



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Zoology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIZ_45025
Title of the Manuscript:	POTENTIAL OF FIVE LOCAL PLANT AS PROTECTANT AGAINSTS HIDE BEETLE, DERMESTES MACULATUS DEGEER INFESTING DRY CAT FISH ; CLRIAS GARIEPINUS BURCHELL.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In your introduction you must present the importance of dry cat fish in Nigeria and explain the choice of these 5 tested local plants. You should revisit through the manuscript your manner to write scientific names of species. This link explain how to write Latin names of species: https://abacus.bates.edu/~ganderso/biology/resources/writing/HTWlatin.html To support your discussion it would be necessary to do a review of the literature on the active ingredients contained in the powders of these different plants. Moreover, compare your results with those of other authors who have tested other plants against <i>Dermestes maculatus</i>. In your tables, put the letters of significance after the standard error and give theirs significance at the end of each table.	
Minor REVISION comments	In the title, line 2 replace CLRIAS by CLARIAS In the abstract, line 8-10 put the name of author after each scientific name of plant.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Loko Yéyinou Laura Estelle
Department, University & Country	Higher National School of Biosciences and Biotechnologies (ENSBBA) of Dassa-Zoumé, National University of Sciences Technologies Engineering and Mathematics of Abomey (UNSTIM), BP 14 Dassa, Benin