



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms AJRS 40797
Title of the Manuscript:	Posterior inguinal wall reinforcement after mesh removal for infected mesh
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<p>When I asked the question "What characteristics of the cremasteric apparatus provide a good support to the inguinal floor. What is the biological and biomechanical explanations?" I did not mean the usual duty of cremasteric apparatus in a healthy subject. I just meant that what mechanism CA prevent the recurrence. What is the biological biomechanical benefit from CA?</p> <p>In my opinion the table is not necessary. This kind of statistical analysis does not support the paper.</p> <p>This is a technique of the authors. The editor will make the decision for publishing.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- We used CA as a musclocfascial layer added to reinforce transversal's fascia (please note that many types of inguinal hernia repair depends up on rectus fascia and conjoint tendon 2- We represented patients data in that table, we did not present these data as a paragraph.