



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_35060
Title of the Manuscript:	Raising water use efficiency of pomegranate trees cultivated on newly reclaimed soil by improving soil physical and chemical properties through polymer application
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The work is good, but it appears that the methodology is not clear, since the study has been during two years and only a period of setting the earth is present and confused, if only that was that or after the following continued on the ground? I consider that the complete methodology must be presented in order to make more confidence in the results presented.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The work was structured, but in the discussion of results, it fails to understand because the methodology was not presented well, a very particular point of view.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	I think that describing the methodology well, this will be a good article.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Miguel Aguilar Cortes</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Universidad Autonoma Del Estado De Morelos, Mexico</i>