



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_36861
Title of the Manuscript:	Fruit quality and osmotic adjustment of four tomato cultivars under drought stress
Type of the Article	Original research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. The authors should add key words to the article.	Key words are added in the revised manuscript
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	-	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>1. In this work, fruit quality and osmotic adjustment of four tomato cultivars under drought stress had been studied.</p> <p>2. The title is brief and properly describes the contents.</p> <p>3. The abstract is a sufficiently informative summary of the main aspects.</p> <p>4. The introduction of manuscript gives adequate information.</p> <p>5. The material and methods section is correct and the study can be founded on the basis of the information it contains.</p> <p>6. The quality and organization of figures are satisfactory.</p> <p>7. Quantitative data were appropriately tested with statistical methods.</p> <p>8. The results are reasonable.</p> <p>9. The discussion is correct and circumscribed to the information presented.</p> <p>10. All the references are updated and pertinent, and cited in the text.</p> <p>11. The organization and grammar are satisfactory.</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>12. The length of the manuscript is adequate.</p> <p>13. So, I think of that the manuscript has a scientific value. Consequently, in my opinion, the manuscript provides original data and is suitable for publication.</p>	
--	---	--