



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_40797
Title of the Manuscript:	Posterior inguinal wall reinforcement after mesh removal for infected mesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There is more information on infected meshes and microbiological analyzes under the heading of microbiological studies. None of this information was used in describing surgical technique or in discussion. I think they are irrelevant. 2. I think "table 1" is unnecessary and wrong. There are only 12 patients and you are giving detailed information about the side of hernia and statistically meaning of this. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. There are some vocabulary and grammar mistakes." Carful" instead of careful etc. Therefore the entire text should be reviewed. 	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I suggest that the text should be prepared in the form of "letter to editor" 	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ismail Oskay Kaya
Department, University & Country	Department of General Surgery, University of Heath Sciences Turkey