



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_37480
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of more Indices of Sulfur Availability in Soils for Wheat Production in Ethiopia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	1. Experimental design should be explained more clearly whether is arranged in factors or split plot.	The experimental design was RCBD in three replications (neither factorial, nor split). The different nutrients/elements tested were combined, & arranged systematically by omitting some elements to clearly see the effect/response of that added or omitted particular nutrient element on the test crop. For more clarification, see line 99 & 100.
Optional/General comments	1. The manuscript is well written, is recommended to be accepted for publication	