



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_44564
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of The Levels of Serum Zinc and Copper Among Sudanese Patients with Sickle Cell Anemia in Khartoum State
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1 IMPPORVE YOUR DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION PART . REWRITE BOTH SECTIONS. NEED MORE IMPORRVEMENT AND WRITE THE SIGNIFICANCE OF Zn Cu , in SCA individuals</p> <p>2. Arrange the materials methods and write the ethical clearness registration or protocol number .</p> <p>3 Results are un- adequate</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Use Si units in data presentation.	
Optional/General comments	Need more interpretation of presented results . improve the writing more scientifically presentation	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammad Nadeem Khan
Department, University & Country	School of Studies In Biotechnology, Bastar University, India