1	Original Research Article
2	
3	Patterns of clinical outcomes in diabetic patients with complicated urinary tract
4	infections treated with ceftriaxone-sulbactam-EDTA. A retrospective study.
5	

Abstract

6

7 **Objective**

8 In general, infectious diseases are more frequent and/or serious in patients with 9 diabetes mellitus, complicated further by antimicrobial resistance which potentially increases 10 their morbi-mortality. The objective of this study was to determine the clinical utility of CSE-11 1034 (Ceftriaxone+Sulbactam+EDTA) in diabetic patients with complicated urinary tract 12 infections (cUTIs).

13 Methods

Diabetic patients with cUTIs who received CSE-1034 as empiric therapy were screened and further analyzed. CSE-1034 therapy was started empirically in all these subjects and continued or discontinued based on culture susceptibility profile and clinical

17 outcome. The statistical analysis was performed using Chi-square test using graph-pad.

18 **Results**

Out of 85 patients admitted for cUTI, 38 patients met our inclusion criteria and were 19 included in this study. E. coli (50.0%) was the predominant pathogen isolated followed by K. 20 pneumoniae (21.1%). In vitro susceptibility testing had shown no susceptibility of baseline 21 pathogens to levofloxacin, gentamicin, ceftriaxone, cefepime, cefazolin, pip-taz (23.6%), 22 beta-lactam beta-lactam inhibitor (BL/BLI) combinations (18.4-23.6%), meropenem (63.1%) 23 and CSE-1034 (100%). 92.1% of the patients were cured with CSE-1034 empiric therapy and 24 25 7.9% with alternate meropenem therapy. Conclusion 26

From this study, it can be suggested that CSE-1034 alone appears to be effective drug for the treatment of multi-drug resistant cUTI in diabetic patients and can serve as effective alternate to meropenem and replacement for BL/BLI combinations.

30

31 Key words: Multi drug resistance; Extended Spectrum Beta-Lactamase; Metallo-βlactamase; Gram-negative. 32

33

Introduction 34

35 Type 2 Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a heterogeneous group of disorders resulting from impaired insulin secretion or action leading to elevated levels of glucose. Other than the 36 classical complications associated with DM, other outcomes include altered immune 37 responses including impaired humoral immunity, decreased neutrophil action and reduced 38 response of T cells ^{1 2 3 4}. Consequently, DM raises the risk of contracting infections, 39 including the most common ones as well as those that almost only affect people with DM²⁵. 40 In addition to the associated repercussions, such infections may lead to serious manifestations 41 42 and/or trigger DM complications.

Urinary tract is one of the most common infection site in individuals with DM. [25-43 27] Asymptomatic bacteriuria and symptomatic urinary tract infections (UTIs) are both 44 reported to be more frequent in patients with type 2 diabetes than in the general population ⁶ 45 ⁷. Available evidences also suggest that type 2 diabetes increases susceptibility to serious 46 complications of UTI, including emphysematous conditions of the bladder or kidney, renal 47 abscess, and renal papillary necrosis ^{8 9 10}. The different mechanisms that may contribute to 48 the higher frequency of UTI and related complications among diabetic patients include 49 50 impaired immune system, primarily diabetic nephropathy and cystopathy, recurrent vaginitis, incomplete bladder emptying, poor glycemic control, and higher glucose levels in the urine 51 which may facilitate the growth of pathogenic organisms 578. 52

Given the increasing incidence of type 2 diabetes mellitus worldwide in recent years 53 projected to be 380 million cases in 2025 and the clinical link between diabetic status and 54 UTI risk and severity, a substantial burden of UTIs is going to increase ¹¹. Moreover, the high 55 rates of antibiotic prescription in these patients, including broad-spectrum antibiotics, may 56 further induce the development of multi-drug resistant urinary pathogens ¹²¹³. Ceftriaxone 57 fortified with sulbactam and antibiotic resistance breaker "EDTA" (CSE-1034) is a newly 58 approved antibiotic adjuvant entity for the treatment of infections caused by Extended 59 Spectrum Beta-Lactamase/Metallo-\beta-lactamase (ESBL/MBL) producing gram negative 60

pathogens ^{14 15 16 17}. In this study, we discuss a series of 25 diabetic patients suffering from
cUTI and treated successfully with CSE-1034.

63 Material and Methods

64 Study population

The case history sheets of all the patients admitted to the hospital for treatment of bacterial infections between June 2016 to June 2017 were analyzed. Adult diabetic patients with age of ≥ 18 years and treated for cUTI were included in this retrospective study. The criteria for patient selection were 1) Diabetic patients diagnosed with cUTI based on various lab parameters and relevant signs and symptoms 2) Isolation of gram-negative pathogen at the base-line 3) Patients who received CSE-1034 at least for a period of $\geq 48h$ 3) Patients who received CSE-1034 as 2nd line of therapy.

The cUTI included had at least three of the following signs and symptoms: fever (>38°C) and chills, increased frequency and urgency of urination, dysuria, costo-vertebral angle tenderness or abdominal tenderness, flank pain, or the presence of pyuria and colony count of $\geq 10^5$ CFU/ml was must.

76 Patient analysis, antibiotic usage and outcomes

Information regarding demographic and baseline characters including gender, age, infection type and source, pathogen isolated, co-morbidities, antibiotic therapy, dose and duration for all the patients was retrieved from the case history sheets of the patients. Among all the cases analyzed, 25 patients who received CSE-1034 as empirical therapy and fulfilled the other above mentioned inclusion criteria were analyzed further. Different specimens including urine and blood of the patients were tested for the diagnosis of etiological agent.

83 In-vitro microbial antibiotic-susceptibility testing (AST)

Kirby–Bauer disk diffusion method was used to test the microbial susceptibility of the antibiotics. Discs for various drugs including pip-taz, CSE-1034, meropenem and colistin were used and the results were interpreted as per Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines ¹⁸. Depending on the breakpoints, the antimicrobial susceptibility of the pathogens involved was classified into susceptible, intermediate or resistant. Criteria for CSE-0134 was >21mm-S, 14-20-I, \leq 13-R.

90 Antibiotic dosage

91 The dose of CSE-1034 used was 3.0g/12h. The progress of the therapy was evaluated in 92 terms of improvement in clinical parameters on daily basis and at the end of treatment.

93 **Definitions**

94

95 **Clinical success:** The patient's response was considered as clinical success when, the patient 96 recovered with either first line or 2^{nd} line empiric antibiotic therapy.

97 Clinical failure: The response was considered as clinical failure when the patient was
98 switched to other antibiotics or one or more antibiotics are added to the initial regime.

99 First line antibiotic therapy: It is defined as the regime started immediately after admission100 to the hospital.

Second-line antibiotic therapy: It is defined as the addition of one or more antibiotics to the initial regime or a complete or partial replacement of the initial antibiotic with another parenteral antibiotic regime depending on culture susceptibility results.

104

105 Results

106

Out of 85 patients admitted for cUTI, 38 patients met our inclusion criteria and were 107 included in this retrospective study. The characteristics of all the 85 cUTI patients screened 108 and the subgroup patients with diabetes mellitus are presented in Table 1. Of the total 85 109 patients screened, 55.3% of the patients were males and 44.7% female patients. The most 110 common co-morbidities associated with these screened patients were diabetes mellitus, 111 hypertension and hepatic disorders. 38 cUTI patients with diabetes mellitus were included in 112 this retrospective analysis. In the subgroup of 38 cUTI patients with diabetes mellitus, the 113 male female ratio was 1:1. Overall, the mean age, systolic pressure, pulse and respiratory 114 rates were similar among the 85 screened patients and the 38 patients included in the study. 115 116 The average weight and diastolic pressure was higher in patients with diabetes mellitus compared to the screened patients. For other demographic features, refer to Table 1. 117 Overall, E. coli (50.0%) was the predominant pathogen isolated followed by K. pneumoniae 118 (21.1%). Other isolated pathogens at the baseline included A. baumannii (13.2%), P. 119 120 aeruginosa (7.9%) and P. mirabilis (7.9%). For further details, refer to Table 1.

121 Anti-microbial susceptibility testing has shown that baseline pathogens isolated from 122 the patients were multi-drug resistant and were resistant to various classes of drugs including levofloxacin, gentamicin, ceftriaxone, cefepime and cefazolin. 23.6% (9/38) patients were
reported susceptible to pip-taz, 18.4% (7/38) to cefaperozone-sulbactam, and 63.1% (24/38)
to meropenem. In vitro susceptibility test to CSE-1034 has shown 100% susceptibility to
CSE-1034. The per pathogen antibiotic susceptibility details to various drugs are tabulated in
Table 2.

128 Antibiotic outcome

All the subjects included in this retrospective analysis received CSE-1034 empirically. Because of the hospital exposure in the last 90 days and prescription of beta-lactams or BL/BLIs before, CSE-1034 was started empirically in these patients by the concerned physician.

133 92.1% (35/38) of the patients who received CSE-1034 empiric therapy were observed 134 to respond positively on the 3^{rd} day of treatment and were continued on the same treatment 135 therapy. These patients showed successful clinical response at the end of therapy and were 136 completely cured. The average treatment duration in these 35 patients was 11.0 days±2.89 137 (SD).

2 (5.3%) patients who were started empirically with CSE-1034 but were found
resistant after in vitro microbial susceptibility testing, were shifted to meropenem. 1 (2.6%)
patients who showed poor clinical response to CSE-1034 therapy despite being CSE-1034susceptible, were also switched to meropenem therapy (Figure 1).

After 48h of meropenem treatment, it was observed that all the three patients responded to the treatment based on the visible improvement in clinical conditions and laboratory investigations.

145 Overall assessment of the clinical response has shown that CSE-1034 monotherapy 146 cured 92.1% patients alone. 7.9% patients were cured by meropenem treatment.

147

148

149

150

151

152 **Discussion**

153 In this study, 44.7% of the patients with cUTI were having diabetes as co-morbidity, 154 which was comparatively little higher than reported in other Asian countries in various

studies (range 13.0%-24.4%)^{19 20 21}. However, in conformity to our observations, a UK-155 based observational study in a primary care setting on the incidence of UTIs have reported 156 60% increase in the risk of UTIs among patients with diabetes (n = 135,920) compared to 1:1 157 matched sample of patients without diabetes ²². Another retrospective study based in China 158 has reported the prevalence of UTIs in diabetic patients was 11.2%²³. The relatively higher 159 rate in our study could be because both male and female diabetic patients were included in 160 our study, while the studies based in Asia generally included female diabetic patients. In our 161 study, prevalence of UTIs in diabetic women was about double compared to diabetic men, 162 which is related to the characteristics of female urinary tract. Beside the female gender, old 163 age, BMI and diastolic pressure were also observed as risk factors of UTIs in diabetic 164 patients; however, systolic pressure, and other demographic features had no relation with 165 UTIs. The results were in accordance with previous studies ^{19 23}. The most common 166 pathogenic microorganisms isolated from UTI patients and cUTI patients with diabetes 167 mellitus were similar and included E. coli, K. pneumoniae and A. baumannii. The results are 168 similar to those of other studies ^{23 24}. He *et al.* ²³ and Li *et al.* ²⁵ have reported *E. coli* and *K.* 169 pneumoniae as the most common isolates from cUTI patients alone or with diabetes mellitus. 170

Regarding the antimicrobial resistance profile of uropathogens in the present study, it 171 was observed that all the isolates were multi-drug resistant, resistant to different classes of 172 antibiotics including levofloxacin, gentamicin, ceftriaxone, cefepime and cefazolin. Pip-taz or 173 cefoperozone-sulbactam is the most common choice as 1st line of empirical treatment for 174 patients suspected of hospital acquired infections. As only 18.4-23.6% patients were reported 175 susceptible to BL-BLIs, thus it makes an inappropriate choice for empirical therapy or 2nd 176 177 line of empirical treatment for cUTI cases in our hospital. Similar to our observations, various studies in the past have documented that Gram-negative bacterial infections are 178 gaining resistance to various anti-microbial drugs including the drug of last resort 179 carbapenems. The AMR data in India has shown resistance against pip-taz has risen to 180 65-70% and about 55-60% against cefoperazone-sulbactam²⁶. The indiscriminate prescription 181 of BL/BLI combinations can be one of the vital reasons for the high AMR reported among 182 the normally recommended 1st line of treatment for UTIs. AMR data at a tertiary trauma care 183 184 center of India has reported the resistance against the five classes of antimicrobials as carbapenems (50%), aminoglycosides (66%), fluoroquinolones (76%), third generation 185 cephalosporins (88%), BL/BLI combinations (63%) and extra-drug resistance was reported in 186 27% isolated pathogens ²⁷. Almost similar to above report, 36.9% were observed susceptible 187

to meropenem in our study. Increase in carbapenems resistance has been linked with excessive carbapenem consumption. Hence selection pressure on carbapenems needs to be reduced either by reducing their consumption by using alternative drugs or developing newer therapeutic options. There are several publications about use of alternative agents for treating ESBL infections rather than carbapenems so as to reduce selection pressure without compromising clinical outcomes ²⁸.

Interestingly, all the patients were reported susceptible to a new combination of drug, 194 CSE-1034. The higher susceptibility to CSE-1034 could likely be the synergistic effect of the 195 three components. Disodium edetate, a non-antibiotic adjuvant, present in CSE-1034 chelates 196 the divalent metal ions leading to membrane destabilization and enhanced penetration of 197 198 drugs inside bacterial cells. The Sulbactam component of CSE-1034 is known to have inherent activity against various bacterial infections. In line with our results, various studies 199 200 in the past have also demonstrated higher efficacy of CSE-1034 against various bacterial infections including UTI ^{15 17}. Since, CSE-1034 was shown to effectively cure 92.1% of the 201 patients alone, it can serve as effective choice of treatment for cUTI in diabetic patients. 202

203 CONCLUSION

Overall, the high carbapenem resistance reported among Gram-negative strains is a matter of grave concern and needs to be addressed at priority. The antibiotic Adjuvant Therapy scored over different β -lactam and β -lactamase inhibitor combinations and carbapenems due to its resistance breaking mechanisms for the treatment of cUTI in diabetic patients.

208 **References**

209 1. Peleg, A. Y., Weerarathna, T., McCarthy, J. S. & Davis, T. M. E. Common infections in

210 diabetes: pathogenesis, management and relationship to glycaemic control. *Diabetes*

211 *Metab. Res. Rev.* 23, 3–13 (2007).

212 2. Muller, L. M. a. J. et al. Increased risk of common infections in patients with type 1 and

- type 2 diabetes mellitus. Clin. Infect. Dis. Off. Publ. Infect. Dis. Soc. Am. 41, 281–288
- 214 (2005).

215	3.	Grossmann, V. et al. Profile of the Immune and Inflammatory Response in Individuals
216		With Prediabetes and Type 2 Diabetes. <i>Diabetes Care</i> 38 , 1356–1364 (2015).
217	4.	Genital and urinary tract infections in diabetes: Impact of pharmacologically-induced
218		glucosuria. Diabetes Res. Clin. Pract. 103, 373-381 (2014).
219	5.	Casqueiro, J., Casqueiro, J. & Alves, C. Infections in patients with diabetes mellitus: A
220		review of pathogenesis. Indian J. Endocrinol. Metab. 16, S27–S36 (2012).
221	6.	Papazafiropoulou, A. et al. Prevalence of asymptomatic bacteriuria in type 2 diabetic
222		subjects with and without microalbuminuria. BMC Res. Notes 3, 169 (2010).
223	7.	Geerlings, S. E. Urinary tract infections in patients with diabetes mellitus: epidemiology,
224		pathogenesis and treatment. Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents 31 Suppl 1, S54-57 (2008).
225	8.	Chen, S. L., Jackson, S. L. & Boyko, E. J. Diabetes mellitus and urinary tract infection:
226		epidemiology, pathogenesis and proposed studies in animal models. J. Urol. 182, S51-56
227		(2009).
228	9.	Pontin, A. R. & Barnes, R. D. Current management of emphysematous pyelonephritis.
229		Nat. Rev. Urol. 6, 272–279 (2009).
230	10.	Mohsin, N., Budruddin, M., Lala, S. & Al-Taie, S. Emphysematous pyelonephritis: a case
231		report series of four patients with review of literature. Ren. Fail. 31, 597-601 (2009).
232	11.	Atkins, R. C. & Zimmet, P. Diabetic kidney disease: act now or pay later. Saudi J.
233		Kidney Dis. Transplant. Off. Publ. Saudi Cent. Organ Transplant. Saudi Arab. 21, 217–
234		221 (2010).
235	12.	Nelson, C. P. et al. Antimicrobial Resistance and Urinary Tract Infection Recurrence.
236		Pediatrics 137, (2016).
237	13.	Chin, T. L., McNulty, C., Beck, C. & MacGowan, A. Antimicrobial resistance
238		surveillance in urinary tract infections in primary care. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 71,
239		2723–2728 (2016).

- 240 14. Chaudhary, M. & Payasi, G. A. and A. Advancing in the Direction of Right Solutions:
- Treating Multidrug-Resistant Pneumonia. *Contemp. Top. Pneumonia* (2017).
 doi:10.5772/intechopen.69979
- 243 15. Chaudhary, M., Ayub, S. G. & Mir, M. A. Comparative efficacy and safety analysis of
- 244 CSE-1034: An open labeled phase III study in community acquired pneumonia. J. Infect.
- 245 *Public Health* **0**, (2018).
- 246 16. Chaudhary, M., Ayub, S. G. & Mir, M. A. Post-Marketing Safety and Efficacy
- 247 Evaluation of a Novel Drug CSE-1034: A Drug-Use Analysis in Paediatric Patients with
- 248 Hospital- Acquired Pneumonia. J. Clin. Diagn. Res. (2018).
- 249 doi:10.7860/JCDR/2018/31549.12059
- 250 17. Chaudhary, M., Mir, M. A. & Ayub, S. G. Safety and efficacy of a novel drug elores
- 251 (ceftriaxone + sulbactam + disodium edetate) in the management of multi-drug resistant
- bacterial infections in tertiary care centers: a post-marketing surveillance study. *Braz. J.*
- 253 Infect. Dis. 21, 408–417 (2017).
- 18. CLSI Publishes New Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing Standards CLSI. Available
- at: http://clsi.org/blog/2015/01/08/clsi-publishes-new-antimicrobial-susceptibility-testingstandards/. (Accessed: 6th September 2016)
- 19. Turan, H. *et al.* Frequency, risk factors, and responsible pathogenic microorganisms of
 asymptomatic bacteriuria in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Jpn. J. Infect. Dis.* 61,
- 259 236–238 (2008).
- 260 20. Boroumand, M. A. *et al.* Asymptomatic bacteriuria in type 2 Iranian diabetic women: a
 261 cross sectional study. *BMC Womens Health* 6, 4 (2006).
- 262 21. Irwin, D. E., Kopp, Z. S., Agatep, B., Milsom, I. & Abrams, P. Worldwide prevalence
- estimates of lower urinary tract symptoms, overactive bladder, urinary incontinence and
- bladder outlet obstruction. *BJU Int.* **108**, 1132–1138 (2011).

	265	22. Incidence	of urinary	tract infection	among patients	with typ	be 2 diabetes	in the	UK
--	-----	---------------	------------	-----------------	----------------	----------	---------------	--------	----

- General Practice Research Database (GPRD). J. Diabetes Complications 26, 513–516
 (2012).
- 268 23. He, K., Hu, Y., Shi, J.-C., Zhu, Y.-Q. & Mao, X.-M. Prevalence, risk factors and
- 269 microorganisms of urinary tract infections in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a
- retrospective study in China. *Ther. Clin. Risk Manag.* **14**, 403–408 (2018).
- 271 24. Shill, M. C., Huda, N. H., Moain, F. B. & Karmakar, U. K. Prevalence of uropathogens in
- diabetic patients and their corresponding resistance pattern: results of a survey conducted
- at diagnostic centers in dhaka, bangladesh. Oman Med. J. 25, 282–285 (2010).
- 274 25. Li, X. et al. A 6-year study of complicated urinary tract infections in southern China:
- 275 prevalence, antibiotic resistance, clinical and economic outcomes. *Ther. Clin. Risk*
- 276 *Manag.* **13,** 1479–1487 (2017).
- 277 26. treatment guidelines for antimicrobial.pdf.
- 278 27. Behera, B. & Mathur, P. High levels of antimicrobial resistance at a tertiary trauma care
 279 centre of India. *Indian J. Med. Res.* 133, 343–345 (2011).
- 280 28. Trivedi, M., Patel, V., Soman, R., Rodriguez, C. & Singhal, T. The Outcome of Treating
- ESBL Infections with Carbapenems vs. Non Carbapenem Antimicrobials. **60**, 3 (2012).
- 282

- 284
- 285
- 286
- 287

288

Table 1: Patient baseline characteristics.

Characteristics		Patients screened	Patients included in study		
		(n=85)	(n=38)		
Gender	Male, n (%)	47 (55.3)	19 (50.0)		
	Female, n (%)	38 (44.7)	19 (50.0)		
Age		70±13.4	70±10.05		
Weight (kg)	Mean±SD	70±13.75	77±12.8		
Temperature (°F)	Mean±SD	98.6±1.02	98.6±1.31		
BP (mm of Hg)	Systolic (Mean±SD)	130±19.58	130±17.9		
	Diastolic (Mean±SD)	74±10.88	70±10.47		
Pulse (beats/min)	Mean±SD	78±14.42	78±19.41		
Respiratory rate (/min)	Mean±SD	18±3.89	18±2.95		
Co-morbidities n (%)	I				
	DM	38 (44.7)	38 (100%)		
	Hypertension	29 (34.1)			
	Hepatic disorders	12 (14.1)			
	Chronic kidney disease (CKD)	05 (5.9)			
	Others	07 (8.2)			
Baseline pathogen in urine n (%)				
	E. coli	42 (49.4)	19 (50.0)		
	K. pneumoniae	22 (25.9)	8 (21.1)		
	A. baumannii	11 (12.9)	5 (13.2)		
	P. mirabilis	6 (7.1)	3 (7.9)		
	P. aeruginosa	4 (4.7)	3 (7.9)		

Table 2: Per pathogen type susceptibility pattern to different antibiotics.

Susceptibility (%)										
Clinical isolates	No. of isolates	CSE-1034		Meropene	Meropenem		Pip-Taz		Cefoperazone- Sulbactam	
		S	R	S	R	S	R	S	R	
E. coli	19 (50.0)	19 (100)	0	15 (78.9)	4 (21.1)	4 (21.1)	15 (78.9)	2 (10.5)	17 (89.5)	
K. pneumoniae	8 (21.1)	8 (100)	0	5 (62.5)	3 (37.5)	2 (25.0)	6 (75.0)	1 (12.5)	7 (87.5)	
A. baumannii	5 (13.2)	5 (100)	0	2 (40.0)	3 (60.0)	1 (20.0)	4 (80.0)	1 (20.0)	4 (80.0)	
P. mirabilis	3 (7.9)	3 (100)	0	1 (33.3)	2 (66.7)	1 (33.3)	2 (66.7)	1 (33.3)	2 (66.7)	
P. aeruginosa	3 (7.9)	3 (100)	0	1 (33.3)	2 (66.7)	1 (33.3)	2 (66.7)	2 (66.7)	1 (33.3)	

Figure1: Flowchart elaborating the study structure and outcome.

