



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_37769
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effect of Different Pear Rootstocks on the Performance of Pear Cultivars Grown in Semi-Arid Climatic and High Calcareous Soil Conditions
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	I have already examined the manuscript, " The Effect of Different Pear Rootstocks on the Performance of Pear Cultivars Grown in Semi-Arid Climatic and High Calcareous Soil Conditions ". It is very interesting and useful paper for horticultural practice. Because of limited work on pear rootstock performance study, the manuscript contains valuable data.	Ok
Minor REVISION comments	Rootstock features can be in Material and Method section	
Optional/General comments	It can be publish with some minor revision.	