



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_33768
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Proportionate Combinations of Indigenous Rice Bran and Mineral Fertilizer for Improved Performance of Tomato (Lycopersicon lycopersicum) Under Low Fertile Soil conditions
Type of Article:	Original Research Paper

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<p>The author responded that he/she is not agreeing to the modification of the title with a reason that he/she did not work on rice husk dust. I would like the author to explain the difference between indigenous rice bran and rice husk dust. Secondly, was the modification only made on the indigenous rice bran? Thirdly, is a low fertile soil not a physically, chemically and biologically degraded soil? What is the difference between proportionate combinations and different combination rates?</p> <p>The author did not adhere to most of the comments and observation raised in the original reviewed manuscript copy. For example, see my comments in the abstract section and other areas in the initial reviewed copy.</p> <p>The author should revise the manuscript using the journal approved font theme, size and spacing. There is need to improve on the author citing style.</p> <p>There was additional information provided in the introduction section with references that required amendment on the author/reference numbering. The numbering should also be reflected in the reference list.</p> <p>The author used the same style of presentation in all the result section and the corrections earlier made in this regard were ignored by the author. The results section needed total overhauling as to observe the issues rose.</p>	OK