



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_36917
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSING THE IMPACT OF LONG-TERM CASSAVA MILL EFFLUENT DISCHARGE ON SOIL pH AND MICROBIAL CHARACTERISTICS IN CROSS RIVER STATE
New title:	THE IMPACT OF LONG-TERM CASSAVA MILL EFFLUENT DISCHARGE ON SOIL pH AND MICROBIAL CHARACTERISTICS IN CROSS RIVER STATE
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
1. It is generally accepted that reports are done in simple past tense. 2. ABSTRACT: 2ND LINE , SECOND SENTENCE SHOULD READ Therefore, this study assessed..... 3. Line 7. Increase should be changed to <i>increased</i> soil acidity which could suppress..... 4. Line 8: insert <i>the</i> between than and impacted.... 5. Line 9: microbial species isolated included <i>bacteria</i> such as..... 6. Lines 10 -11; NOTE how names of organisms are written begin first name with upper case letter and second name is written in lower case letter. 7. The method used in counting could not be so accurate so round all figures to the nearest whole numbers. 8. Introduction: 1st line: It produces the feed that <i>feeds</i> the populace, 9. Line 8:replace <i>milling engines</i> with <i>mills</i> 10. Page 2: replace <i>cultivates</i> with <i>cultivate</i> 11. Page 2, second paragraph: change process to <i>processed</i> 12. 3rd paragraph Modify the sentence to read which results in <i>the discharge of large amount of waste water</i>. 13. Page 5: 1st sentence modify to read The <i>bulk</i> soil..... 14. Sample preparation, 1st sentence requires modification. Sample air-dried gently crushed to pass through a 2-mm sieve. 15. Be consistent with citing of references in the text. Do not mix names with numbers 16. Discussion of results: 2nd sentence insert the environs between in and where in the sentence. 17. Line 5, change increase to <i>increased</i> in the sentence. 18. Page 11: last three lines of the discussion section must be deleted 19. Conclusion: 1st sentence should read the <i>results</i> 2nd sentence: insert the word of between Analysis and results.. 20. Page 12: lines 2, The results..... 21.	1. The correction in Abstract 2nd line has been effected. 2. The changes in Line 7 have been made. 3. The numbers in the abstract are percentages of occurrence, so rounding it up to two decimal places is a standard way of scientific reporting. 4. With regards to in-text citation the comment on the first submission is what I followed. 5. The last three lines of the discussion section have been deleted. 6. Additional two tables have been created. 7. Table 3 has been change to table 5. 8. Other corrections have also been effected.