-Fallow-
T. Aman Baniachang, Hobiganj (AEZ-21)	Haor ecosystem
	Boro-Fallow-Fallow

100

101

102 **Cropping Pattern Based Experiments**

103 During project period (2011-13) field experiments in Boro and T. Aman seasons were

104 conducted as cropping pattern based as detailed below:

105 Table . Cultural operation dates for different rice varieties in different locations of Bangladesh

106

Location/AEZ	Variety	Date of Soaking	Date of Transplanting	Date of Harvesting
<b>Boro season, 2011-12</b>				
Sonagazi, Feni (AEZ-18)	BRR1 dhan47	20-11-11	17-01-12	27-04-12
Tanore, Rajshahi (AEZ-26)	BRR1 dhan29	06-12-11	20-01-12	20-05-12
Gangachara, Rangpur (AEZ-3)	BRR1 dhan29	30-11-11	16-01-12	24-05-12
Babugonj, Barisal (AEZ-13)	BRR1 dhan29	05-12-11	23-01-12	07-05-12
Baniachang, Hobiganj (AEZ-21)	BRR1 dhan29	20-11-11	10-01-12	11-05-12
<b>T. Aman season, 2012</b>				
Sonagazi, Feni (AEZ-18)	BRR1 dhan46	24-07-12	03-09-12	05-12-12
Tanore, Rajshahi (AEZ-26)	BRR1 dhan56	25-06-12	27-07-12	05-11-12
Gangachara, Rangpur (AEZ-3)	BRR1 dhan52	23-06-12	03-08-12	21-11-12
Babugonj, Barisal (AEZ-13)	LIV	08-05-12	13-06-12	12-12-12
<b>Boro season, 2012-13</b>				
Sonagazi, Feni (AEZ-18)	BRR1 dhan28	05-12-12	09-02-13	09-05-13
Tanore, Rajshahi (AEZ-26)	BRR1 dhan29	26-11-12	25-01-13	21-05-13
Gangachara, Rangpur (AEZ-3)	BRR1 dhan29	25-11-12	18-01-13	29-05-13
Babugonj, Barisal (AEZ-13)	BRR1 dhan29	27-11-12	19-01-13	11-05-13

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Baniachang, Hobigonj (AEZ-21) BRR I dhan29 12-12-12 01-02-13 16-05-13

---

**T. Aman season, 2013**

---

Sonagazi, Feni (AEZ-18)	BRR1 dhan46	11-07-13	17-08-13	05-12-13
Tanore, Rajshahi (AEZ-26)	BRR1 dhan56	04-07-13	27-07-13	28-10-13
Gangachara, Rangpur (AEZ-3)	BRR1 dhan52	29-06-13	29-07-13	08-12-13
Babugonj, Barisal (AEZ-13)	LIV	16-06-13	16-08-13	Damaged

107

108

109 The following treatments were tested: T<sub>1</sub> = NPKSZn (STB); T<sub>2</sub> = Absolute control. The  
 110 experiment was laid out in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) with threereplications.

Comment [R4]: Write the ratio

111

112

113

114

**115 Fertilizerapplication**

116

117 One-third N and all other inorganic fertilizers were applied at final land preparation. The

118 firsttopdress(One-thirdN)wasappliedat20DAT.Tharest1/3Nwasappliedat5-7days

119 before panicle initiation stage after drainage out of flood water. Necessary intercultural

120 operations were done as and when ever required. At maturity, the crop was harvested from 5 m<sup>2</sup>

121 area at the center of each plot and grain yield was adjusted to 14% moisture. The grain andstraw

122 yields were recorded. Nutrient contents (N, P and K) from plant samples of the cropping pattern

Comment [R5]: Write the ratio

123 were determined by standard laboratoryprocedure.

**124 Soil sample collection andanalysis**

125

126 A total of 125 composite soil samples (10 samples/spot) were collected from the surface

127 layer (0-20 cm depth) from five AEZs (3, 13, 18, 21 & 26). Soil samples were collected from 25

128 farmers' fieldsateachlocation.Landtype,soilseriesandlandusewererecorded.Soilsamples

129 were analyzed for texture, pH, EC, OC, total N, exchangeable cations (Ca, Mg and K), available

130 P, S and Zn following standard methodology (Haqueet al., 2015; Saleque et al.,2004).

131

132

**133 Statistical analysis**

134  
135 Means for rice yield and soil properties were compared by using Tukey's HSD method.  
136 Fisher's protected least significant difference (LSD) was calculated at the 0.05 probability level  
137 for making treatment mean comparisons.

138

**139 Results**

140

**141 Rice yield and nutrient ratios in wet season**

142

143 In saline prone areas (Sonagazi, AEZ-18), indigenous soil nutrient ratios C:K, N:K, P:K  
144 and N:Mg showed significant positive correlations with wet season rice yield, although it showed  
145 significant negative relationships with K:Ca, K:Mg, Ca:P, Ca:Zn, S:Zn, N:Zn and P:Zn ratios.

146 Similarly, P:K and K:Ca ratios were synergistically related with grain yield but C:N, C:P, C:K,

147 N:P, N:K, K:Mg, Ca:P, Ca:Zn, S:Zn, N:Mg, N:Zn and P:Zn ratios acted antagonistically in AEZ-

148 3 (flash flood and cold prone areas). In drought prone areas (AEZ-26), all studied nutrient ratios

149 (C:P, C:K, N:P, N:K, P:K, Ca:P, N:Mg and N:Zn) showed significantly negative relationships

150 with grain yield except K:Ca, K:Mg, Ca:Zn, S:Zn and P:Zn ratios (Table 3).

**151 Rice yield and nutrient ratios under dry season**

152

153 In AEZ-18, K:Ca, K:Mg, Ca:P, Ca:Zn, S:Zn, N:Zn and P:Zn ratios showed significantly  
154 positive relationships with grain yield but significantly negative with C:K, N:P, N:K, P:K and

155 N:Mg ratios. The C:N, C:P and N:P ratios had no significant relationships with grain yield of

156 rice. The C:P, C:K, N:P, N:K, K:Mg, Ca:P, Ca:Zn, S:Zn, N:Mg, N:Zn, P:Zn ratios favored

157 significantly rice grain yield in AEZ-3. Nonetheless, P:K and K:Ca ratios acted negatively

158 against rice yield. In AEZ-26, rice yields were influenced antagonistically by C:P, C:K, N:P,

159 N:K, P:K, Ca:P, N:Mg and N:Zn ratios but others were synergistically correlated. In AEZ-13

160 (tidal ecosystem), rice yield showed significant negative relationships with C:P, C:K, N:P, N:K,



161 K:Ca, K:Mg, N:Mg and N:Zn ratios, but no significant relationships with P:K, Ca:P, Ca:Zn,  
 162 S:Zn and P:Zn ratios. In AEZ 21 (haor ecosystem), only C:K showed significant antagonistic  
 163 relationship with wet season rice grain yield but other nutrient ratios had no significant  
 164 relationships (Table 2). We found no significant correlation of C:N ratio with grain yields of rice  
 165 in any studied location.

166 **Nutrient ratios and soil separates**

167 In AEZ-18, sand fraction showed significant positive relationship with C:P, N:P and Ca:P  
 168 ratios. There was significant positive relation of silt with P:K but negatively with Ca:P ratio  
 169 (Table 3). Clay fraction had significant negative relationship with N:Mg, N:K and C:K ratios but  
 170 only Ca:P ratio was positively correlated. In AEZ-21, C:P, C:K, N:P, N:K, N:Mg, N:Zn, P:Zn,  
 171 Ca:Zn, S:Zn ratios showed significant positive relations with sand fraction (Table 4). Silt particle  
 172 had significant negative relations with N:Mg and S:Zn ratios. Clay particle had significant  
 173 negative relations with C:P, N:P, N:Zn ratios. The C:K, N:K, P:K, K:Ca and N:Mg showed  
 174 significant positive relations with sand and clay fractions in AEZ-3. However, Ca:P, Ca:Zn, S:Zn  
 175 ratios were negatively related with sand and clay separates and positively related with silt  
 176 fraction (Table 5). No significant relationships of soil nutrient ratios were found with sand in  
 177 AEZ-13 and AEZ-26 (Table 6, and 7). However, C:K, N:K and N:Mg ratios showed significant  
 178 positive relations with silt but negative with clay fraction in AEZ-13. In AEZ-26, C:K and N:K  
 179 had significant positive relations with silt but negative with clay fraction and K:Ca had negative  
 180 relationship with silt fraction.

182

183

184

**185 Rice yield with added nutrients**

186  
 187 In wet season, rice grain yields were not significantly improved because of NPKSZn  
 188 fertilizer application under studied locations (Table 8). In dry season, grain yield significantly  
 189 increased because of NPKSZn fertilizer application in all locations except AEZ-18 (Table 9).

**190 Site specific indigenous soil nutrient ratios**

191  
 192 Thenative soil nutrient ratios varied widely depending on nature of soil ecology and  
 193 cropping intensity in different localities of Bangladesh (Table 10). In AEZ-21, the N:P, N:Mg,  
 194 N:Zn, and Ca:P ratios were the widest compared to other studied locations (Table 10). The C:N  
 195 ratios ranged from 9.90:1 to 10.95:1. The P:Zn ratio was the lowest in AEZ-21 and N:P and  
 196 Ca:P ratios were the lowest in AEZ-3.

**Comment [R6]:** No mention to the studied crop in this paragraph, the paragraph showed the soil ratio thus this paragraph and Table 10 moved to the material or mentioned that this soil ratios cleared after studied crop culture

**198 Discussion**

199  
 200 Indigenous soil nutrient availability and ratios influence crop production in unfavorable  
 201 ecosystem depending on crop variety and water management. Our result indicated that grain  
 202 yield was the lowest in AEZ-26 compared to other studied locations might be because of  
 203 unfavorable C:N and S:Zn ratios for coarse textured soil (Table 10). In coarse textured soil, the  
 204 C:N need to be around 25:1 (Oye et al., 2013), but it was low with our findings. The lower  
 205 S:Zn ratio indicates higher soil Zn availability might have affected S uptake and thus reduced  
 206 rice yield (Singh et al., 2012). The C:P ratio clearly indicated that soils were deficient in P in  
 207 studied areas in which rice grain yield improved in dry season because of added P in all  
 208 locations. However, our previous studies showed no beneficial effect of added P in AEZ-21  
 209 (BRRI, 2014) indicating that P analysis method used failed to determine available soil P. Climate  
 210 imposes vital role in soil development and thus soil biota and its interaction with soil nutrients

211 (Chadwick et al., 1999; Vitousek, 2004). We have found C:N:P ratios of 10.8:385.4:1,  
212 10.0:55.67:1, 9.9:551.3:1, 10.95:320.6:1 and 10.18:319.11:1 for AEZ-13, AEZ-21,AEZ-26,  
213 AEZ-3 and AEZ-18, respectively. These ratios are far higher than available literature (Cleveland  
214 andLiptzin, 2007; Redfield, 1958) because of lower soil P levels. Since the study locations arein  
215 high temperature and precipitation in tropical region, high P leaching and P occlusion mighthave  
216 taken place (Vitousek and Walker, 1987; Neufeldt et al., 2000; Zhang et al., 2005). At the same  
217 time, higher cropping intensity and imbalanced fertilizers used by the farmers (Biswas et al.,  
218 2004, 2008) could be the reason of skewed soil C:P N:P, N:Mg, N:Zn, and Ca:P ratios instudied  
219 locations.

220 The findings of present investigation shows that indigenous soil nutrient ratios like C:P,  
221 N:P, N:K, K:Mg, Ca:P, Ca:Zn and S:Zn significantly influenced dry season irrigated rice yieldin  
222 AEZ-3. Soil K/(Ca + Mg) or K/Mg ratios might have played vital role in this aspects (McLeanet  
223 al.,1983).WefoundK:Mg,Ca:Zn,S:ZnandP:Znratiosasvitalcomponentfordryseason  
224 irrigatedriceyieldimprovementinAEZ-18,flashfloodandcoldproneareas(AEZ-3)and  
225 droughtandcoldprone(AEZ-26)regionsofBangladesh(Table2).SoilKandMgshowedno  
226 effective linkages with sand, silt and clay fractions of studied locations in Bangladesh (Table 3,  
227 4, 5, 6, 7). Kopittke and Menzies, 2007 also reported that K:Mg was not influenced bychemical,  
228 physical, and biological fertility of soil. Emphasis should be placed on providing sufficient, but  
229 not excessive levels of each basic cation rather than attempting to attain a favorable basic cation  
230 saturationratio,whichevidentlydoesnotexist(McLeanetal.,1983).Itispossibletohavea  
231 deficiency of K and Mg even though the ratios might be in the ideal range. The cations ratiomay  
232 be less than ideal for some fine-textured soils, but may have adequate amounts for crop  
233 production and additional applications are not necessary (McLean , 1976). Result indicated that

234 indigenous Ca, Mg and Zn were playing a vital role for rice production in unfavorable  
235 ecosystems of Bangladesh.

236 **Conclusion**

237  
238 Nutrient management requires understanding of soil nutrients behavior for optimizing  
239 rice yield through fertilizer management. We observed about 27% higher grain yield in AEZ-3  
240 than AEZ-26 because of variations in C:P, C:K, N:P, N:K, Ca:P, N:Mg, N:Zn, ratios in which  
241 Ca, Mg and Zn were playing a pivotal role in rice production in unfavorable ecosystems of  
242 Bangladesh. Soil test for fertilizer application needs special attention for judicious use of  
243 ecologically fragile soils in Bangladesh.

244

245 **References**

246

247 Anonymous (2016) Magnesium in the soil. Agronomical Library.  
248 [http://www.spectrumanalytic/Mg\\_Basics.htm](http://www.spectrumanalytic/Mg_Basics.htm) (access on 11-6-2016)  
249 Bhuiyan, N.I., Paul, D.N.R., & Jabber, M.A. (2002). Feeding the extra millions by 2025:  
250 challenges for rice research and extension in Bangladesh. In: Proceedings of the national  
251 workshop on rice research and extension, BRRI, Gazipur, January 29–31, 2002.  
252 Bergman, W. (1992). Nutritional Disorders of Plants. Development, Visual, and Analytical  
253 Diagnosis. Gustav Fischer Verlag, Jena, Germany.  
254 Biswas, J.C., Maniruzzaman, M., Sattar, M.A., & Neogi, M.G. (2008). Improvement of rice  
255 yield through fertilizer and cultural management at farmer's field. Bangladesh Rice Journal,  
256 13, 9-14.

- 257 Biswas, J. C., Islam, M. R., Biswas, S. R., & Islam, M. J. (2004). Crop productivity at farmers  
 258 fields: Options for soil test based fertilizer use and cropping patterns. Bangladesh  
 259 Agronomy Journal, 10, 31-41.
- 260 BRRI (Bangladesh Rice Research Institute). (2014). Annual report for 2013. Gazipur,  
 261 Bangladesh: BRRI.
- 262 Chadwick, O. A., Derry, L. A., Vitousek, P. M., Huebert, B. J., & Hedin, L. O. (1999). Changing  
 263 sources of nutrients during four million years of ecosystem development. Nature, 397, 491-  
 264 97.
- 265 Cleveland, C. C., & Liptzin, D. (2007). C:N:P stoichiometry in soil: Is there a "Redfield ratio" for  
 266 the microbial biomass? Biogeochemistry, 85, 235-252.
- 267 Dobermann, A., Cassman, K. G., Sta. Cruz, C. P., Adviento, M. A., & Pampolino, M. F. (1996).  
 268 Fertilizer inputs, nutrient balance, and soil nutrient-supplying power in intensive,  
 269 irrigated rice systems. II: Effective soil K-supplying capacity. Nutrient Cycling in Agro-  
 270 ecosystems, 46, 11-21.
- 271 Haque, M. M., Saleque, M. A., Shah, A. L., Biswas, J. C., & Kim, P. J. (2015). Long-Term Effects  
 272 of Sulfur and Zinc Fertilization on Rice Productivity and Nutrient Efficiency in Double Rice  
 273 Cropping Paddy in Bangladesh. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis, 46,  
 274 2877-2887.
- 275 Kirkby, C. A., Kirkegaard, J. A., Richardson, A. E., Wade, L. J., Blanchard, C., & Batten, G.  
 276 (2011). Stable soil organic matter: A comparison of C:N:P:S ratios in Australian and other  
 277 world soils. Geoderma, 163, 197-208.
- 278 Kopittke, P. M., & Menzies, N. W. (2007). A review of the use of the basic cation saturation ratio  
 279 and the "Ideal" soil. Soil Science Society of American Journal, 71, 259-265.

- 280 McLeanEO(1976)ExchangeableKlevelsformaximumcropyieldsonsoilsofdifferentcation  
 281 exchange capacities. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis* **17**,823-838.
- 282 Mclean, E.O., Hartwig, R.C., Eckert, D.J., & Triplett, G.B. (1983). Basic cation saturation ratios  
 283 asbasisforfertilizingandlimingagronomiccrops.II.Fieldstudies.AmericanJournalof  
 284 Agronomy, *75*,635-639.
- 285 Neufeldt, H., da Silva, J.E., Ayarza, M.A., &Zech, W. (2000). Land-use effects onphosphorus  
 286 fractions in CerradoOxisols. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, *31*,30–37.
- 287  
 288 Oyem,I,L.,&Rank,O.I.L.(2013).EffectsofCrudeOilSpillageonSoilPhysico-Chemical  
 289 Properties in Ugborodo Community. *International Journalof Modern EngineeringResearch*,  
 290 *6*,3336-3342.
- 291 Quayum,M.A.,Ali,A.M.,&Salam,M.A.(2012).Impactofpowertillersonprofitabilityof  
 292 some cropping patterns in some selected areas of Bangladesh. *Bangladesh Journal of*  
 293 *Agricultural Research*, *37*,415-432.
- 294 Redfield, A.C.(1958). The biological control of chemical factors in the environment. *American*  
 295 *Scientist*, *46*,205-221.
- 296 Saleque,M.A.,Abedin,M.J.,Bhuiyan,N.I.,Zaman,S.K.,&Panullah,G.M.(2004).Long-  
 297 term effects of inorganic and organic fertilizer sources on yield and nutrient accumulationof  
 298 lowland rice. *Field Crops Research*, *86*,53-65.
- 299 Singh, A. K., Manibhushan, M.K., Meena, Upadhyaya, A. (2012). Effect of Sulphur and Zincon  
 300 RicePerformanceandNutrientDynamicsinPlantsandSoilofIndoGangeticPlains. *The*  
 301 *Journal of Agricultural Science*, *4*,162-170.

- 302 Vitousek,P.M.,Walker,L.R.,Whiteaker,L.D.,Muellerdombois,D.,&Matson,P.A.(1987).  
303 Biological Invasion by Myrica-Faya Alters Ecosystem Development in Hawaii. *Science*,238,  
304 802-804.
- 305 Vitousek, P.M.(2004). *Nutrient Cycling and Limitation: Hawai'i as a Model System*. Princeton  
306 University Press, Princeton, NewJersey.
- 307 Zhang, C., Tian, H.Q., Liu, J., Wang, S., Liu, M., Pan, S., & Shi, X. (2005). Pools and  
308 Distributions 496 of Soil Phosphorus in China. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 19, GB1020,  
309 497doi:10.1029/2004GB002296

310 Table 1 . Relationships of nutrient ratios with T. Aman riceyields

311

	Sonagazi (AEZ-18)	Rangpur (AEZ-3)	Rajshahi (AEZ-26)
C:N	-0.28039NS	-1.35154**	0.32920NS
C:P	0.27049NS	-0.66653*	-0.81137**
C:K	0.82750**	-0.67253*	-0.79981**
N:P	0.48109NS	-0.70784*	-0.80105**
N:K	0.82067**	-0.67662*	-0.79644*
P:K	0.82095**	0.67373*	-0.80794**
K:Ca	-0.81655**	0.674319*	0.79914**
K:Mg	-0.80278**	-0.56359NS	0.82170**
Ca:P	-0.81679**	-0.66761*	-0.80119**
Ca:Zn	-0.83085**	-0.67224*	0.80121**
S:Zn	-0.88622**	-0.67197*	0.80119**
N:Mg	0.77828*	-0.65103*	-0.81750**
N:Zn	-0.81474**	-0.66697*	-0.80308**
P:Zn	-0.83218**	-0.67201*	0.80118**

312 NS = Non significant; \* = Significant at 5%level;

313 \*\* = Significant at 1% level ofprobability

314

315

316

317 Table 2 . Relationships of nutrient ratios with Bororiceyields

318

	Sonagazi (AEZ-18)	Rangpur (AEZ-3)	Rajshahi (AEZ-26)	Barisal (AEZ-13)	Habiganj (AEZ-21)
C:N	0.29247NS	0.05079	-0.06786	0.58939NS	-0.20384NS
C:P	-0.28071NS	0.90350**	-0.85980**	-0.66043*	0.44432NS
C:K	-0.87984**	0.89921**	-0.85635**	-0.75850*	-0.67812*
N:P	-0.49775NS	0.90441**	-0.85670**	-0.67133*	0.53709NS
N:K	-0.87398**	0.89881**	-0.85765**	-0.75710*	-0.59344NS
P:K	-0.87707**	-0.89811**	-0.84797**	0.19370NS	-0.48828NS
K:Ca	0.87408*	-0.89793**	0.85533**	-0.88700**	0.61392NS
K:Mg	0.87550**	0.87424**	0.85023**	-0.70563*	0.54072NS
Ca:P	0.89447**	0.89967**	-0.85612**	0.40561NS	0.23405NS
Ca:Zn	0.88315**	0.89878**	0.85601**	0.47548NS	0.06181NS
S:Zn	0.88368**	0.89894**	0.85611**	-0.21137NS	0.29181NS
N:Mg	-0.80469**	0.89573**	-0.86679**	-0.84712**	0.09366NS
N:Zn	0.86545**	0.89833**	-0.85685**	-0.89038**	0.48385NS
P:Zn	0.88147**	0.89868**	0.85610**	0.00570NS	0.06518NS

319 NS = Non significant; \* = Significant at 5%level;

320 \*\* = Significant at 1% level ofprobability

321



322 Table 3. Nutrient ratios as influenced by soil separates, Sonagazi(AEZ-18)

323  
324

	Sand	Silt	Clay
C:N	-0.11523NS	0.08390NS	0.13250NS
C:P	0.43015*	-0.35858NS	-0.22078NS
C:K	0.20770NS	-0.07508NS	-0.45713*
N:P	0.45842*	-0.37910NS	-0.24978NS
N:K	0.22453NS	-0.08243NS	-0.49595*
P:K	-0.32930NS	0.41385*	-0.31302NS
K:Ca	-0.12504NS	0.13626NS	-0.11501NS
K:Mg	0.00033NS	0.08383NS	-0.31027NS
Ca:P	0.50976**	-0.61572**	0.46506*
Ca:Zn	0.21613NS	-0.26396NS	0.20521NS
S:Zn	-0.08008NS	0.04833NS	0.08146NS
N:Mg	0.24371NS	-0.09160NS	-0.53658*
N:Zn	0.17604NS	-0.21294NS	0.15641NS
P:Zn	0.10111NS	-0.14268NS	0.15648NS

325 NS = Non significant; \* = Significant at 5%level;

326 \*\* = Significant at 1% level ofprobability

327

328

329 Table 4 . Nutrient ratios as influenced by soil separates, Habiganj(AEZ-21)

	Sand	Silt	Clay
C:N	0.25724NS	-0.08004NS	-0.25854NS
C:P	0.54720**	-0.31605NS	-0.44798*
C:K	0.44669*	-0.38395NS	-0.29086NS
N:P	0.54058**	-0.33084NS	-0.43263*
N:K	0.43423*	-0.39228NS	-0.2717NS
N:Mg	0.52929**	-0.46121*	-0.33888NS
N:Zn	0.53988**	-0.36913NS	-0.39071*
P:K	-0.11396NS	0.01818NS	0.12769 NS
P:Zn	0.40201*	-0.27168NS	-0.28834NS
K:Ca	-0.01681NS	-0.20668NS	0.12707NS
K:Mg	-0.09444NS	0.03676NS	0.08247NS
Ca:P	0.09008NS	0.25929NS	-0.24921NS
Ca:Zn	0.45705*	-0.22924NS	-0.37347NS
S:Zn	0.52011**	-0.45848*	-0.31758NS

330 NS = Non significant; \* = Significant at 5%level;

331 \*\* = Significant at 1% level ofprobability

332

333

334  
335 Table 5 . Nutrient ratios as influenced by soil separates, Rangpur(AEZ-3)

	Sand	Silt	Clay
C:N	-0.25254NS	0.26440NS	-0.28638NS
C:P	0.04395NS	-0.05308NS	0.08765NS
C:K	0.61698**	-0.63920**	0.54027**
N:P	0.07549NS	-0.08669 NS	0.12523NS
N:K	0.62624**	-0.64966**	0.55717**
P:K	0.52216**	-0.53482**	0.40785*
K:Ca	0.40491*	-0.45006*	0.54915**
K:Mg	0.17215NS	-0.16354NS	0.07337NS
Ca:P	-0.49858*	0.50816**	-0.41216*
Ca:Zn	-0.56713**	0.59414**	-0.59428**
S:Zn	-0.42094*	0.45311*	-0.48367*
N:Mg	0.70868**	-0.72870**	0.59532**
N:Zn	0.15874NS	-0.16617NS	0.15239NS
P:Zn	-0.04760NS	0.06567NS	-0.16471NS

336 NS = Non significant; \* = Significant at 5%level;  
337 \*\* = Significant at 1% level ofprobability

338  
339  
340 Table 6 . Nutrient ratios as influenced by soil separates, Barisal(AEZ-13)

	Sand	Silt	Clay
C:N	0.09828NS	-0.24010NS	0.23033NS
C:P	-0.32632NS	0.33186NS	-0.16779NS
C:K	-0.28809NS	0.56204**	-0.51366**
N:P	-0.33030NS	0.35216NS	-0.19074NS
N:K	-0.28022NS	0.56588**	-0.52585**
P:K	0.10760NS	0.23041NS	-0.37442NS
K:Ca	-0.22735NS	0.14206NS	0.02911NS
K:Mg	0.17177NS	-0.23086NS	0.12099NS
Ca:P	-0.02861NS	-0.18354NS	0.23972NS
Ca:Zn	0.20291NS	-0.28018NS	0.17966NS
S:Zn	-0.13536NS	0.03165 NS	0.02667NS
N:Mg	-0.18597NS	0.45879*	-0.48588*
N:Zn	-0.26113NS	0.391678NS	-0.30865NS
P:Zn	0.16866NS	-0.02902NS	-0.09475NS

342 NS = Non significant; \* = Significant at 5%level;  
343 \*\* = Significant at 1% level ofprobability

344  
345

346 Table 7 . Nutrient ratios as influenced by soil separates, Rajshahi(AEZ-26)

347

	Sand	Silt	Clay
C:N	-0.07562NS	0.19797NS	-0.29734NS
C:P	-0.10179NS	0.18273NS	-0.20563NS
C:K	-0.25048NS	0.43507*	-0.51893**
N:P	-0.09790NS	0.15394NS	-0.14698NS
N:K	-0.24787NS	0.41615*	-0.48346*
N:Mg	-0.23424NS	0.32796NS	-0.30115NS
N:Zn	0.11079NS	-0.19723NS	0.16286NS
P:K	-0.19971NS	0.30267NS	-0.32778NS
P:Zn	0.10410NS	-0.23053NS	0.26160NS
K:Ca	0.39349NS	-0.45240*	0.25756NS
K:Mg	0.05929NS	-0.13604NS	0.19855NS
Ca:P	-0.08519NS	0.00664NS	0.18150NS
Ca:Zn	0.18744NS	-0.34518NS	0.35627NS
S:Zn	0.28081NS	-0.39007NS	0.25017NS

348 NS = Non significant; \* = Significant at 5%level;

349 \*\* = Significant at 1% level of probability

350

351

352 Table 8 Rice grain yield in wet season under unfavorable ecosystems in Bangladesh

353

Treatment	Grain yield (tha <sup>-1</sup> )		
	Rangpur (AEZ-3)	Rajshahi (AEZ-26)	Sonagazi (AEZ-18)
Nofertilizer	2.56	2.54	3.07
NPKSZnfertilizer	4.13	3.62	3.90
t-test	NS	NS	NS

354

355

356 Table 9 Rice grain yield in Boro season under unfavorable ecosystems in Bangladesh

357

Treatment	Grain yield(t/ha)				
	Barisal (AEZ-13)	Habiganj (AEZ-21)	Rangpur (AEZ-3)	Rajshahi (AEZ-26)	Sonagazi (AEZ-18)
No fertilizer	3.78	3.20	3.83	2.79	3.70
NPKSZn fertilizer	7.52	6.92	7.50	6.54	5.28
t-test	*	*	*	*	NS

358

359 Note: NS means not significant \* denote significant at 5%levels.

**Comment [R7]:** Mentioned to the studied crop in the title

Table 10 Native soil nutrient ratio with different AEZ under different unfavorable ecosystems in Bangladesh

Sand (%)	Silt (%)	Clay (%)	C:N	C:P	C:K	N:P	N:K	N:Mg	N:Zn	P:K	P:Zn	K:Ca	K:Mg	Ca:P	Ca:Zn	S:Zn
<b><u>Barisal (AEZ13)</u></b>																
12.52	52.40	35.08	10.81	385.47	136.69	56.47	12.73	2.01	564.11	0.24	10.88	0.04	0.16	123.97	983.43	16.09
<b><u>Habiganj (AEZ 21)</u></b>																
20.00	20.64	59.36	10.07	5567.14	126.46	687.04	12.54	10.56	1050.66	0.02	1.51	0.19	0.87	352.49	452.60	18.49
<b><u>Rajshahi (AEZ 26)</u></b>																
33.76	50.65	15.59	9.90	551.37	159.36	75.95	16.09	6.23	436.20	0.22	6.78	0.07	0.40	63.24	321.50	8.66
<b><u>Rangpur (AEZ 3)</u></b>																
24.32	64.60	11.08	10.95	302.61	124.27	38.82	14.39	4.22	336.25	0.35	10.76	0.14	0.30	29.92	259.07	8.92
<b><u>Sonagazi (AEZ 18)</u></b>																
13.80	55.64	30.56	10.18	319.11	67.93	48.10	6.61	1.43	987.24	0.15	34.96	0.09	0.22	90.92	1179.05	57.15