



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Zoology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIZ_42511
Title of the Manuscript:	PREVALENCE OF Schistosoma haematobium AND ITS INTERMEDIATE HOSTS IN MAKURDI METROPOLIS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	-----	
Minor REVISION comments	Results. Please do not repeat data. Either write it down on tables or on the text; but not in both. For example: Tables 1, 2, 3, 4. Please choose between the tables or the text to write down your data. Were compatibility tests performed to evaluate the susceptibility of the snails to the strain of <i>S. haematobium</i>? A high number of snails not always signifies a high number of cercariae shed.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is worth publishing after the corrections have been done.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Claudia Irene Menghi</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University of Buenos Aires, Argentina</i>