



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_44714
Title of the Manuscript:	Hepatitis B in Pregnancy: Knowledge, Access to Screening and Vaccination in a Low-Resource Setting, Cameroon.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Line 253-262: I think authors are trying to play a dual role; that of “candidate” and “judge”. I don't think it is in their place to project the strengths of work; projection of limitations is acceptable. <p>References</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> It would be necessary to consult an appropriate guide so as to harmonize the referencing list; an example of disparity is observed between references 3 & 4. 	<p>Dear Reviewer, thanks for your input.</p> <p>Line 253-262: edited Limitations of the research has been highlighted. However, some authors might also want to show the measures taken to reduce the weaknesses in the methodology (I might be wrong but I think that this is also acceptable).</p> <p>References Guide has been consulted and references modified as highlighted in yellow</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Otherwise work is well-executed	We appreciate your comment!

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	