



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Urology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRU_43636
Title of the Manuscript:	Is Serum PSA a Predictor of Lower Urinary Tract Symptom Severity in Nigerian Males 40 Years and above?
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The author did not mention the specific correlation test used in this study The ethical considerations has been mentioned, but it is not clear from which institutions</p> <p>In the methods section, it should be added the specific correlation test used in this study, The organization or institution who gave the ethical clearance should be mentioned in the manuscript</p>	<p>The correlation test was Spearman's correlation coefficient test</p> <p>Ethical clearance was obtained from the Health Research Ethics committee of the University of Calabar Teaching Hospital, Calabar.</p>
Optional/General comments		