Prevalence and Risk Factors of Low Back Pain among Healthcare Staff in Operating Room at Al- Fateh Children Hospital

Abstract

Low back pain (LBP) is recognized as a cause of morbidity in the developed nations in different occupational situations, in specific in health care workers (HCWs) including physicians, nurses and technicians, who are vulnerable to LBP. About 60–80% of the general people suffer from LBP at some time during their lives. Health care workers There is no enough care about workplace health and safety problems facing the health care work force in developing nations such as Libya. Thus, work-related problems among health care workers in operating room at Al-Fateh Children Hospital in Benghazi city, Libya are described in this study. Methods: Cross-sectional data were collected <u>classe</u>s: work-related demographicsrelated demographics, occupational injury/illness, reporting behaviour, and safety concerns. Results: Health care workers experience a higher prevalence of low back pain (LBP) complaints Complaints (87%), due to no proper policy related to LBP, the job nature has exposed them to this health issue. Main contributing factors which can increase the risk exposure of LBP were age, occupation and lifting objects, equipment and patients. The main concerns were overload and work stress. Conclusion: In Libya, healthcare workers are considered as a critical health and safety concerns, as a resulting of weakness of policies in healthcare organizations. Recommendation: The LBP prevalence is significantly high. Thus, a proper no weight lifting policy should be considered. If not, proper manual lifting must be implemented. Further research should investigate the work organization factors that contribute to these concerns and strengthen policies to encourage health and safety at work.

Key words: lower back pain, work-related illnesses, musculoskeletal injuries, Nurses health issues.

Introduction:

Pain is a protection mechanism designed to create the subject defending an injured part from other damage It refers as particular sensation.[NLM[1] Low back pain (LBP), perhaps more precisely called lumbago or lumbosacral pain, occurs below the 12th rib and above the gluteal folds (reference). Low back pain (LBP) is recognized as a cause of morbidity in the developed nations in different occupational situations, in specific in health care workers (HCWs) including physicians, nurses and technicians, who are vulnerable to LBP (reference). About 60–80% of the general people suffer from LBP at some time during their lives (reference). However, an evidence statesd that, health care workerscare workers present high rates of (HCWs) work-related illnesses and injuries,⁽¹⁾ Recent studies also showed that the main health concerns **b** can negatively impact the health and performance of health care workers was musculoskeletal injuries particularyin specific Low back pain (LBP) during performing duties (reference). The mechanical hazards in the hospitals for include LBP include; from manual lifting patients and equipment that which can makes HCWs as a one of the occupations the most affected by LBP.⁽²⁾⁽³⁾ An evidence on conducted the mechanical hazards in the hospitals include LBP from manual lifting of objects, equipment and patients founded that patients lifting causes put medical staff to be regularly asaffected - one of the occupations most affected by LBP. (4) High physical work load and work stress

have recentlyhave recently added to this list (reference). **P**psychosocial variables including age, gender, physical status, smoking and workplace stress, <u>are</u> main ergonomic factors that can threaten them to progress <u>into</u> LBP includinge awkward postures, carrying and repositioning prolonged patients, standing, and working without sufficient breaks.⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾ An evidence mentioned that LBP remains the most common reason of early retirement, sickness absence, job changes among the workers.⁽⁷⁾ HCWs[NLM[2] are often required to lift and transport patients and/or equipment in awkward situation, particularly in developing nations as lifting aids are not always offered or feasible.⁽⁷⁾⁽⁸⁾ Thus, LBP is still the main concern disturbing the life quality and work productivity.⁽⁹⁾ Also risk of work related LBP are associated with working in operational room, where the highest LBP prevalence was in surgical department compared other departments to in hospital.⁽¹⁰⁾⁽¹¹⁾ LBP[NLM[3] was predicated to cause 818.000 disabilities adjusted life years lost every year at workplace.⁽¹²⁾ Moreover, the prevalence of LBP among healthcare workers in the operating room was 78.1%.⁽¹³⁾ Accordingly, This study was carried out to determine the prevalence and risk factors of LBP among health care workers (Operating room) in Al-Fateh Children Hospital.

Methods

This study was conducted in the operating rooms of the Al-Fateh children hospital in Benghazi city, Libya[NLM[4].

Study Design:

A descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted among health care workers at the operating rooms of the Al-Fateh children hospital in Benghazi city, Libya.

Data Collection:

Data was collected <u>through</u> using a questionnaire that was <u>constructed</u> <u>performed</u> in <u>English.</u> <u>English.</u> <u>For</u> <u>s</u>Statistical analysis<u>the</u> <u>was</u> <u>performed</u> <u>by</u>-chi square <u>test was used</u> to measure the association <u>between</u> <u>independent variables</u> and <u>LBP</u> (P-value<0.05, CI=0.95) and to identify the risk factors related to LBP.[NLM[5] The study period was from December 2016 to January

Questionnaire Design:

2017.

The questionnaire include<u>ds</u> 34 multiple choice questions. The following information was <u>collectedprovided</u>:

- Socio-demographic data: age, gender, educational level and marital status, smoking and experience years;.-
- General information on occupation and work load such as, - job description, job satisfaction, part- time work, shift work, night work, frequent lifting, awkward working posture____, and repetitive movements; -
- Prevalence and duration of low back <u>complaints</u>: <u>including</u>; <u>ever LBP</u>, first occurrence of LBP, hospitalization or job change due to LBP, effects on work

and leisure time

activities, sick leave, medical history, current LBP, problems due to LBP, conducting training

program, intervention program and general awareness regarding LBP. The questionnaire was distributed to all participants by researchers. After a period of time [NLM[6]the researchers returned to collect the completed questionnaires.

Sample Population and Sample Size:

The total population in the surgical unit (operating <u>room)</u> in the different shift was 23 health care workers. We recruited all the 23 HCW give us a Also, the participation rateand response rate of (Response Rate) was 100%.

Statistical analysis:

The collected data was analyzed by using IBM SPSS (The Statistical Package for Social Sciences) Version 22 Software. The percentage and frequency of demographic information was determined and compared. Chi-square also was used to determine the association between participants' characteristics, risk factors and LBP prevalence with a statistical significance level of *P*<0.05.

Ethical considerations:

There <u>waswere</u> no ethical issues <u>however</u>, and <u>applying for</u> ethical approval <u>at</u> <u>(Mention where)</u> was made in order to collect the data.

Inclusion criteria

The study includes all workers, who experience one year and more.

Exclusion criteria:

The study excludes workers with history of back surgery and pregnant female workers and workers with less than a year experience.

Results

69.6% while the rest of them were physician ats by 26.1% except only one technician. NLM[7]Also 69.6% of the study subjects were females. Most of the study subjects ranged from 21 years to 40 years. 47.8 % their qualification werewas diploma and 43.5

were Bachelor

Characteristics	Category	Frequency	Percentage %
Occupation	Physician	5	15.2
	Nurse	25	58.5
	Technician	2	3.4
Gender	Male	6	43
	female	25	58.5
Age	21-30	2.	34.4
	31-40	22	36.7
	41-50	2	3.4
	51-60	2	3.4
Experience years	0-5 years	8	48.2
	6-10 years	4	24
	11-15 years	2	3.4
	16-20years	7	43.7
	21-25 years	2	3.4
	more than 26 years	2	3.4
Qualification	Diploma	22	36.7
	Bachelor's degree	2.	34.4
	postgraduate certificate	-	-
	Master	-	-
	PhD	1	7.6
Marital status	Single	2.	34.4
	Married	24	45.4
	Divorce	-	-
Working hours	6 hours	22	36.7
	12 hours	8	48.2
	24 hours	1	7.6
Work shift	Day shift	21	41.1
	Rotatory shift	22	36.7
Are you smoking	Never	26	64.8
	Current smoker	4	24
	Previous smoker	2	3.4
Exercise	None	25	58.5
	Everyday	3	26.3
	weekly	1	7.6
	monthly	2	3.4

Table 1: Socio-demographic characteristics of medical staff in surgical department

Among the affected study subjects who suffered from LBP in Table-2, the severity of pain werewas 70 % (mild pain), 26 % (moderate pain), and 4 % (severe pain). Most of the study subjects suffered from LBP at least once or twice a week/ a month. The frequency of complaining about_LBP related to duty were_

3 (Pre on to post duty) healthcare workers, 5 (On duty) healthcare workers, and 7 (On to post duty) healthcare workers. Thus, the prevalence of LBP related to performing duty was 20 out of 23 healthcare workers at this unit (87%). Also 74 % of those healthcarethose healthcare described their LBP workers as localized, 22% suffered from pain of the leg/ buttock and 4 % with numbness pain.

Variable	Category	Frequency	Percentage %
LBP	All the time	6	4.
	Once /twice a week	7	44
	Once/twice a month	7	44
Severity of LBP	Mild	25	70
	Moderate	5	15
	Severe	2	3
Complaining LBP related to	Pre on to post duty 4		24
duty	On duty	4	11
	On to post duty	6	4.
	Post duty	4	11
LBP Description	Localised LBP	26	63
	LBP with numbness	2	3
	Pain of the leg/ buttock	4	11

Table 2: Prevalence and Severity of LBP

Table 3- presentesd that study subjects who suffered from LBP, there was a significant association between LBP occurrence and job description (Pvalue=___0.032 = 0.032). However, the association between others sociodemographic characteristics of health care workers in the surgical unit gender, age, marital status, including experience, smoking, qualification, work hourswork hours and work shift with LBP frequency was not significantee. P-values listedvalues Based on onlisted in this table 3, it was cleared that those studyied variables were independent and did not affect one another.

Characteristi	Category			P- value	
cs		All the time	Once/twice a	Once/twice a	< 0.05
			Week	month	
Occupation	Physician	-	4	2	
	Nurse	5	4	6	 41
	Technician	2	-	-	
Gender	Male	4	1	1	
	Female	3	5	5	584
	20-30	4	4	3	
Age	31-40	4	3	3	
	41-50	2	-	-	517
	51-60	-	2	-	
	0-5	1	4	3	
	6-10	2	2	2	613
Experience	11-15	2	-	-	
Years	16-20	1	4	4	
	21-25	2	-	-	
	<26	-	2	-	
Qualification	Diploma	4	4	4	
	BSc	1	4	4	0.198
	Postgraduate	-	-	-	
	Master	-	-	-	
	PhD	-	1	-	
Marital	Single	4	4	3	
status	Married	3	4	3	0.880
	Divorce	-	-	-	
Working	6 hours	4	1	3	
hours	12 hours	1	4	1	483
	24 hours	-	2	2	
Work Shift	Day shift	4	4	3	
	Rotatory shift	1	4	3	327
	Never	3	6	5	
Smoking	Current	4	-	-	66
	Previous	-	2	-	
Exercise	None	4	5	4	
	everyday	1	1	-	136
	weekly	-	-	1	
	monthly	-	-	2	

Table 3: The association of LBP Prevalence and Socio-demographic Characteristics



Figure (1): The Frequency of LBP (Prevalence of LBP)

Among all of (23) study subjects, 87 % (n=20) subjects suffered from LBP and 13% (n=3) subjects had not-been suffer<u>eding from</u> LBP in the surgical unit of the hospital.

Table 4: Low Back Pain consequences

Variable	Category	Frequency	Percentage%
Effect of LBP on personal	No Effect	6	4.
Life	Little Effect	22	37
	Moderate effect	3	26
	Severe Effect	2	3
Effect of LBP on Duties	No Effect	6	4.
	Little Effect	21	41
	Moderate Effect	3	26
	Severe Effect	-	-
Sick Leaves due to LBP	yes	3	26
	no	27	67
Modified job due to LBP	yes	-	-
	no	14	2
Sleeping disturbances	no	8	48
	Rare	1	8
	insomnia	4	24
	discomfort	6	4.
	interrupted sleep	1	8
Frequency percentage of	restriction of	27	67
nurse's comment about	activity and		
effects caused by their LBP	movement		
	taking many days	-	-
	off		
	thinking to leave	3	26
	job		
	restriction of	-	-
	activity,		
	movement and		
	taking many days		
	off		
Receive any spine	Yes	1	8
surgery	No	12	82

Table-4 presented the effect of LBP on personal life and duties of health care workers, data determined that 30 % of <u>the</u> target group had no effect whether on their personal life and their duties. Also 48 % had little effect of LBP on their personal life while 52 % had little effect of on their duties. <u>Seventy-eight percent (78 %)</u> of them suffered with restriction of activity and movement due to LBP. On the other hand, all of them did not modify their job <u>as</u> result of <u>due to suffering</u> LBP but only 17 % were thinking <u>of to</u> leavinge their jobs and 78 % never had sick leave due to LBP. <u>In addition</u>, 39 % stated that there was no sleep disturbance while 30 % felt discomfort. <u>Further</u>, 91% of study subjects did not receive any spine surgery during their life.

Table 5: The association of Knowledgeable Level and LBP Frequency

Knowledgeable		LBP Frequence	P-value		
level	All the time	Once/twice a week	Once/twice a month	< 0.05	Chi-Sq.
None	2	1	1		
Little	5	4	4	373	3.463
Knowledgeable	-	1	2		
Total	7	7	8		

Also the health care workers and LBP

two studied *variables werevariables were* independent and did not affect one another.

Table 6: The association of Training and LBP Frequency

Training		P-Value	Chi-Sq.		
	All the time	Once/twice a	once/twice a	< 0.05	
		week	month		
Yes	0	4	3		
No	7	3	5	0.065	5.45
Total	7	7	8		

Table-6 show<u>sed</u> that the association between conducting training of the health care workers and LBP frequency was not significant (P-value= 0.065) so it <u>is</u> seen that the two stud<u>yied</u> variables <u>arewere</u> independent and cannot affect one another. Also, LBP frequency had a significant association with lifting objects and patients in this unit (Table-8).

In addition, there was no association between working hours and LBP frequency (P-value=0.394, CI= 0.95) as presented in Table-7.

Working hours						
		All the time	Once/twice a week	Once/twice a month	P-Value < 0.05	Chi-Sq.
	6 hours	5	2	4		
	12 hours	2	5	2		
	24 hours	0	1	1	0.394	4.093
Тс	otal	7	8	7		

Table 7: The association of Working hours and LBP Frequency.

Table 8: The association of Lifting objects/patients and LBP Frequency

Lifting Objects/Patients		LBP Frequency		P-Value	
	All the time	Once/twice a week	Once/twice a month	< 0.05	Chi-Sq.
Yes	7	2	6		
No	0	6	2	0.008	9.775
Total	7	8	8		



Figure (2): The association of Training program and LBP Severity

In the figure-2, the health care workers in the surgical unit did not conducted any training program regarding performing their duties properly, complained with (41%) mild LBP, (23%) moderate LBP, and (4%) sever LBP with (P-value= 0.547, Chi-Sq=1.56). Thus, there was no association among these variables.

Additionally, **fig**ure-3 presented that there was no significant association between different working hours and the severity of LBP among the health care workers in this unit as most of them suffered mild LBP even though their working hours were 6 hours daily (P-value= 0.788, Chi-Sq=1.71)



Figure (3): The association of Working hours and LBP Severity



Figure(4): The association of Lifting Objects/Patients and LBP Severity

The majority of the health care workers as presented in Fig-4 suffered from mild LBP with 35% for each different group whether workers who performed lifting tasks or not. 26% of health care workers who performed lifting tasks, suffered of moderate LBP. Thus, there was a positive significant association (P-value=0.04) between these variables.

On the other hand, the majority of demo-graphic factors including occupation, gender, marital status and etc (Table-9) did not present any significant association with LBP severity, except the age factor, which explored clear positive relationship with LBP severity (P-value=0.001).

Demo-graphic Factors		LB	P Severity %	P-Value	Chi-Seq	
		Mild	Moderate	Sever		
Occupation	Physician	26	0	0	0.200	F 07
	Nurse	44	22	4	0.209	5.87
	Technician	0	4	0		
Gender	Male	27	13	0	0.418	1.75
	Female	52	13	4		
	21-30	30	13	0		
Age	31-40	35	13	0	0.001	23.4
	41-50	0	0	4		
	>60	4	0	1		
	0-5	31	9	0		
	6-10	9	4	0	0 5 4 5	0.65
P	11-15	0	4	0	0.565	8.65
Experience	16-20	26	4	5		
	21-25	0	4	0		
	>26	4	0	0		
	Diploma	26	22	0		
Qualifications	BSc	35	4	4	0.270	5.17
	PhD	9	0	0		
Marital Status	Single	26	17	0		
	Married	44	9	4	0.314	2.31
Work Shift	Day shift	35	13	4		

Table 9 : The association of Demographic Factors and LBP Severity

	Rotatory	35	13	0	0.619	0.958
	Never	62	14	5		
Smoking	Current	0	14	0	0.061	9
	Previous	5	0	0		
	None	48	17	4		
Exercise	Daily	9	9	0	0.842	2.72
	Weekly	9	0	0		
	Monthly	4	0	0		

Discussion

The outcomes of this study showspresented that the prevalence of LBP related to performing duties y was 87% among [NLM[9] of health care workers at this unit was high. This indicates that there was a critical situation regarding the workers' health. An evidence in operating room showed that the prevalence of LBP among nurses in the operating room was 78.1%.⁽¹³⁾ This result agreed with the outcome of another study in Libya (reference 2016)

found out that the prevalence rate<u>of</u> to LBP was 55 % among nurses at emergency department in Benghazi Medical Centre (BMC).⁽¹⁰⁾

Additionally, the prevalence rate of LBP among nurses over 12 months in hospital in Tunisia (2017) was 58.1% which is high and bringing light on importance of suitable ergonomic management policy.⁽¹³⁾ A study also in 2017, showed that showed that nurses experience a

higher prevalence of LBP and workrelated musculoskeletal<u>complaints</u> <u>complaints</u> because of no suitable management policy implemented in

hospitals. (11) Accordingly, it is <u>necessary</u> <u>necessary</u> to <u>implement</u> solutions<u>implement solutions</u> for these risks and hazards at work and apply prevention actions of ergonomics at work.

The majority of demo-graphic factors of this currentthis studycurrent

subjects<u>study</u> includingsubjects including

UNDER PEER REVIEW

occupation, gender and , marital status and etc do not showed any significant association with association with LBP severity, except the age factor, that presented a clear association with LBP severity as most old workers were suffered workers suffered LBP severelity. These results are similar to the outcome of a study in BMC as it hads been found, that the exposure to LBP increased among older age groups so the age factor is presented positively associated with chronic LBP.⁽⁶⁾⁽¹⁰⁾ Also, a study among nurses in hospital in Bangladesh, where found а positively association between age with chronic LBP.⁽¹²⁾ Although in the current study there was no association of LBP and smoking severity of Pain, and also no association between smoking frequency and LBP complains (p-value= 0.077). pPrevious studies displayed that individual factors including smoking cansmoking can threaten them to progress LBP.⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾⁽¹²⁾

Moreover, LBP frequency and severity had a significant association with lifting objects and patients in the surgical unit of the current study. An evidence conducted in the hospitals include LBP from manual lifting of

objects, equipment and patients<u>(reference)</u>. This evidence <u>found_thatfound_patientsthat_liftingpatients</u> <u>lifting put_medicalput medical</u> staff in specific nurses as one of the occupations most affected by LBP. ⁽⁷⁾ <u>Accordingly, LiftingAccordingly, taskLifting_istask is</u> considered as one of the main ergonomic factors that can threaten healthcare workers to progress LBP. ⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾ Moreover, the subjects of this study who were suffering from <u>LBP, LBP,</u> presented <u>no that there</u> were significant association between LBP

and occupation occurrence (p value= 0.032). More than half were nurses so this explains the reason of this association because of the duty of nurses in surgical unit so the job has exposed them to this problem. Without doubt, many studies mentioned that healthcare workers in particular nurses highest were the LBP complains. (5)(6)(7)(9) This study also found that the majority of the study subjects did not perform any sort of exercise as the routine exercise can enhance body health, performance, and tolerance of quick fatigue and can clearly diminish the risk exposure to LBP. The anotherAnother study in Libya had same outcome regarding exercise.⁽¹⁰⁾ Give an impression on the lifestyle of Libyansof Libyans that it is relatively free of the culture of exercise.

Conclusion

Health care workers experience a higher prevalence of low back pain (LBP) complaints duecomplaints to<u>due</u> no<u>to</u> proper<u>no proper</u> policy related to LBP, theLBP, the job has exposed them to the problem. Most of them showed the same intensity of complaints. Thus, it might be suggested that LBP proceeds as recurrent rather than-anthan aggravatingan courseaggravating course, which should be measured in the future management of LBP in the healthcare sector. It is supposed that improved managing strategies among health care workers contribute to a large extent to these results. Longitudinal research and exploration will reveal

supposed predictive factors.

Recommendation

- The prevalence of the problem is significantly high. Thus, a proper <u>no-weightno-liftingweight lifting</u> policy should be considered. Hospitals should be well equipped with all necessary lifting equipment. All these might go a long way in reducing the high rate of LBP among healthcare workers.
- If it is not affordable to provision lifting equipment, proper manual lifting policy must implemented.
- Implement and review education training course on back care ergonomics and patient transfer should <u>be</u> organized for nurses on regular basis.

References:

1. Maul et.al,' 2003 "Course of low back pain among nurses: a longitudinal study across eight years", *Occup Environ Med*;60:497-503 doi:10.1136/oem.60.7.497

2. Khudhir KM, Mahmood KA, Saleh KK and Hossain M. A cross sectional study to determine the prevalence and risk factors of low back pain among public technical institute staff in Kurdistan Region, Iraq [version 1; referees: 2 approved with reservations]. F1000Research 2017, 6:182.

3.Gropelli T& Corle K. 2011" Assessment of nurses' and therapists' occupational musculoskeletal injuries. *Medsurg Nurs.* ;20(6):297–303. [PubMed]

4. McNeely E. 2005, "The consequences of job stress for nurses' health: time for a check-up", *Nurs Outlook*.;53(6):291–9. doi: 10.1016/j.outlook.2005.10.001. [PubMed]

5. Blake et al, 2006, " Low back pain and occupation among Irish health service workers" *Occupational Medicine*; 56(7) 454-447

6. Freimann et al, 2013, "Risk factors for musculoskeletal pain amongst nurses in Estonia: a cross-sectional study", *BMC Musculoskelet Disord.* ;14:334.

7. Harber et al, 2014" Occupational lowback pain in hospital nurses", *J Occup Med*. 27(7):518–24. [PubMed]

8. Cesana et al, 2010" Risk evaluation and health surveillance in hospitals: A critical review and contribution regarding experience obtained at Garardo dei Tintori Hospital in Monza", *Med ñLav*; 89(1): 23-46.

9. Sikiru L & Hanifa S. 2010 "Prevalence and risk factors of low back pain among nurses in a typical Nigerian hospital. African Health Sciences; 10(1): 26 – 30

10. Mukhtad et al, " Prevalence and Risk Factors of Low Back Pain among Emergency Nurse Staff in Benghazi Medical Centre" Project, UOB 2016, Libya.

11. Abdulmujeeb AB, 2017 " Prevalence and Factors Associated with Low Back Pain among Healthcare Workers in Kibuli Muslim Hospital Kampala, Uganda" *Epidemiology*: 7:287.

12. Boughattas et al, 2017 " Low Back Pain among Nurses: Prevalence, and Occupational Risk Factors" *Occupational Diseases &Environmental Medicine*, 5, 26-37.

13. Sanjoy et al., 2017 "Occupational factors and low back pain: a cross-sectional study of Bangladeshi female nurses" *BioMed Central.*; 10:173.

UNDER PEER REVIEW