



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_36917
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSING THE IMPACT OF LONG-TERM CASSAVA MILL EFFLUENT DISCHARGE ON SOIL pH AND MICROBIAL CHARACTERISTICS IN CROSS RIVER STATE
New title:	THE IMPACT OF LONG-TERM CASSAVA MILL EFFLUENT DISCHARGE ON SOIL pH AND MICROBIAL CHARACTERISTICS IN CROSS RIVER STATE
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR’S comments on revised paper (if any)					Authors’ response to final evaluator’s comments																														
The authors have made efforts to improve work. But there are still details to consider absolutely. The clarifications about the samples preparation as commented on by the authors in the feedback should be included in the new document. It remains essential to specify the statistical analysis which supports the results obtained. Mean, percentage and frequencies as mentioned by the authors, are only descriptive and can not justify the significance of the values obtained. FIGS. 1 and 2 can be better presented, and in a more digestible form: (for example as below), and the results must be presented with their standard deviation and the different statistical groups (according to the analysis carried out) <table><tr><th></th><th>Wankade II</th><th>OchonTown</th><th>Apiapom</th><th>...</th></tr><tr><td>Point of discharge</td><td>...</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>10 meters away</td><td>... ± ...^a</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>25 meters away</td><td>... ± ...^b</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>50 meters away</td><td>... ± ...^{ab}</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>100 meters away</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr></table>						Wankade II	OchonTown	Apiapom	...	Point of discharge	...				10 meters away	... ± ... ^a				25 meters away	... ± ... ^b				50 meters away	... ± ... ^{ab}				100 meters away					1. The clarifications about the samples preparation as earlier commented by the reviewer is now included in the new document. 2. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) is now included to justify the significance of the values obtained. 3. The previous Tables have been formatted to take the form suggested by the reviewer. 4. Additional table have also been created for ANOVA result. 5. All the suggestion and changes in the text is highlighted with yellow colour.
	Wankade II	OchonTown	Apiapom	...																															
Point of discharge	...																																		
10 meters away	... ± ... ^a																																		
25 meters away	... ± ... ^b																																		
50 meters away	... ± ... ^{ab}																																		
100 meters away																																			