



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_35184
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF TIME AND RATE OF APPLICATION OF POULTRY MANURE ON THE GROWTH AND YIELD OF OKRA (Abelmoschus esculentus (L) Moench) IN THE CROSS RIVER RAIN FOREST AREA, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	[1] Authors must clearly show in the introduction part the gap in literature that merited the study. Or still, what new knowledge will the study add to world knowledge? [2] The introduction also failed to address the effect of organic manures on the measurable parameters used in the study. For example, "how did the use of organic manure affected plant height, stem girth or number of leaves in a particular crop in a similar study?	[1] It was taken care of in the introduction [2] It was incorporated in the result and discussion
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	[1] Give sources of tables. [2] Avoid using words in title sentence as key words. [3] There should be consistency in the reference list. Some initials are dotted and others are not. Some surnames are followed by commas where as others are not.	[1] Tables emanated from data generated from the research. The tables have been amended in line with treatments. [2] The use of words in title sentence is not forbidden in the author's instructions. It rather provides an insight into the research [3] Corrections effected. See the highlighted parts of the corrected references
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		