



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJSSPN_36861 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Fruit quality and osmotic adjustment of four tomato cultivars under drought stress |
| Type of the Article | |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|---|---|
| <u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments | <p>The research is of great importance to improve the productivity of the tomato crop.</p> <p>Objective is clear and precise.</p> <p>The materials and methods are very detailed and complete</p> <p>In results, there were no differences in glucose content between cultivars BR2 and BR4 or between BR4, BR5 and BR1, according to the statistical analysis, so the ranking presented is not valid</p> | Regarding glucose content, clarification was sent in earlier mail |
| <u>Minor</u> REVISION comments | It would be important to review the statistical results as some of them differ from those expressed in the results. | Statistical analysis are correct |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments | Very good paper, the development of the same is clear and precise as well as the presentation of the results and conclusions included in the discussion | |