



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_37480
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of more Indices of Sulfur Availability in Soils for Wheat Production in Ethiopia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> What is the meaning of this useful? References should be arranged alphabetically. <p>Plagiarism issue:</p> <p>FI journalrepository.org</p> <p>[PDF] Evaluation of Different Indices of Sulfur Availability in Soils for Wheat</p> <p>Paper has been published in 2015.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> It is to mean 'useful', I think in line 49 & now improved. Previously, I publish some articles in the Science Domain International journal. There, the rules were not arranging the references in alphabetical order, so long as the references are numbered sequentially. So, in this manuscript, I maintained the references as numbered sequentially. But, if the review comment is compulsory, I tried to arrange them in alphabetical order & sent in separate pages for consideration. So, if agreed, it is simply a matter of copy & pasting. Also, the title of the manuscript is now improved. However, the journal article in the following link is also my own work, but the data are quite different. The information for both papers are drawn from a large pull of data-set from my large project, & even represent different growth stages of the crop(s). <p>http://www.journalrepository.org/media/journals/IJPSS_24/2015/Sep/Menna842015IJPSS20513.pdf</p>
Optional/General comments		