



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_36882
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of micronutrients application of soil properties and soil nutrient content of sapota cv. Kalipatti under HDP system
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Original manuscript has corrections in the text, but here is lines number where compulsory changes are required: 2, 3, 7, 19, 46-47, 66 (2 nd , regarding RDF abbreviation), 82, 132, 140, 147-150,157-159m, Plagiarism issue: Direct pagiarism not found; however, I found paper (see http://www.tjprc.org/publishpapers/2-50-1496656691-51.IJASRJUN201751.pdf) where is obvious that authors did publish some of results from the same experiment, and I am not 100% with your Journal policy about that.	IT WAS CORRECTED
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Grammar issues, type errors, etc: Lines: 23, 25, 44, 56, 57, 64, 66, 70, 122, 129, 138, 139, 143,203, 213, 214, 215	IT WAS CORRECTED
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Line 8: go ahead and add "India"	Corrected