



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_33573
Title of the Manuscript:	YIELD AND BIOMASS RESPONSES OF MAIZE (Zea mays) TO THE APPLICATION OF FOLIAR FERTILIZERS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Title may be changed in order to be appropriate. As including maize seedlings instead of only maize. Find the attached comments.</p> <p>In abstract Find the attached comments.</p> <p>The introduction seems to be good and written orderly. Find the attached comments.</p> <p>The Material and methods should be corrected with strong grammatical way. Find the attached comments.</p> <p>grammatical mistakes noticed in sentence making no mention of the best and lowest performing parameter values are not well interpreted</p> <p>In discussion sum sq, mean sq not brought any important discussion need more discussion on important aspects, discussion must compatible with data obtained Find the attached comments.</p> <p>The conclusion must be rebuilt in brief and must follow the significant result and overall information from discussed experiment Find the attached comments.</p>	<p>The title has change accordingly to reflect the seedling part of the article. Also, the abstract conclusion part has been corrected accordingly. All the parameters in the ANOVA table have clearly discussed.</p> <p>The conclusion has been rewritten to reflect the reviewer's comments.</p>



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Use unit where necessary. Please keep the manuscript free from grammatical mistakes. Tense, subject-verb agreement etc.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	In Abstract, Copying same sentence word for word in different places should be avoided	Abstract has been rewritten.