



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRNH_43644
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence and Risk Factors of Low Back Pain among Healthcare Staff in Operating Rooms at Al- Fateh Children Hospital
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The abstract should be logically flow since the topic is healthcare workers and not focusing on Nursing staff alone. There some typographical errors that was highlighted in this study. P-value and should be P-value Table 4 can be deleted, since you are focusing on the risk factors and prevalence, you can include it in another write up with different topic. In discussion, more detailed and recent reference to the literature is needed. Some of the references seem missing in the list, and some were not properly cited like number 11, Avoid using statistical test you have not undertaken during this study like (The majority of demo-graphic factors of this current study subjects including occupation, gender, marital status and etc do not showed any significant association with LBP severity, except the age factor, that presented clear positive correlation with LBP severity as most old workers were suffered LBP severity). Try to defend why you used the small sample size in terms of sample size errors. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> We did proofreading and edited all the errors. We adjusted them. We adjusted this point (Page 11; Discussion) (The majority of demo-graphic factors of this current study subjects including occupation, gender, marital status and etc do not showed any significant association with LBP severity, except the age factor, that presented association with LBP severity as most old workers were suffered LBP severity). The total population (all workers in the different shift) in the surgical unit (operating room) was 23 healthcare staff (N=23).Thus, the participation rate (Response Rate) was 100%
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Please ensure the typesetting and proofreading are carefully done to spot out the typographical errors that need to be corrected	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> We did proofreading and edited all the errors.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	There was no ethical issues and applying for ethical approval was made in order to collect the data.