



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Gastroenterology</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJRRGA_43143</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Serological Screening of HBV and HCV Among Patients with Suspected Liver Diseases Seen at A Tertiary Hospital in Bauchi, Nigeria</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**'. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- In the introduction, the authors should explain about the gap of the study and the objective of the study.</li> <li>- Line 46-48 in the introduction section is not have correlation and consider to delete.</li> <li>- In the method, should not have numbers of participants. Numbers of participants should only put in the results. Please move the sentence in line 63 in the method section to Results asection.</li> <li>- What demographic data that was analyzed in this study. Please be clear in the method section.</li> <li>- The author should put the ethical consideration in the method.</li> <li>- There are some tables in the last page but the tables are not stated or expalined in the results.</li> <li>- Please add the competing interest declaration after the discussion.</li> <li>- The authors should put the acknoeldgements in the manuscript to respect the contributor of the study and funding/donors.</li> </ul>	<p>The answer has been provided in line 49-50</p> <p>Line 46-48-The asymptomatic presentation of viral hepatitis, revealed that most individual are unaware of the infection. Therefore, serodedection is the early detection test, but limited in sensitivity and specificity compare to ELISA</p> <p>This is retrospective study, the number of extracted data can be included in method section</p> <p>The demographic data has been explained in the method section-due to incomplete laboratory form, reclassified based on source of clinical specimen</p> <p>Other issues raised have been affected in the text</p>
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Ethical issues</b></p> <p>The study used the secondary data from the hospital. The author should put th e ethical consideration in the manuscript.</p> <p><b>The authors should stated the declaration of the competing interest in this manuscript</b></p>	<p>Yes, this is secondary data and retrospective study. Nevertheless, it was an oversight on our part. The correction has been effected</p>
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	It can be published after revision	