

Original Research Article

Effect of non-classic nitrogen fertilizer forms on some soil chemical properties, growth, yield of zucchini plants and emission of GHGs

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted in two successive summer seasons of 2014 and 2015 under open field conditions at Dokki protected cultivation experimental site, Agricultural Research Center (ARC), Egypt. To evaluate the effect of non-classic N fertilizer forms such as coffee husk (organic waste), humic acid (organic acid) and proline (amino acid) compared to ammonium nitrate as traditional mineral fertilizer, through ground and foliar application, on some soil chemical properties of the studied clay soil i.e. pH, EC_e, chemically available concentrations of N, P and K. Growth and yield parameters of zucchini plants were also studied. GHG emissions from different applied nitrogen fertilization types and its impact on warming and air pollution were determined according to **Millar *et al.* (2010)** equation. Data revealed that the treatment of coffee husk could replace, at least partially, the traditional mineral fertilizer; that increased soil fertility, growth and yield of growing plants, and reduced GHG emissions. Also, proline and humic acid could consider as activator of phytohormones and growth substances, and recover the effect due to unfavorable climatic conditions or stresses.

Keywords: Mineral fertilizer, Organic waste, Organic acid, Amino acid, Clay soil, Zucchini plants.

1. INTRODUCTION

Zucchini (*Cucurbita pepo*) is one of the popular vegetable crops in Egypt. It is cultivated mainly during autumn and spring seasons, but also can be cultivated in summer season, as it is rich in nutrients and bioactive compounds contents such as phenolics, flavonoids, vitamins, amino acids, carbohydrates and minerals (especially K), and it is low in energy content (about 17 Kcal/100 g of fresh pumpkin) and has large amount of fiber (**Tamer *et al.*, 2010**). It needs for N, as an essential nutrient, to give vigor growth and better yield, especially in the physiological stages.

The efficient use of nutrients within crop production systems has been in the focus for several decades. **Millar *et al.* (2010)** established that increasing nitrogen fertilizer application rates increases the N₂O flux from soil, and **Robertson *et al.* (2012)** found that mineral fertilization is the largest source of atmospheric N₂O emissions. Nitrous oxide has important effects both on the climate system and on stratospheric ozone (**Wuebbles, 2009**). It is a potent greenhouse gas (GHG) produced in the soil predominantly by the microbial processes of

nitrification (ammonia oxidation) and denitrification (nitrate reduction). Management practices that can influence emissions of N_2O from agricultural soils include fertilizer N (rate, **type**, timing and application method), crop, tillage, residue management, and irrigation (**Parkin and Kaspar, 2006**).

The application of manures to soil provides potential benefits including improving the fertility, structure, water holding capacity of soil, increasing soil organic matter and reducing the amount of mineral fertilizer needed for crop production (**Abul-Soud *et al.*, 2010**). Disadvantages of using manures include the hard and high cost of handling and distribution associated with the large amount of manure required obtaining sufficient quantities of nutrients for vegetables. The use of fresh manure may also introduce new weeds into fields previously free of that species since certain weed seeds maintain their viability after passage through animals.

So, we need to use another types of fertilizers as a source of N and known as friendly with the environment. Coffee husk is a low cost material contains various functional groups such as phosphate, carboxyl, amine and amides, rich in N and is available in the coffee factories, **Boonamnuayvitaya *et al.* (2004)**. Also, humic acid is not a fertilizer as it does not directly provide nutrients to plants, but is a compliment to fertilizer. **El-Bassiouny *et al.* (2013)** reported that humic acids help in saving irrigation water, decreasing fertilizer dose of N, P and K, and at the same time increasing wheat productivity grown under newly reclaimed sandy soil. **Abd-Elkader *et al.* (2014)** mentioned that addition of organic acids i.e. humic and fulvic acids to the soil decreased soil pH and increased soil organic matter and nutrient content, as well as increased yield and nutrient uptake of growing plants. In addition, proline amino acid was greatly synthesized within plant tissues more than other amino acids in grown plants and fruits for the protection against stresses. **Ahmed *et al.* (2006)** indicated that foliar proline amino acid at 100 ppm, on sweet pepper plants, gave the highest values of vegetative growth and yield per plant. **Darwesh *et al.* (2013)** studied the effect of different types of amino acids i.e. glutamine, asparagines, arginine, proline, tyrosine and tryptophan at weekly foliar spraying rates 100, 200 and 300 mgL^{-1} , on the growth parameters and some of chemical contents in the date palm. The results indicated that highly stimulated growth of plantlets in the green house was achieved by different amino acids, especially tryptophan and proline at the rate 300 mgL^{-1} .

Thus, the main objective of this study is to evaluate the effect of different non-classic forms of N fertilizer (coffee husk, humic acid, proline) on some soil chemical properties, growth and yield of zucchini plants, compared with mineral fertilizer (ammonium nitrate) as control, and also to determine GHG emission from different applied nitrogen fertilization types and its impact on warming and air pollution.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The current study was carried out in two successive summer seasons of 2014 and 2015 under open field conditions at Dokki protected cultivation experimental site, Central Laboratory for Agricultural Climate (CLAC), Agricultural Research Center (ARC), Giza governorate, Egypt. The climatic data at Dokki site during the summer seasons of 2014 and 2015 were shown in Figure 1.

2.1 Field experiment

A field experiment was conducted in clay soil (*Vertic Torrifuvents*); some physical and chemical characteristics of the studied soil before cultivation are presented in Table 1. Soil physical and chemical properties were determined by methods of Klute (1986) and Page *et al.* (1982).




Fig 1. The climatic data at Dokki site during the summer seasons of 2014 and 2015 from June to August.

Table 1. Some physical and chemical characteristics of the studied soil.

Particle size distribution, %		Soluble cations, mmol _c L ⁻¹	
Sand	28.0	Ca ²⁺	7.00
Silt	40.0	Mg ²⁺	5.60
Clay	32.0	Na ⁺	1.50
Textural class	Clay loam	K ⁺	0.90
CaCO ₃ , g kg ⁻¹	60.0	Soluble anions, mmol _c L ⁻¹	
OM, g kg ⁻¹	10.2	CO ₃ ²⁻	n.d*
CEC, cmol _c kg ⁻¹	49.1	HCO ₃ ⁻	4.50

pH (1:2.5)	7.53	Cl ⁻	3.11
EC _e , dS m ⁻¹	0.87	SO ₄ ²⁻	2.18

*n.d means not detected.

99
100 Seeds of zucchini (*Cucurbita pepo* var. *Rossina*, cv. Hybrid F1) were sown in rows (6 m in
101 the row length × 30 cm in the row width and 30 cm in between) on 26th and 24th June 2014 and
102 2015, respectively. Five treatments were applied as follows:

- 103 1- Control (without any treatments)
104 2- NH₄NO₃ (0.25 ton/fed/5 cm, as a recommended rate to zucchini plants according to extensions
105 of the Minister of Agriculture, Egypt)
106 3- Coffee husk (1.35 ton/fed/5 cm, according to **Oraby, 2008**)
107 4- Humic acid (5 cm³ L⁻¹ as foliar application, as a recommended rate for irrigating vegetables
108 according to extensions of the producer company) + ½ NH₄NO₃ (as ground application)
109 5- Proline (0.15 g L⁻¹ as foliar application, according to **Ahmed and Darwesh**) + ½ NH₄NO₃ (as
110 ground application)

111 The ammonium nitrate and coffee husk treatments were applied to the soil after seeds
112 germination and mixed thoroughly with the upper 5 cm layer. Coffee husk was collected from
113 Nescafé Company in 10th of Ramadan City, washed using distilled water, dried at 60°C for
114 24hrs, ground and sieved to get the particle size range of 125-250 µm which was used for this
115 study. Humic acid and proline were added as foliar application at vegetative growth stage.
116 Humic acid produced from FAM Company for agricultural development (Grandy solution). The
117 used treatments were subjected to some chemical analyses as shown in Table 2. The
118 recommended dose of ordinary superphosphate was added at a rate of 15 kg P₂O₅/fed, before
119 plant cultivation. Potassium sulfate was added at a rate of 46 kg K₂O/fed, after 25 days from
120 plant cultivation.

121 At the end of harvest, 52 days from sowing, the plant samples were collected to determine
122 growth parameters as follows: plant height (cm), stem diameter (mm), number of leaves/plant,
123 total chlorophyll of the fourth mature leaf from outside was measured using Minolta chlorophyll
124 meter Spad-501, total fresh and dry weights (g/plant), fruit fresh and dry weights (g). For mineral
125 analysis of fruits (N, P and K %), three plant samples of each plot were dried at 70°C in an air
126 forced oven for 48hrs. Dried leaves and fruits were digested by H₂SO₄/H₂O₂ mixture according
127 to the method described by **Page et al. (1982)**. Total nitrogen was determined using Kjeldahl
128 method according to the procedure described by **Chapman and Pratt (1961)**. Phosphorus
129 content was determined using spectrophotometer according to **Watanabe and Olsen (1965)**,
130 potassium content being determined using Flame photometer as described by **Jackson (1958)**.

131 Soil samples were collected after plant harvest at depth of 0-20 cm. The collected samples

were air dried, crushed, sieved through a 2mm sieve and stored for chemical properties determination.

Table 2. Some chemical characteristics of the studied treatments.

Treatment	pH (1:2.5)	EC _e , dS m ⁻¹	%N	%P	%K
NH ₄ NO ₃	5.47	n.d	33.5	n.d	n.d
Coffee husk	5.98	1.27	1.95	0.71	0.16
Humic acid	6.91	0.26	0.98	0.40	0.19
Proline	6.74	0.41	28.0	n.d	0.0002

n.d means not detected.

2.2 Greenhouse gas emissions from nitrogen fertilization in the experimental soil

The aerobic microbial oxidation of ammonia to nitrate is called nitrification, while denitrification is the reduction of nitrate to gaseous nitrogen (N₂). Both reactions produce the intermediate gaseous nitrous oxide (N₂O) through microbial activities in the soil and eventually this gas is released to the atmosphere. The emission of N₂O from field was estimated according to **Millar *et al.* (2010)**; the following equation was adopted:

$$\text{N}_2\text{O emission} = [1.47 + (0.01 \times F)] \times \text{N}_2\text{O}_{\text{MW}} \times \text{N}_2\text{O}_{\text{GWP}}$$

Where:

F Mass of N applied from synthetic fertilizer, kg N ha⁻¹

N₂O_{MW} Ratio of molecular weight of N₂O to 2N, kg N₂O (kg N)⁻¹

N₂O_{GWP} Global Warming Potential for N₂O, kg CO₂-e (kg N₂O)⁻¹

Global Warming Potential (GWP) has been calculated to reflect how long it remains in the atmosphere, on average, and how strongly it absorbs energy. Gases with a higher GWP absorb more energy than gases with a lower GWP, and thus contribute more to global warming. The GWP value of 298 for N₂O used in the protocol (N₂O_{GWP}) is the 100-year value used in the most recent IPCC fourth assessment report according to **Forster *et al.* (2007)**. The CO₂-e equivalent emission for each gas (CO₂, N₂O and CH₄) were summed together to give total CO₂-e.

2.3 Experimental design and statistical analysis

The experiment was designed in a completely randomized design and each treatment was replicated three times. Data were statistically analyzed using the analysis of variance adopting a SAS software package at P≤0.05 (**SAS Institute, 2000**).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Soil chemical properties

Data in Table 3 show the effect of the studied treatments on some soil chemical properties i.e. pH, EC_e, chemically available N, P and K in the investigated soil after harvesting zucchini plants during the two tested seasons of 2014 and 2015. Data revealed that coffee husk

treatment was superior in decreasing soil pH, followed by the treatment of proline + $\frac{1}{2}$ NH_4NO_3 . It may be due to that coffee husk is a byproduct rich in functional groups such as carboxyl and amine (Boonamnuayvitaya *et al.*, 2004) which can make reactions by releasing H^+ ions and chelating elements; causing reduction in soil pH. Also, proline as an amino acid can reduce soil pH. The increment in soil EC went hand by hand with the EC of the applied treatments (see Table 2). It was obvious that the EC values of the studied soil in the second season were higher than in the first one; may be due to continuous application of the tested treatments beside the agricultural practices. Significant increase of chemically available N in the studied soil due to the applied treatments compared to the control treatment (without N application, depending on the native amount of the soil). On the opposite, the chemically available concentration that comes from applying N mineral fertilizer was lower than come from the non-classic forms of the applied other fertilizers. It may be due to that N from its mineral fertilizer is in soluble form which more ready to uptake by growing plants and easy to leach from the soil profile, which reflect on decreasing its amount available in the soil; compared to the other treatments which chelate N and reserve it from leaching downwards. The chemically available P and K values of the studied soil in the first season went hand by hand with the obtained values for the applied treatments (see Table 2). Similar trend was found in the second season.

Table 3. Effect of the studied treatments on some soil chemical properties of the investigated soil during the two tested seasons of 2014 and 2015.

Treatment	pH (1:2.5)	ECe, dS m ⁻¹	Chemically available macronutrients, %		
			N	P	K
First season (2014)					
Control	7.33	1.26	0.12	0.19	0.17
NH ₄ NO ₃	7.27	1.64	0.32	0.28	0.21
Coffee husk	6.98	2.02	0.74	0.29	0.24
Humic acid + ½ NH ₄ NO ₃	7.30	1.43	0.51	0.27	0.26
Proline + ½ NH ₄ NO ₃	7.07	1.38	0.56	0.24	0.22
LSD_{0.05}	0.22	0.09	0.11	0.02	0.01
Second season (2015)					
Control	7.41	1.13	0.10	0.21	0.19
NH ₄ NO ₃	7.24	1.69	0.28	0.26	0.20
Coffee husk	6.21	2.11	0.75	0.27	0.25
Humic acid + ½ NH ₄ NO ₃	7.36	1.45	0.48	0.28	0.27
Proline + ½ NH ₄ NO ₃	7.00	1.41	0.57	0.23	0.20
LSD_{0.05}	0.13	0.12	0.09	0.01	0.01

Simple correlation coefficient (r) between the studied treatments applied as ground application and soil properties attributes (Table 4) show that coffee husk treatment have the most effect on electrical conductivity of salts and chemically available concentrations of N, P and K in the studied soil ($r=0.99$). This could explain the higher yield obtained by the treatment of coffee husk compared to the other treatments as will mention later. The results of correlation coefficient analysis show that, there is a significant negative correlation between pH and soil EC and its content of chemically available N, P and K. Saline soils have large amount of salts those increase EC values above 4 dS m^{-1} and decrease pH lower than 8.5, confirming the negative correlation between soil pH and EC. Positive correlation between EC and chemically available concentrations of N and K in the studied soil, while negative correlation was found between EC and chemically available P. Negative correlation between chemically available N and P, while the opposite between chemically available N and K. A strong positive correlation was found between chemically available concentration of P and K in the studied soil.

Table 4. Simple correlation coefficient between the applied treatments as ground application and soil properties attributes.

Correlation matrix	pH	EC	N	P	K
Mineral fertilizer					
pH	-				
EC	-0.954	-			
N	-0.980	0.930	-		
P	-0.982	-0.980	-0.980	-	
K	-0.982	0.958	0.985	0.995	-
Coffee husk					
pH	-				
EC	-0.997	-			
N	-0.988	0.986	-		
P	-0.998	-0.998	-0.998	-	
K	-0.994	0.989	0.998	0.998	-

3.2 Zucchini plants

Data in Table 5 show the effect of the applied non-classic fertilizers on some vegetative growth measurements of zucchini plants, compared to the applied N mineral fertilizer and control without N addition, during the summer season of 2014 and 2015. Data revealed that the treatment of coffee husk gave, generally, the highest significant values of stem diameter, chlorophyll content and total plant fresh and dry weights, followed by the treatment of foliar application of proline combined with $\frac{1}{2} \text{ NH}_4\text{NO}_3$ as ground application which gave, also, the highest plant height and No. of leaves per plant. The lowest values were obtained in case of

control treatment. **Oraby (2013)** studied the effect of some treatments such as bentonite, zeolite, tea dust, coffee husk, eggshell, sawdust, rock phosphate and gypsum on wheat plants grown on contaminated soil with heavy metals, and reported that the treatment of bentonite gave the highest value of dry shoot followed by coffee husk treatment. Proline considered a precursor or an activator of phytohormones and growth substances. In addition, it can prevent and recover the effect due to high temperature or other unfavorable climatic conditions during the growing season; help to increase chlorophyll concentration in the plant leading to higher degree of photosynthesis and make the crop lush green. This result agreed with those obtained by **Sadak *et al.* (2015)** on faba bean. The treatment of foliar application of humic acid combined with $\frac{1}{2}$ NH_4NO_3 came in the third order; the role of humic acid in activating and enhancing the plant growth was obvious compared to the application of mineral fertilizer alone.

Table 5. Effect of the studied treatments on the vegetative growth measurements of zucchini plants during the two tested seasons of 2014 and 2015.

Treatment	Plant height, cm	Stem diameter, mm	No. of leaves/plant	Chlorophyll content in leaves, SPAAD	Total plant fresh weight, g	Total plant dry weight, g
First season (2014)						
Control	33.1	1.73	23.3	42.2	1365	317
NH_4NO_3	46.6	2.07	30.5	46.7	1790	391
Coffee husk	55.3	3.06	34.5	56.4	3590	816
Humic acid + $\frac{1}{2}$ NH_4NO_3	54.2	2.66	33.7	45.4	2425	516
Proline + $\frac{1}{2}$ NH_4NO_3	60.3	2.87	35.5	49.6	3375	750
LSD_{0.05}	4.98	2.03	1.38	1.29	35.7	22.5
Second season (2015)						
Control	31.7	1.70	22.5	40.1	1271	319
NH_4NO_3	45.4	2.15	30.0	46.1	1762	376
Coffee husk	55.9	3.12	33.5	57.6	3707	833
Humic acid + $\frac{1}{2}$ NH_4NO_3	48.4	2.72	29.7	43.0	2282	518
Proline + $\frac{1}{2}$ NH_4NO_3	60.9	2.95	36.3	50.5	3338	675
LSD_{0.05}	5.02	2.13	1.87	1.98	50.3	30.7

Data in Table 6 show the effect of the studied different sources of N fertilizer on yield and quality parameters of zucchini plants grown on clay loam soil during the summer season of 2014 and 2015. Data revealed that the treatment of coffee husk gave the highest values of yield

and its dry matter content, also, its concentration of N, P and K. It may be due to that coffee husk rich in the studied elements; can adsorb and chelate elements by its functional groups; increase soil flocculation which enhance plant growth and increase the yield. The treatment of coffee husk gave the highest value resulted from the difference between total fruits fresh and dry weights (467g/plant), followed by proline + $\frac{1}{2}$ NH_4NO_3 treatment that gave 415g/plant. The treatment of foliar application of proline combined with $\frac{1}{2}$ NH_4NO_3 as ground application came in the second order for giving high fruits quality, followed by humic acid + $\frac{1}{2}$ NH_4NO_3 treatment, with similar trend as previously mentioned in Table 4 for plant growth measurements. Proline and humic acid are known to be very effective chelating agents for macro and micronutrients (Sharma *et al.*, 2016).

Table 6. Effect of the studied treatments on fruits fresh and dry weights, and elemental content of zucchini fruits during the two tested seasons of 2014 and 2015.

Treatment	Fruits fresh weight, g/plant	Fruits dry weight, g/plant	N	P	K
			%		
First season (2014)					
Control	246	62.6	2.64	2.29	0.77
NH ₄ NO ₃	287	71.4	3.92	3.10	1.28
Coffee husk	542	75.2	4.29	3.90	1.85
Humic acid + ½ NH ₄ NO ₃	364	73.4	4.20	3.50	1.35
Proline + ½ NH ₄ NO ₃	489	73.8	4.43	3.20	1.33
LSD_{0.05}	46.1	1.07	0.13	0.29	0.05
Second season (2015)					
Control	241	60.3	2.61	2.35	0.78
NH ₄ NO ₃	273	72.7	3.45	3.06	1.25
Coffee husk	535	73.5	4.34	3.43	1.81
Humic acid + ½ NH ₄ NO ₃	359	72.6	4.35	3.61	1.34
Proline + ½ NH ₄ NO ₃	492	76.2	4.45	3.19	1.40
LSD_{0.05}	33.6	0.64	0.20	0.15	0.09

3.3 Greenhouse gas emission from nitrogen fertilization and impacts on warming, pollution and plant yield

Our climate is changing and communities all over the world are affected. Changing climates have severe negative impacts on natural resources and consequently on food and livelihood security. Societies consequently need to learn to cope with the changes predicted, warmer temperatures, drier soils, changes in weather extremes and rising sea levels. Many researchers in

Egypt studied how to adapt or mitigate climate change impacts; reduce greenhouse gas emission from N fertilizers by decreasing rates without affecting the yield and quality, or finding other sources by recycling wastes which rich in N (Abdrabbo *et al.*, 2015; Farag and Abd-Elrahman, 2016; Abul-Soud and Abd-Elrahman, 2016). The results presented in Table 7 show that the CO₂ emissions from different applied N types more increased with applying the traditional N mineral fertilizer. Values of CO₂ emission were varied between 984 for coffee husk treatment and 1629 kg ha⁻¹ for mineral fertilizer treatment that increased air pollution. The results obtained from the treatments of proline and humic acid combined with ground application of the half amount of the applied mineral fertilizer confirmed the risk that proper achieved if the farmers continue apply mineral fertilizers to the cultivated soil with full rate. Changes in the application of fertilizer type had a lower impact on emissions than on changes in the yield besides recycling wastes and solve another critical environmental problem.

Table 7. Greenhouse gas emission (CO₂ equivalent) from nitrogen fertilization as affected by total yield per hectare.

Treatment	Total yield, kg ha ⁻¹	Total CO ₂ eq kg ha ⁻¹	CO ₂ eq g/kg yield
NH ₄ NO ₃	26877	1629	60.6
Coffee husk	54617	984	18.0
Humic acid + ½ NH ₄ NO ₃	36707	1160	31.6
Proline + ½ NH ₄ NO ₃	49327	1158	23.5

4. CONCLUSION

It could be concluded that, not only the traditional mineral fertilizers can achieve the goal of obtaining maximum yield, but also the non classic forms can achieve that, in addition to have a lower impact on emissions besides recycling wastes. The treatment of coffee husk was superior in increasing soil fertility, improving the studied soil chemical properties, giving the highest values of zucchini plants growth and yield, and reducing GHG emissions compared to the other studied treatments. Also, proline and humic acid came in the second and third order respectively, these considered as activator of phytohormones and growth substances, and protect against stresses.

5. REFERENCES

- Abdrabbo MAA, Hashem FA, Abul-Soud MA, Abd-Elrahman Shaimaa H. 2015. Sustainable production of cabbage using different irrigation levels and fertilizer types affecting some soil chemical characteristics. *Int. J. Plant Soil Sci.* 8:1-13.
- Abul-Soud M, El-Ansary DO, Hussein AM. 2010. Effects of different cattle manure rates and mulching on weed control and growth and yield of squash. *J. Appl. Sci. Res.* 6:1379-1386.

- 278 **Abul-Soud MA, Abd-Elrahman Shaimaa H. 2016.** Mitigate climate change impact:
279 Maximizing the tolerance of eggplant to salinity stress using selenium supplements. 1st
280 Saudi Conference on the Environment “Sustainable Management of Natural Resources”, 7-9
281 March, King Khalid Univ., Abha, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
- 282 **Ahmed ME, El-Zeiny OAH, Zaky MH. 2006.** Studies on the effect of vitamin B and some
283 amino acids on growth, yield and chemical composition of sweet pepper. Egypt. J. Appl.
284 Sci. 21:567-581.
- 285 **Boonamnuayvitaya V, Chaiya C, Tanthapanichakoon W, Jarudilokkul S. 2004.** Removal of
286 heavy metals by adsorbent prepared from pyrolyzed coffee residues and clay. Sep. Purif.
287 Technol. 35: 11–22.
- 288 **Chapman HD, Pratt PF. 1961.** Methods of analysis for soils, plants and waters. Division Agric.
289 Sci. Berkeley, Univ. California, USA, 150-152.
- 290 **Farag AA, Abd-Elrahman Shaimaa H. 2016.** Greenhouse gas emission from cauliflower
291 grown under different nitrogen rates and mulches. Int. J. Plant Soil Sci. 9:1-10.
- 292 **Forster P, Ramaswamy V, Artaxo P, Bernsten T, Betts R, Fahey DW, Haywood J, Lean J,**
293 **Lowe DC, Myhre G, Nganga J, Prinn R, Raga G, Schulz M, Van Dorland R. 2007.**
294 Changes in atmospheric constituents and irradiative forcing. Climate change: The physical
295 science basis. Contribution of working group I to the fourth assessment report of the
296 intergovernmental panel on climate change. Solomon S, Qin D, Manning M, Chen Z,
297 Marquis M, Averyt KB, Tignor M, Miller HL. (eds) Cambridge University Press,
298 Cambridge, UK.
- 299 **Jackson ML. 1958.** Soil chemical analysis. Prentice-Hall, Inc. Englewood Cliffs (NJ) Library of
300 Congress, USA, 38-388.
- 301 **Klute A. 1986.** Methods of soil analysis, part I, 2nd ed, Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- 302 **Millar N, Robertson GP, Hoben JP, Grace PR, Gehl RJ. 2010.** Nitrogen fertilizer
303 management for nitrous oxide (N₂O) mitigation in intensive corn (maize) production: An
304 emissions reduction protocol for US Midwest agriculture. Mitigation and Adaptation
305 Strategies for Global Change, 15:185-204.
- 306 **Oraby Shaimaa YS. 2013.** Using low cost materials for reducing the availability of certain
307 heavy metals in polluted soil. M. Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Ain Shams Univ., Egypt.
- 308 **Page AL, Miller RH, Keeney DR. 1982.** Methods of soil analysis, part II, 2nd ed, Wisconsin.
- 309 **Sadak SM, Abdelhamid MT, Schmidhalter U. 2015.** Effect of foliar application of amino
310 acids on plant yield and physiological parameters in bean plants irrigated with seawater.
311 Acta biol. Colomb. 20: 141-152. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.15446/abc.v20n1.42865>
- 312 **SAS. 2000.** Statistical Analysis System, SAS User’s Guide: Statistics, SAS Institute Inc., Cary,
313 USA.

- 314 **Sharma HSS, Selby C, Carmichael E, McRoberts C, Rao JR, Ambrosino P, Chiurazzi M,**
 315 **Pucci M, Martin T. 2016.** Physicochemical analyses of plant biostimulant formulations
 316 and characterisation of commercial products by instrumental techniques. *Chem. Biol.*
 317 *Technol. Agric.* 3:1-17. DOI 10.1186/s40538-016-0064-6
- 318 **Tamer CE, Incedayi B, Parseker AS, Yonak S, Çopur ÖU. 2010.** Evaluation of several
 319 quality criteria of low calorie pumpkin dessert. *Not. Bot. Hort. Agrobot. Cluj.* 38:76-80.
- 320 **Watanabe FC, Olsen SR. 1965.** Test of an ascorbic acid method for determining phosphorus in
 321 water and NaHCO₃ extracts from soils. *Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc.* 29: 677-678.