

Editorial Comments:

I found the manuscript was well studied. But there are some small mistake that we need to consider:

1. In some paragraph, the authors writing style were unusual for scientific paper. The example I highlighted in the first paragraph of Introduction section.

2. The authors used capital letters in keywords. In some journal, this kind of thing is not allowable. What about us?

But the most importance thing is the method of the study. In the introduction, the author stated that the objective of the study was to look for the effect of organic amendment on heavy metals in soil which irrigated with **wastewater**. But they also used tap water to irrigate their study column, and the best result was plant which received tap water. The question is, it is possible for the farmer to use tap water to irrigate such huge land? If it is not, then this study result is not applicable.

Authors Feedback:

OK, thank you for your valuable opinion. We made all needed corrections. We already used tap water in incubation study not included in this manuscript, but when applying the columns study was irrigated with wastewater, thus we corrected this mistake.