

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_44564
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of The Levels of Serum Zinc and Copper Among Sudanese Patients with Sickle Cell Anemia in Khartoum S
Type of the Article	Cross sectional case control study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

State



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In the introduction the authors presenteed patients with SCA then they explained SCD.	
	There is a difference between them. SCD include SS, S β , SC, SO etc.	
	Line52 it is not the the sickle hemoglobin which interact with diverse gene but the sickle	
	mutation in βglobin gene.	
	Line 68 the authors should explain the phenotype of their patients, all of them are SS or	
	not.	
	The authors should specify if the patients received a treatement with hydroxyurea because	
	it influences the values of zinc	
	The authors should developp more the discussion section. For example, they can	
	mentionned if there are other studies among African in which the assessment of the levels	
	of serum zinc and copper were explored in SCA or other hemoglobinopathy.	
	Abobaker A et al 2015 have reported the significant increase in the mean of serum copper	
	levels when compared mean of sudanese SCA patients with mean of control group	
Minor REVISION comments	There is a point missing in the line 43 (before These).	
	Line 69 authors should put individual with normal hemoglobin taken as control instead of	
	(normal individual with normal hemoglobin as control)	
	Line 130 a point is missing after race	
	Line 132 a point is missing after observed.	
	Line 136 point instead of coma after RBCs	
	Line 137 hydroxyurea instead of hydrourea.	
	The authors should developp more the discussion section. For example they can	
	mentionned if there are other studies among African in which the assessment of the levels	
	of serum zinc and copper were explored in SCA or other hemoglobinopathy.	
	This reference tagney and Philips, 1993 mentionned by the authors in the discussion	
	section is missing in the section of references. The same things for Parad, 2002.	
Optional/General comments	Verify the form of the article	

eed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and anuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write





PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that
		mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues	
	<u>here in details)</u>	
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	The study was approved by ethical committee of Medical Laboratory Science, Clinical Chemistry Department –Alneelain University.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Leila Chaouch
Department, University & Country	Tunisia Pasteur Institute of Tunis, Tunisia

at part in the manuscript. It is