

Editor's Comment:

We have carefully analyzed the evaluation process and the final paper.

Unfortunately, we found that the paper does not correspond at this stage for publication. Unfortunately, the reviewers did not clearly ask for what needs to be done, to by improvements, by the authors.

In my opinion, the paper may be accepted for publication if:

1. The objectives of the paper are better and more detailed presented at the end of the introduction.
2. The research area is more clearly presented as a geographic unit, type of soil (and the international equivalence of the soil type should be added).
3. At least 3-4 research conclusions should be synthesized.
4. Correcting mistakes from in the paper, as an example: it uses everywhere% (and not per cent),

This is my opinion,

Editor's Details:

Dr. Rusu Teodor

Professor,

Agrotechnics, Experimental Techniques and Rural Development, Department of Technical and Soil Sciences, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Agricultural Sciences, and Veterinary Medicine Cluj-Napoca, Romania