

Original Research Article**Rice yield potential of soils under unfavorable ecosystems in Bangladesh****Abstract**

Unfavorable ecosystems in Bangladesh are under intense pressure of crop production and climate change impact; although the relationships of indigenous soil nutrients ratios with crop performance are yet to be fully explored. Experiments were conducted under submergence and cold prone areas (agricultural ecological zone, AEZ-3), drought and cold prone areas (AEZ-26), non-saline tidal flood ecosystem (AEZ-13), char and saline prone ecosystem (AEZ-18) and haor ecosystem (AEZ-21) for evaluating rice grain yield with native nutrients ratios. Synergistic and antagonistic relationships were observed in different AEZ depending on indigenous nutrient ratios. The Ca:P and N:Zn ratios were playing significant negative role with rice yield in wet season. In dry season, P:K ratio was acting antagonistically in AEZ-18, AEZ-3 and AEZ-26 but K:Mg, Ca:Zn S:Zn P:Zn were playing synergistic role in the same localities. The C:K ratio was playing antagonistic role with dry season irrigated rice yield in AEZ-13 and AEZ-21. Dry season irrigated rice grain yield was 13-27% lower in AEZ-26 than others AEZ because of variations in negative ratios of nutrients. Application of fertilizers improved dry season rice yield significantly in all AEZ except AEZ-18 compared to indigenous soil fertility. Soil separates showed variable relationships with indigenous nutrient ratios in different AZE. It is concluded that indigenous soil nutrient ratios play a vital role in improving rice yield under unfavorable ecosystems.

Key word: Agricultural ecological zone, Native nutrient ratio, Rice yield

27 Introduction

28

29 Rice plays an important role in food security of Bangladesh and farmers grow this crop in
30 most of their land throughout the year. It covers about 77% of total cropped area (13.88 million
31 hectares) in Bangladesh (Quayum and Salam, 2012). Net cultivable area is decreasing, but food
32 demand is increasing. It will require about 41 million metric tons of rice to feed about 169
33 million people by 2025 (Bhuiyan et al., 2002). The additional rice requirement needs to obtain
34 from favorable and unfavorable ecosystems in Bangladesh by adopting new improved genotypes
35 together with improved fertilizer and water management.

36 Fertilizer management requires proper understanding of indigenous soil nutrients and its
37 behavior in soil-plant continuum. Either excess or deficit plant nutrient conditions have been a
38 topic of intensive research since the beginning of modern agriculture. In spite of the decades of
39 research in this area, many problems still existed and increased use of fertilizers has not been
40 alleviated the problems. Interactions of soil mineral elements with plants are either antagonistic
41 or synergistic depending on their availability and ratios in rhizosphere. The ratios are changing
42 because of crop culture and soil ecology and thus causing either nutrient deficiency or toxicity.
43 For example, inadequate supplies of one or more nutrients in the growing medium shift the
44 existing ratios of nutrients. The interactions between these factors can be extremely complex,
45 interfering with the absorption and utilization of nutrient elements by the plants and thus leading
46 to the symptoms of abiotic nutritional damage (Bergman, 1992). Moreover, soil nutrient ratios
47 are influenced by parent materials, geological locations, intensity of cropping and use of
48 fertilizers etc (Chadwick et al., 1999; Cleveland and Liptzin, 2007). Excesses and shortages of
49 some nutrients affect the uptake of other nutrients. For example, plant Mg levels are reduced
50 when soil K:Mg ratio is above 1.5:1 or Mg:K ratio is less than 0.67. This effect is severe in

51 grasses, especially with corn (Anonymous, 2016). Research works are limited on indigenous soil
52 nutrient ratios for unfavorable ecosystems, although they are utilizing for crop production in
53 many countries including Bangladesh. Over the years, a significant amount of conversation and
54 salesmanship has revolved around the concept of the ideal soil Ca:Mg ratio. Most of the claims
55 for the ideal ratio ranges between 5:1 and 8:1 (Anonymous, 2016), although yield or quality of
56 crop is not appreciably affected over a wide range of Ca:Mg ratios in the soil. Though stable
57 organic matter plays an important role in maintaining C:N:OP:S (carbon, nitrogen, organic
58 phosphorus and sulfur) ratios for determining the availability of N, P and S for humus-C
59 sequestration (Kirkby et al., 2011), high (Ca+Mg)/K ratios may contribute to K deficiency in rice
60 soils (Dobermann et al., 1996). All these factors have been adequately studied for crop
61 production in unfavorable ecosystems. So, the purpose of this study was to determine the effect
62 of native soil nutrient concentrations and ratios on rice yield under unfavorable ecosystems in
63 Bangladesh for sustainable use of those ecosystems.

64 **Materials and Methods**

65 **Site description**

66 **Char and saline prone ecosystem (AEZ-18)**

67 About 1.0 million hectares (M ha) land of Bangladesh is affected by varying degrees of
68 salinity. Crop production in this area is dominated by traditional wet season rice (T. Aman rice)
69 and farmers generally harvest 2 t ha⁻¹ grain yield, which is very low than other parts of the
70 country due to soil salinity problem, drought in dry season, lack of adequate salinity tolerant
71 varieties as well as lack of appropriate fertilizer management technologies.

72

75 **Submergence and cold prone area (AEZ-3)**

76 It covers about 2.6 million hectares. The devastating flood caused considerable loss of
77 rice crop. The average yield of rice under flood-prone ecosystem is very low (2.5 t ha^{-1}) due to
78 lack of technologies on flood tolerance rice varieties and their appropriate fertilizer management
79 packages etc.

80

81 **Drought and cold prone area (AEZ-26)**

82 It is situated in north-west part of Bangladesh. Drought is very common in this part of the
83 country having 1200-1400 mm mean annual rainfall from June to October. Drought affected area
84 is nearly 2.5 Mha in Kharif and 1.2 Mha in dry season. Rice yield is poor due to lack of
85 sufficient water and nutrition management. This low yield might be.

86 **Non-saline tidal flood ecosystem (AEZ-13)**

87 This ecosystem covers about 1.9 Mha and the average yield of rice under non-saline tidal
88 flood ecosystem is not more than 3.0 t ha^{-1} due to lack of technologies on appropriate fertilizer
89 management packages etc.

90 **Haor ecosystem (AEZ-21)**

91

92 A haor is a wetland ecosystem in the north eastern part of Bangladesh. The total area in
93 this ecosystem is 80,000 square kilometers. Most of this area remains under water for seven
94 months of the year. During dry season most of the water drains out, leaving small shallow lakes
95 or may completely dry out by the end of dry season. This exposes rich alluvial soil, extensively
96 cultivated for rice.

97

98 **The characteristics of the study areas were as follows:**
 99

Location/AEZ	Characteristics	Cropping Pattern
Gongachara, Rangpur (AEZ-3)	Submergence and cold prone areas	Boro-Fallow-T. Aman
Tanore, Rajshahi (AEZ-26)	Drought and cold prone areas	Boro-Fallow-T. Aman
Babugonj, Barisal (AEZ-13)	Non-saline tidal flood ecosystem	Boro-Fallow-T. Aman
Sonagazi, Feni (AEZ-18)	Char and saline prone ecosystem	Boro-Fallow-T. Aman
Baniachang, Hobiganj (AEZ-21)	Haor ecosystem	Boro-Fallow-Fallow

100
101

102 **Cropping Pattern Based Experiments**

103 During project period (2011-13) field experiments in Boro and T. Aman seasons were
 104 conducted as cropping pattern based as detailed below:

105 Table .Cultural operation dates for different rice varieties in different locations of Bangladesh
 106

Location/AEZ	Variety	Date of Soaking	Date of Transplanting	Date of Harvesting
Boro season, 2011-12				
Sonagazi, Feni (AEZ-18)	BRRRI dhan47	20-11-11	17-01-12	27-04-12
Tanore, Rajshahi (AEZ-26)	BRRRI dhan29	06-12-11	20-01-12	20-05-12
Gangachara, Rangpur (AEZ-3)	BRRRI dhan29	30-11-11	16-01-12	24-05-12
Babugonj, Barisal (AEZ-13)	BRRRI dhan29	05-12-11	23-01-12	07-05-12
Baniachang, Hobiganj (AEZ-21)	BRRRI dhan29	20-11-11	10-01-12	11-05-12
T. Aman season, 2012				
Sonagazi, Feni (AEZ-18)	BRRRI dhan46	24-07-12	03-09-12	05-12-12
Tanore, Rajshahi (AEZ-26)	BRRRI dhan56	25-06-12	27-07-12	05-11-12
Gangachara, Rangpur (AEZ-3)	BRRRI dhan52	23-06-12	03-08-12	21-11-12
Babugonj, Barisal (AEZ-13)	LIV	08-05-12	13-06-12	12-12-12
Boro season, 2012-13				
Sonagazi, Feni (AEZ-18)	BRRRI dhan28	05-12-12	09-02-13	09-05-13
Tanore, Rajshahi (AEZ-26)	BRRRI dhan29	26-11-12	25-01-13	21-05-13
Gangachara, Rangpur (AEZ-3)	BRRRI dhan29	25-11-12	18-01-13	29-05-13
Babugonj, Barisal (AEZ-13)	BRRRI dhan29	27-11-12	19-01-13	11-05-13
Baniachang, Hobiganj (AEZ-21)	BRRRI dhan29	12-12-12	01-02-13	16-05-13
T. Aman season, 2013				

Sonagazi, Feni (AEZ-18)	BRR dhan46	11-07-13	17-08-13	05-12-13
Tanore, Rajshahi (AEZ-26)	BRR dhan56	04-07-13	27-07-13	28-10-13
Gangachara, Rangpur (AEZ-3)	BRR dhan52	29-06-13	29-07-13	08-12-13
Babugonj, Barisal (AEZ-13)	LIV	16-06-13	16-08-13	Damaged

107

108

109 The following treatments were tested: T_1 = NPKSZn (STB); T_2 = Absolute control. The

110 experiment was laid out in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) with three replications.

111

112

113

114

115 **Fertilizer application**

116

117 One-third N and all other inorganic fertilizers were applied at final land preparation. The

118 first top dress (One-third N) was applied at 20 DAT. The rest 1/3 N was applied at 5-7 days

119 before panicle initiation stage after drainage out of flood water. Necessary intercultural

120 operations were done as and when ever required. At maturity, the crop was harvested from 5 m²

121 area at the center of each plot and grain yield was adjusted to 14% moisture. The grain and straw

122 yields were recorded. Nutrient contents (N, P and K) from plant samples of the cropping pattern

123 were determined by standard laboratory procedure.

124 **Soil sample collection and analysis**

125

126 A total of 125 composite soil samples (10 samples/spot) were collected from the surface

127 layer (0-20 cm depth) from five AEZs (3, 13, 18, 21 & 26). Soil samples were collected from 25

128 farmers' fields at each location. Land type, soil series and land use were recorded. Soil samples

129 were analyzed for texture, pH, EC, OC, total N, exchangeable cations (Ca, Mg and K), available

130 P, S and Zn following standard methodology (Haque et al., 2015; Saleque et al., 2004).

131

132

133 Statistical analysis

134
135 Means for rice yield and soil properties were compared by using Tukey's HSD method.
136 Fisher's protected least significant difference (LSD) was calculated at the 0.05 probability level
137 for making treatment mean comparisons.

138 Results**140 Rice yield and nutrient ratios in wet season**

141
142 In saline prone areas (Sonagazi, AEZ-18), indigenous soil nutrient ratios C:K, N:K, P:K
143 and N:Mg showed significant positive correlations with wet season rice yield, although it showed
144 significant negative relationships with K:Ca, K:Mg, Ca:P, Ca:Zn, S:Zn, N:Zn and P:Zn ratios.
145 Similarly, P:K and K:Ca ratios were synergistically related with grain yield but C:N, C:P, C:K,
146 N:P, N:K, K:Mg, Ca:P, Ca:Zn, S:Zn, N:Mg, N:Zn and P:Zn ratios acted antagonistically in AEZ-
147 3 (flash flood and cold prone areas). In drought prone areas (AEZ-26), all studied nutrient ratios
148 (C:P, C: K ,N:P, N: K, P:K, Ca:P, N:Mg and N:Zn) showed significantly negative relationships
149 with grain yield except K: Ca K: Mg, Ca:Zn, S:Zn and P:Zn ratios (Table 3).
150

151 Rice yield and nutrient ratios under dry season

152
153 In AEZ-18, K:Ca, K:Mg, Ca:P, Ca;Zn, S:Zn, N:Zn and P:Zn ratios showed significantly
154 positive relationships with grain yield but significantly negative with C:K, N:P, N:K, P:K and
155 N:Mg ratios. The C:N, C:P and N:P ratios had no significant relationships with grain yield of
156 rice. The C:P, C:K, N:P, N:K, K:Mg, Ca:P, Ca:Zn, S:Zn, N:Mg, N:Zn, P:Zn ratios favored
157 significantly rice grain yield in AEZ-3. Nonetheless, P:K and K:Ca ratios acted negatively
158 against rice yield. In AEZ-26, rice yields were influenced antagonistically by C:P, C:K, N:P,
159 N:K, P: K, Ca:P, N:Mg and N:Zn ratios but others were synergistically correlated. In AEZ-13
160 (tidal ecosystem), rice yield showed significant negative relationships with C:P, C:K, N:P, N:K,

161 K:Ca, K:Mg, N:Mg and N:Zn ratios, but no significant relationships with P:K, Ca:P, Ca:Zn,
162 S:Zn and P:Zn ratios. In AEZ 21 (haor ecosystem), only C:K showed significant antagonistic
163 relationship with wet season rice grain yield but other nutrient ratios had no significant
164 relationships (Table 2). We found no significant correlation of C:N ratio with grain yields of rice
165 in any studied location.

166 **Nutrient ratios and soil separates**

167
168 In AEZ-18, sand fraction showed significant positive relationship with C:P, N:P and Ca:P
169 ratios. There was significant positive relation of silt with P:K but negatively with Ca:P ratio
170 (Table 3). Clay fraction had significant negative relationship with N:Mg, N:K and C:K ratios but
171 only Ca:P ratio was positively correlated. In AEZ-21, C: P, C:K, N:P, N:K, N:Mg, N:Zn, P:Zn,
172 Ca:Zn, S:Zn ratios showed significant positive relations with sand fraction (Table 4). Silt particle
173 had significant negative relations with N:Mg and S:Zn ratios. Clay particle had significant
174 negative relations with C:P, N:P, N:Zn ratios. The C:K, N:K, P:K, K:Ca and N:Mg showed
175 significant positive relations with sand and clay fractions in AEZ-3. However, Ca:P, Ca:Zn, S:Zn
176 ratios were negatively related with sand and clay separates and positively related with silt
177 fraction (Table 5). No significant relationships of soil nutrient ratios were found with sand in
178 AEZ-13 and AEZ-26 (Table 6, and 7). However, C:K, N:K and N:Mg ratios showed significant
179 positive relations with silt but negative with clay fraction in AEZ-13. In AEZ-26, C:K and N:K
180 had significant positive relations with silt but negative with clay fraction and K:Ca had negative
181 relationship with silt fraction.

182

183

184

185 Rice yield with added nutrients

186
187 In wet season, rice grain yields were not significantly improved because of NPKSZn
188 fertilizer application under studied locations (Table 8). In dry season, grain yield significantly
189 increased because of NPKSZn fertilizer application in all locations except AEZ-18 (Table 9).

190 Site specific indigenous soil nutrient ratios

191
192 The native soil nutrient ratios varied widely depending on nature of soil ecology and
193 cropping intensity in different localities of Bangladesh (Table 10). In AEZ-21, the N:P, N:Mg,
194 N:Zn, and Ca:P ratios were the widest compared to other studied locations (Table 10). The C:N
195 ratios ranged from 9.90:1 to 10.95:1. The P:Zn ratio was the lowest in AEZ-21 and N:P and
196 Ca:P ratios were the lowest in AEZ-3.

197

198 Discussion

199
200 Indigenous soil nutrient availability and ratios influence crop production in unfavorable
201 ecosystem depending on crop variety and water management. Our result indicated that grain
202 yield was the lowest in AEZ-26 compared to other studied locations might be because of
203 unfavorable C:N and S:Zn ratios for coarse textured soil (Table 10). In coarse textured soil, the
204 C:N needs to be around 25:1 (Oyem et al., 2013), but it was low with our findings. The lower
205 S:Zn ratio indicates higher soil Zn availability might have affected S uptake and thus reduced
206 rice yield (Singh et al., 2012). The C:P ratio clearly indicated that soils were deficient in P in
207 studied areas in which rice grain yield improved in dry season because of added P in all
208 locations. However, our previous studies showed no beneficial effect of added P in AEZ-21
209 (BRRI, 2014) indicating that P analysis method used failed to determine available soil P. Climate
210 imposes vital role in soil development and thus soil biota and its interaction with soil nutrients

211 (Chadwick et al., 1999; Vitousek, 2004). We have found C:N:P ratios of 10.8:385.4:1,
212 10.0:55.67:1, 9.9:551.3:1, 10.95:320.6:1 and 10.18:319.11:1 for AEZ-13, AEZ-21, AEZ-26,
213 AEZ-3 and AEZ-18, respectively. These ratios are far higher than available literature (Cleveland
214 and Liptzin, 2007; Redfield, 1958) because of lower soil P levels. Since the study locations are in
215 high temperature and precipitation in tropical region, high P leaching and P occlusion might have
216 taken place (Vitousek and Walker, 1987; Neufeldt et al., 2000; Zhang et al., 2005). At the same
217 time, higher cropping intensity and imbalanced fertilizers used by the farmers (Biswas et al.,
218 2004, 2008) could be the reason of skewed soil C:P N:P, N:Mg, N:Zn, and Ca:P ratios in studied
219 locations.

220 The findings of present investigation shows that indigenous soil nutrient ratios like C:P,
221 N:P, N:K, K:Mg, Ca:P, Ca:Zn and S:Zn significantly influenced dry season irrigated rice yield in
222 AEZ-3. Soil K/(Ca + Mg) or K/Mg ratios might have played vital role in this aspects (McLean et
223 al., 1983). We found K:Mg, Ca:Zn, S:Zn and P:Zn ratios as vital component for dry season
224 irrigated rice yield improvement in AEZ-18, flash flood and cold prone areas (AEZ-3) and
225 drought and cold prone (AEZ-26) regions of Bangladesh (Table 2). Soil K and Mg showed no
226 effective linkages with sand, silt and clay fractions of studied locations in Bangladesh (Table 3,
227 4, 5, 6, 7). Kopittke and Menzies, 2007 also reported that K:Mg was not influenced by chemical,
228 physical, and biological fertility of soil. Emphasis should be placed on providing sufficient, but
229 not excessive levels of each basic cation rather than attempting to attain a favorable basic cation
230 saturation ratio, which evidently does not exist (McLean et al., 1983). It is possible to have a
231 deficiency of K and Mg even though the ratios might be in the ideal range. The cations ratio may
232 be less than ideal for some fine-textured soils, but may have adequate amounts for crop
233 production and additional applications are not necessary (McLean , 1976). Result indicated that

234 indigenous Ca, Mg and Zn were playing a vital role for rice production in unfavorable
235 ecosystems of Bangladesh.

236 **Conclusion**

237
238 Nutrient management requires understanding of soil nutrients behavior for optimizing
239 rice yield through fertilizer management. We observed about 27% higher grain yield in AEZ-3
240 than AEZ-26 because of variations in C:P, C:K, N:P, N:K, Ca:P, N:Mg, N:Zn, ratios in which
241 Ca, Mg and Zn were playing a pivotal role in rice production in unfavorable ecosystems of
242 Bangladesh. Soil test for fertilizer application needs special attention for judicious use of
243 ecologically fragile soils in Bangladesh.

244 **References**

- 245
246
247 Anonymous (2016) Magnesium in the soil. Agronomical Library.
248 http://www.spectrumanalytic/Mg_Basics.htm (access on 11-6-2016)
- 249 Bhuiyan, N. I., Paul, D. N. R., & Jabber, M. A. (2002). Feeding the extra millions by 2025:
250 challenges for rice research and extension in Bangladesh. In: Proceedings of the national
251 workshop on rice research and extension, BRRI, Gazipur, January 29–31, 2002.
- 252 Bergman, W. (1992). Nutritional Disorders of Plants. Development, Visual, and Analytical
253 Diagnosis. Gustav Fischer Verlag, Jena, Germany.
- 254 Biswas, J.C., Maniruzzaman, M., Sattar, M. A., & Neogi, M.G. (2008). Improvement of rice
255 yield through fertilizer and cultural management at farmer's field. Bangladesh Rice Journal,
256 13, 9-14.

- 257 Biswas, J.C., Islam, M.R., Biswas, S.R., & Islam, M.J. (2004). Crop productivity at farmers
258 fields: Options for soil test based fertilizer use and cropping patterns. Bangladesh
259 Agronomy Journal, 10, 31-41.
- 260 BRRI (Bangladesh Rice Research Institute). (2014). Annual report for 2013. Gazipur,
261 Bangladesh: BRRI.
- 262 Chadwick, O.A., Derry, L.A., Vitousek, P.M., Huebert, B.J., & Hedin, L.O. (1999). Changing
263 sources of nutrients during four million years of ecosystem development. Nature, 397, 491-
264 97.
- 265 Cleveland, C.C., & Liptzin, D. (2007). C:N:P stoichiometry in soil: Is there a "Redfield ratio" for
266 the microbial biomass? Biogeochemistry, 85, 235-252.
- 267 Dobermann, A., Cassman, K.G., Sta. Cruz. C. P., Adviento, M. A., & Pampolino, M. F. (1996).
268 Fertilizer inputs, nutrient balance, and soil nutrient-supplying power in intensive,
269 irrigated rice systems. II: Effective soil K-supplying capacity. Nutrient Cycling in Agro-
270 ecosystems, 46, 11-21.
- 271 Haque, M. M., Saleque ,M.A., Shah, A.L., Biswas, J. C., & Kim, P. J. (2015). Long-Term Effects
272 of Sulfur and Zinc Fertilization on Rice Productivity and Nutrient Efficiency in Double Rice
273 Cropping Paddy in Bangladesh. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis, 46,
274 2877-2887.
- 275 Kirkby, C. A., Kirkegaard, J.A., Richardson, A.E., Wade, L.J., Blanchard, C., & Batten, G.
276 (2011). Stable soil organic matter: A comparison of C:N:P:S ratios in Australian and other
277 world soils. Geoderma, 163, 197–208.
- 278 Kopittke, P. M., & Menzies, N. W. (2007). A review of the use of the basic cation saturation ratio
279 and the "Ideal" soil. Soil Science Society of American Journal, 71, 259-265.

- 280 McLean EO (1976) Exchangeable K levels for maximum crop yields on soils of different cation
281 exchange capacities. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis* **17**, 823-838.
- 282 Mclean, E.O., Hartwig, R.C., Eckert, D.J., & Triplett, G.B. (1983). Basic cation saturation ratios
283 as basis for fertilizing and liming agronomic crops. II. Field studies. *American Journal of*
284 *Agronomy*, 75, 635-639.
- 285 Neufeldt, H., da Silva, J.E., Ayarza, M.A., & Zech, W. (2000). Land-use effects on phosphorus
286 fractions in Cerrado Oxisols. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, 31, 30–37.
- 287
- 288 Oyem, I ,L., & Rank, O.I.L. (2013). Effects of Crude Oil Spillage on Soil Physico-Chemical
289 Properties in Ugborodo Community. *International Journal of Modern Engineering Research*,
290 6, 3336-3342.
- 291 Quayum, M.A., Ali, A.M., & Salam, M. A. (2012). Impact of power tillers on profitability of
292 some cropping patterns in some selected areas of Bangladesh. *Bangladesh Journal of*
293 *Agricultural Research*, 37, 415-432.
- 294 Redfield, A.C .(1958). The biological control of chemical factors in the environment. *American*
295 *Scientist*, 46, 205-221.
- 296 Saleque, M.A., Abedin, M. J., Bhuiyan, N. I., Zaman, S. K., & Panaullah, G. M. (2004). Long-
297 term effects of inorganic and organic fertilizer sources on yield and nutrient accumulation of
298 lowland rice. *Field Crops Research*, 86, 53-65.
- 299 Singh, A. K., Manibhushan, M.K., Meena, Upadhyaya, A. (2012). Effect of Sulphur and Zinc on
300 Rice Performance and Nutrient Dynamics in Plants and Soil of Indo Gangetic Plains. *The*
301 *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 4, 162-170.

- 302 Vitousek, P.M., Walker, L.R., Whiteaker, L.D., Muellerdombois, D., & Matson, P.A. (1987).
303 Biological Invasion by Myrica-Faya Alters Ecosystem Development in Hawaii. *Science*, 238,
304 802-804.
- 305 Vitousek, P.M. (2004). *Nutrient Cycling and Limitation: Hawai'i as a Model System*. Princeton
306 University Press, Princeton, New Jersey.
- 307 Zhang, C., Tian, H.Q., Liu, J., Wang, S., Liu, M., Pan, S., & Shi, X. (2005). Pools and
308 Distributions of Soil Phosphorus in China. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 19, GB1020,
309 497 doi:10.1029/2004GB002296

310 Table 1 . Relationships of nutrient ratios with T. Aman rice yields

311

	Sonagazi (AEZ-18)	Rangpur (AEZ-3)	Rajshahi (AEZ-26)
C:N	-0.28039NS	-1.35154**	0.32920NS
C:P	0.27049NS	-0.66653*	-0.81137**
C:K	0.82750**	-0.67253*	-0.79981**
N:P	0.48109NS	-0.70784*	-0.80105**
N:K	0.82067**	-0.67662*	-0.79644*
P:K	0.82095**	0.67373*	-0.80794**
K:Ca	-0.81655**	0.674319*	0.79914**
K:Mg	-0.80278**	-0.56359NS	0.82170**
Ca:P	-0.81679**	-0.66761*	-0.80119**
Ca:Zn	-0.83085**	-0.67224*	0.80121**
S:Zn	-0.88622**	-0.67197*	0.80119**
N:Mg	0.77828*	-0.65103*	-0.81750**
N:Zn	-0.81474**	-0.66697*	-0.80308**
P:Zn	-0.83218**	-0.67201*	0.80118**

312 NS = Non significant; * = Significant at 5% level;

313 ** = Significant at 1% level of probability

314

315

316

317 Table 2 . Relationships of nutrient ratios with Boro rice yields

318

	Sonagazi (AEZ-18)	Rangpur (AEZ-3)	Rajshahi (AEZ-26)	Barisal (AEZ-13)	Habiganj (AEZ-21)
C:N	0.29247NS	0.05079	-0.06786	0.58939NS	-0.20384NS
C:P	-0.28071NS	0.90350**	-0.85980**	-0.66043*	0.44432NS
C:K	-0.87984**	0.89921**	-0.85635**	-0.75850*	-0.67812*
N:P	-0.49775NS	0.90441**	-0.85670**	-0.67133*	0.53709NS
N:K	-0.87398**	0.89881**	-0.85765**	-0.75710*	-0.59344NS
P:K	-0.87707**	-0.89811**	-0.84797**	0.19370NS	-0.48828NS
K:Ca	0.87408*	-0.89793**	0.85533**	-0.88700**	0.61392NS
K:Mg	0.87550**	0.87424**	0.85023**	-0.70563*	0.54072NS
Ca:P	0.89447**	0.89967**	-0.85612**	0.40561NS	0.23405NS
Ca:Zn	0.88315**	0.89878**	0.85601**	0.47548NS	0.06181NS
S:Zn	0.88368**	0.89894**	0.85611**	-0.21137NS	0.29181NS
N:Mg	-0.80469**	0.89573**	-0.86679**	-0.84712**	0.09366NS
N:Zn	0.86545**	0.89833**	-0.85685**	-0.89038**	0.48385NS
P:Zn	0.88147**	0.89868**	0.85610**	0.00570NS	0.06518NS

319 NS = Non significant; * = Significant at 5% level;

320 ** = Significant at 1% level of probability

321

322 Table 3. Nutrient ratios as influenced by soil separates, Sonagazi (AEZ-18)

323
324

	Sand	Silt	Clay
C:N	-0.11523NS	0.08390NS	0.13250NS
C:P	0.43015*	-0.35858NS	-0.22078NS
C:K	0.20770NS	-0.07508NS	-0.45713*
N:P	0.45842*	-0.37910NS	-0.24978NS
N:K	0.22453NS	-0.08243NS	-0.49595*
P:K	-0.32930NS	0.41385*	-0.31302NS
K:Ca	-0.12504NS	0.13626NS	-0.11501NS
K:Mg	0.00033NS	0.08383NS	-0.31027NS
Ca:P	0.50976**	-0.61572**	0.46506*
Ca:Zn	0.21613NS	-0.26396NS	0.20521NS
S:Zn	-0.08008NS	0.04833NS	0.08146NS
N:Mg	0.24371NS	-0.09160NS	-0.53658*
N:Zn	0.17604NS	-0.21294NS	0.15641NS
P:Zn	0.10111NS	-0.14268NS	0.15648NS

325 NS = Non significant; * = Significant at 5% level;

326 ** = Significant at 1% level of probability

327
328

329 Table 4 . Nutrient ratios as influenced by soil separates, Habiganj (AEZ-21)

	Sand	Silt	Clay
C:N	0.25724NS	-0.08004NS	-0.25854NS
C:P	0.54720**	-0.31605NS	-0.44798*
C:K	0.44669*	-0.38395NS	-0.29086NS
N:P	0.54058**	-0.33084NS	-0.43263*
N:K	0.43423*	-0.39228NS	-0.2717NS
N:Mg	0.52929**	-0.46121*	-0.33888NS
N:Zn	0.53988**	-0.36913NS	-0.39071*
P:K	-0.11396NS	0.01818NS	0.12769 NS
P:Zn	0.40201*	-0.27168NS	-0.28834NS
K:Ca	-0.01681NS	-0.20668NS	0.12707NS
K:Mg	-0.09444NS	0.03676NS	0.08247NS
Ca:P	0.09008NS	0.25929NS	-0.24921NS
Ca:Zn	0.45705*	-0.22924NS	-0.37347NS
S:Zn	0.52011**	-0.45848*	-0.31758NS

330 NS = Non significant; * = Significant at 5% level;

331 ** = Significant at 1% level of probability

332
333

334

335 Table 5 . Nutrient ratios as influenced by soil separates, Rangpur (AEZ-3)

	Sand	Silt	Clay
C:N	-0.25254NS	0.26440NS	-0.28638NS
C:P	0.04395NS	-0.05308NS	0.08765NS
C:K	0.61698**	-0.63920**	0.54027**
N:P	0.07549NS	-0.08669 NS	0.12523NS
N:K	0.62624**	-0.64966**	0.55717**
P:K	0.52216**	-0.53482**	0.40785*
K:Ca	0.40491*	-0.45006*	0.54915**
K:Mg	0.17215NS	-0.16354NS	0.07337NS
Ca:P	-0.49858*	0.50816**	-0.41216*
Ca:Zn	-0.56713**	0.59414**	-0.59428**
S:Zn	-0.42094*	0.45311*	-0.48367*
N:Mg	0.70868**	-0.72870**	0.59532**
N:Zn	0.15874NS	-0.16617NS	0.15239NS
P:Zn	-0.04760NS	0.06567NS	-0.16471NS

336 NS = Non significant; * = Significant at 5% level;

337 ** = Significant at 1% level of probability

338

339

340 Table 6 . Nutrient ratios as influenced by soil separates, Barisal (AEZ-13)

341

	Sand	Silt	Clay
C:N	0.09828NS	-0.24010NS	0.23033NS
C:P	-0.32632NS	0.33186NS	-0.16779NS
C:K	-0.28809NS	0.56204**	-0.51366**
N:P	-0.33030NS	0.35216NS	-0.19074NS
N:K	-0.28022NS	0.56588**	-0.52585**
P:K	0.10760NS	0.23041NS	-0.37442NS
K:Ca	-0.22735NS	0.14206NS	0.02911NS
K:Mg	0.17177NS	-0.23086NS	0.12099NS
Ca:P	-0.02861NS	-0.18354NS	0.23972NS
Ca:Zn	0.20291NS	-0.28018NS	0.17966NS
S:Zn	-0.13536NS	0.03165 NS	0.02667NS
N:Mg	-0.18597NS	0.45879*	-0.48588*
N:Zn	-0.26113NS	0.391678NS	-0.30865NS
P:Zn	0.16866NS	-0.02902NS	-0.09475NS

342 NS = Non significant; * = Significant at 5% level;

343 ** = Significant at 1% level of probability

344

345

346 Table 7 . Nutrient ratios as influenced by soil separates, Rajshahi (AEZ-26)
 347

	Sand	Silt	Clay
C:N	-0.07562NS	0.19797NS	-0.29734NS
C:P	-0.10179NS	0.18273NS	-0.20563NS
C:K	-0.25048NS	0.43507*	-0.51893**
N:P	-0.09790NS	0.15394NS	-0.14698NS
N:K	-0.24787NS	0.41615*	-0.48346*
N:Mg	-0.23424NS	0.32796NS	-0.30115NS
N:Zn	0.11079NS	-0.19723NS	0.16286NS
P:K	-0.19971NS	0.30267NS	-0.32778NS
P:Zn	0.10410NS	-0.23053NS	0.26160NS
K:Ca	0.39349NS	-0.45240*	0.25756NS
K:Mg	0.05929NS	-0.13604NS	0.19855NS
Ca:P	-0.08519NS	0.00664NS	0.18150NS
Ca:Zn	0.18744NS	-0.34518NS	0.35627NS
S:Zn	0.28081NS	-0.39007NS	0.25017NS

348 NS = Non significant; * = Significant at 5% level;
 349 ** = Significant at 1% level of probability

350
 351
 352 Table 8 Rice grain yield in wet season under unfavorable ecosystems in Bangladesh
 353

Treatment	Grain yield (t ha ⁻¹)		
	Rangpur (AEZ-3)	Rajshahi (AEZ-26)	Sonagazi (AEZ-18)
No fertilizer	2.56	2.54	3.07
NPKSZn fertilizer	4.13	3.62	3.90
t-test	NS	NS	NS

354
 355
 356 Table 9 Rice grain yield in Boro season under unfavorable ecosystems in Bangladesh
 357

Treatment	Grain yield (t/ha)				
	Barisal (AEZ-13)	Habiganj (AEZ-21)	Rangpur (AEZ-3)	Rajshahi (AEZ-26)	Sonagazi (AEZ-18)
No fertilizer	3.78	3.20	3.83	2.79	3.70
NPKSZn fertilizer	7.52	6.92	7.50	6.54	5.28
t-test	*	*	*	*	NS

358
 359 Note: NS means not significant * denote significant at 5% levels.

Table 10 Native soil nutrient ratio with different AEZ under different unfavorable ecosystems in Bangladesh

Sand (%)	Silt (%)	Clay (%)	C:N	C:P	C:K	N:P	N:K	N:Mg	N:Zn	P:K	P:Zn	K:Ca	K:Mg	Ca:P	Ca:Zn	S:Zn
<u>Barisal (AEZ 13)</u>																
12.52	52.40	35.08	10.81	385.47	136.69	56.47	12.73	2.01	564.11	0.24	10.88	0.04	0.16	123.97	983.43	16.09
<u>Habiganj (AEZ 21)</u>																
20.00	20.64	59.36	10.07	5567.14	126.46	687.04	12.54	10.56	1050.66	0.02	1.51	0.19	0.87	352.49	452.60	18.49
<u>Raishahi (AEZ 26)</u>																
33.76	50.65	15.59	9.90	551.37	159.36	75.95	16.09	6.23	436.20	0.22	6.78	0.07	0.40	63.24	321.50	8.66
<u>Rangpur (AEZ 3)</u>																
24.32	64.60	11.08	10.95	302.61	124.27	38.82	14.39	4.22	336.25	0.35	10.76	0.14	0.30	29.92	259.07	8.92
<u>Sonagazi (AEZ 18)</u>																
13.80	55.64	30.56	10.18	319.11	67.93	48.10	6.61	1.43	987.24	0.15	34.96	0.09	0.22	90.92	1179.05	57.15