



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJSSPN_33768</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Evaluation of Proportionate Combinations of Indigenous Rice Bran and Mineral Fertilizer for Improved Performance of Tomato (<i>Lycopersicon lycopersicum</i>) Under Low Fertile Soil conditions</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Paper</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>I suggest a modification on the title to read as “<b>Evaluation of rice husk dust and inorganic fertilizer at different combination rates on the improved productivity of tomato (<i>Lycopersicon lycopersicum</i>) in degraded soils of South Western Nigeria</b>”.</p> <p>If the suggested title is accepted, there is need to rice bran with rice husk dust in the manuscript.</p> <p>The author(s) did not use the journal format in writing the manuscript. Use Arial as the font theme, single spacing and font size 10, except on sub headings that will be font 11.</p> <p>The style of citing authors in the manuscript was not in line with the journal format (see the examples given in the introduction section and correct others accordingly).</p> <p>You need to introduce reasons behind this study as problem statement between line 53 and 54. What really happened in the production of tomato in the study area that necessitated the use of this rice bran/rice husk dust? Was there decline in the production due to decline in soil fertility? Was the use of inorganic fertilizer in the improvement of the crop production difficult to realize and why? Why is complementary use of organic with inorganic fertilizer better than the sole use of each of them? Answers to these questions will help you make good links between the crop and the soil amendments between line 53 and 54.</p> <p>The author(s) ought to have separated the materials and</p>	<p>I am not agreeing with the modification of the title. I did not work or rice husk dust.</p> <p>The reasons behind the study are now being indicated in the corrected version.</p> <p>The journal format is now being taken care of in the corrected manuscript.</p> <p><b>Some sub-headings now included in the materials and methods section.</b></p> <p><b>The probability level of mean differences now well included.</b></p> <p>Journal format now properly followed in the corrected manuscript.</p>



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>methods section into the following sub-headings; description of the site, field study/methods, laboratory techniques (if any) and data analysis.</p> <p>The results and discussion section followed the same style/pattern and needed modification or an improvement.</p> <p>Indicate the probability level at which mean the differences were compared in the results section.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	There is need for the author(s) to follow the journal format as they addressed the raised issues in the manuscript.	