



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_36917
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSING THE IMPACT OF LONG-TERM CASSAVA MILL EFFLUENT DISCHARGE ON SOIL pH AND MICROBIAL CHARACTERISTICS IN CROSS RIVER STATE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1.Delete the word <i>assessing</i> from the title. 2.line 15.delete suppress and insert tends to. 3. line 47: change process to processed. 4. line 54: change discharge to discharged. 5. line 62.change activities is to activities are. 6.line 63: modify sentence to read Top soil (0 – 20 cm) consists of.... 7.lines 65 -66: change to to in 8. lines 68 -81: delete. 9. lines 261 – 263: delete 10. Conclusion: must derive from the main results of the study. 11, Delete recommendations section.	1. The word assessing in the title has been deleted. 2. The word 'suppress' and 'tends to' are in the same line. 3. It is 'processing' that is in line 47 not process 4. The word 'discharge' has been change to 'discharged'. 5. Line 62 now read 'activities are'... 6. Line 63 has been modified to read Top soil (0 – 20 cm) consists of.... 7. 'to' in line 65-66 has been change to 'in' 8. In line 68 physico-chemical has been change to microbial. 9. Line 67-81 has been deleted. 10. References cited between lines 68-81(i.e reference number 17, 18, 19 and 20) have also been remove from reference list. 11. Microbial activities in line 263 have been change to microbial load. 12. Line 262-263 has been deleted 13. The sentence between line 263 to 266 have been modify. 14. Recommendation has been deleted.
Minor REVISION comments		1. Four references (no. 17, 18, 19 and 20) in the original document have been removed. The number of references has reduced from 39 to 35
Optional/General comments		